Prevalence of osteoporosis and associated factors among people aged 50 years and older in the Madhesh province of Nepal: a community-based crosssectional study

Narendra Kumar Chaudhary¹, Dev Ram Sunuwar², Manish Raj Sapkota³, Suman Pant⁴, Mary Pradhan⁵, and Kailash Kumar Bhandari⁶

¹ Department of Radiology, Nepal Orthopaedic Hospital, Kathmandu, Nepal ² Department of Nutritional Science, School of Public Health, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, USA

³ Department of Biology, Texas A&M University, College Station, USA

⁴ Nepal Health Research Council, Kathmandu, Nepal

⁵Kantipur Academy of Health Science, Kathmandu, Nepal

⁶Department of Orthopaedics, Nepal Orthopaedic Hospital, Kathmandu, Nepal

Outlines

- Background and objective
- Methodology
- Results and discussion
- Conclusion
- References

Background and objective

- Osteoporosis is loss of bone mass and microarchitectural deterioration of bone tissue
- **Causes:** age, sex, ethnicity, race, heredity, lifestyle, dietary habits , chronic use of glucocorticoids and major depression
- A global public health problem because of its high global prevalence(18.3%), Asia has highest [1]
- A silent disease as no manifestation until the fracture occurs
- Most common sites of fracture: femoral neck, hip, lumbar and thoracic spine and distal wrist
- It is a clinical issue, worsening the quality of life

Background (Conti....)

- Limited studies are available in the hospital setting
- Prevalence of osteoporosis is 37.3% in adults aged 50 years and older through(Dual Energy X-ray Absorptiometry) DXA method [2]
- The prevalence of osteoporosis is 60.5% in people aged 60 years and older according to the quantitative ultrasound (QUS) method [3]
- No studies in community setting in Nepal
- Therefore, this study aimed to determine the risk of osteoporosis and its association with daily calcium intake and lifestyle behaviour in a community setting

Methodology

- Study design: a community-based cross-sectional analytical approach
- Study area: Saptari district of Madhesh Province, Nepal
- Study population: Individuals aged 50 years and older having an active life and good cognitive status
- Sampling: multistage cluster sampling;
 - Selection of four urban and four rural municipalities through convenience sampling
 - Selection of any one wards from the selected urban and rural municipalities through the lottery method
 - Subsequently, selection 50 households from each designated ward.
 - Recruitment of one eligible participant from each household through simple random sampling technique

Sample size:

- By using single proportional formula, Sample size (n₀) = Z² ×p (1-p)/d² Where
 - Z= Confidence interval at 95% (standard value of 1.96)
 - P= Prevalence of osteoporosis (37.3%) [2]

Now

With 10 % non-response rate, the total sample size= 395.

Data collection technique

- Face to face interview with an structured questionnaire based on published paper [2] and modified on our context
- Socio-demographic information, anthropometric information (BMI), 24-hour recall (calcium intake), food frequency questionnaire of calcium-rich food for one week and lifestyle behaviour-related factors
- A **pilot study among 10 % of the total sample size** in the neighbouring municipality

Study Variables Predictor variables

- Socio-demographic information (Age, sex, educational level, ethnicities, religion and occupation)
- Body Mass Index (BMI)= Weight in Kg/Height in meter square
- Lifestyle behaviour-related factors (smoking, alcohol intake and physical activity)
- Dietary calcium intake

Outcome variables

- Risk of osteoporosis: Low risk, intermediate risk and high risk
- Osteoporosis Self-assessment tools for Asians
 (OSTA) = (Weight in Kg- Age in years) X 0.9 [4, 5]
- For men, low risk >-1, intermediate risk -1 to -6 and high risk <-6.
- For women, low risk >-1, intermediate risk -1 to -4 and high risk <-4.
- For the sake of analysis: Osteoporosis (high risk) and No osteoporosis (low and intermediate risk)

Data Management and Analysis

- Data entry in EpiData version 3.2 and analysis on the basis of the intention-to treat (ITT) approach using Stata/MP version 14.1
- Estimation of incidence of osteoporosis by descriptive statistical tools
- Chi-square test for the associations of the socio-demographic profile, lifestyle behavior and dietary calcium intake with osteoporosis
- Binary logistic regression analysis was applied for multivariate analysis
- Applied Mann–Whitney U test to associate the prevalence of osteoporosis with the median daily dietary calcium intake score.
- All probability values p < 0.05 were considered as statistical significant

Ethical consideration

 Ethical approval from the Ethical Review Board (ERB) of the Nepal Health Research Council (NHRC), Kathmandu, Nepal (Reference number: 2909, approved on 02/05/2023)

• Written informed consent from participants

Result Table1. Socio-demographic characteristics (n=395)

| Variables | Frequency | Percentage | | | |
|--|------------------|------------|----------------------------|---------------|--------------|
| | (n) | (%) | | | |
| Socio demographic characteristics | | | BMI categories | | |
| Gender | | Normal | | 230 | 58.2 |
| Male | 219 | 55.4 | Underweight | 102 | 25.8 |
| Female | 176 | 44.6 | Overweight/obese | 63 | 15.9 |
| Age | | | OSTA value | -1.93 ± 3.671 | |
| 50-59 | 185 | 46.8 | OSTA classification | | |
| 60 and above | 210 | 53.2 | No Risk | 153 | 38.7 |
| Age in years, mean ± SD | 61.78 ±9.44 | | Moderate Risk | 154 | 39.0 |
| Education | | | High Risk | 88 | 22.3 |
| No formal education | 256 | 64.8 | OSTA value | | |
| Primary education | 92 | 23.3 | Male | -0.99 (3.77) | |
| SLC or above | 47 | 11.9 | Female -3.09 | | (3.17) |
| Ethnicity | | | Daily Calcium intake in mg | 360.87 | ± 362.39 |
| Tharu | 221 | 55.9 | Calcium intake | | |
| Dalit | 142 35.9 | | Sufficient | 112 | 28.3 |
| Others | 32 8.1 | | Not sufficient | 283 | 71.7 |
| Religion | | | Behaviour related factors | | |
| Hindu | 368 | 93.2 | Physical activity | | |
| Non-Hindu | 27 | 6.8 | No | 37 | 9.4 |
| Occupation | | | Yes | 358 | 90.6 |
| Farming | 368 | 98.2 | Smoking | | |
| Others | 7 | 1.8 | No | 255 | 64.5 |
| Anthropometric measurement and osteoporosis status | | Yes | 140 | 35.5 | |
| Height in cm, mean ± SD | 124 ± 28.52 | | Alcohol | | |
| Weight in kg, mean ± SD | 27 ± | 12.26 | No | 320 | 81.1 |
| BMI, mean ± SD | 21.17 ± 3.76 | | Yes | 75 | 18.9 |

Table 2. Associations of osteoporosis risk with sociodemographic, anthropometric and lifestyle behaviors (n=395)

| Variables | Risk of osteoporosis | | Bivariate analysis | | Multivariable analysis | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|------------|---------------------|----------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| | No | Yes | cOR (95% CI) | P value ¹ | aOR (95% CI) | P value ² |
| | Number (%) | Number (%) | | | | |
| Socio-demographic characteristics | | | | | | |
| Gender | | | | | | |
| Male | 108 (49.3) | 111 (50.9) | Ref | | Ref | |
| Female | 45 (25.7) | 131 (74.3) | 2.83 (1.84-4.35) | <0.001* | 5.18(2.10-12.75) | <0.001* |
| Age | | | | | | |
| 50-59 | 129 (69.7) | 56 (30.3) | Ref | | Ref | |
| 60 and above | 24 (11.4) | 186 (88.7) | 17.85 (10.55-30.27) | <0.001* | 32.49 (14.02-75.28) | <0.001* |
| Education | | | | | | |
| No formal education | 63 (24.6) | 193 (75.4) | 10.02 (4.81-20.86) | <0.001* | 1.79 (0.57-5.54) | 0.311 |
| Primary education | 54 (58.8) | 38 (41.2) | 2.30 (1.04-5.08) | 0.039* | 1.26 (0.40-3.90) | 0.301 |
| SLC or above | 36 (76.6) | 11 (23.4) | Ref | | Ref | |
| Ethnicity | | | | | | |
| Tharu | 97 (43.9) | 124 (56.1) | Ref | | Ref | |
| Dalit | 36 (25.4) | 106 (74.6) | 2.30 (1.45-3.56) | <0.001* | 1.86 (0.86-4.02) | 0.112 |
| Others | 20 (62.5) | 12 (37.5) | 0.46 (0.21-1.00) | 0.052 | 0.06 (0.003-10.35) | 0.301 |
| Religion | | | | | | |
| Hindu | 138 (37.5) | 230 (62.5) | 2.08 (0.94-4.58) | 0.068 | | |
| Non-Hindu | 15 (55.5) | 12 (44.5) | Ref | | | |

Table2 (Conti...)

| Occupation | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|------------|--------------------|---------|--------------------|---------|--|
| Farming | 149 (38.4) | 239 (61.6) | 2.13 (0.47-9.68) | 0.324 | | | |
| Others | 4 (57.1) | 3 (42.8) | Ref | | | | |
| Anthropometric and behaviour related factors | | | | | | | |
| BMI categories | | | | | | | |
| Normal | 90 (39.1) | 140 (60.9) | Ref | | Ref | | |
| Underweight | 6 (5.8) | 96 (94.1) | 10.28 (4.32-24.45) | <0.001* | 13.42 (4.58-39.30) | <0.001* | |
| Overweight/obese | 57 (90.5) | 6 (9.5) | 0.06 (0.02-0.16) | <0.001* | 0.04 (0.01-0.15) | <0.001* | |
| Calcium intake | | | | | | | |
| Sufficient | 57 (50.9) | 55 (49.1) | Ref | | Ref | | |
| Not sufficient | 96 (33.9) | 187 (66.1) | 2.01 (1.29-3.14) | 0.002* | 1.72 (0.85-3.55) | 0.127 | |
| Behaviour related factors | | | | | | | |
| Physical activity | | | | | | | |
| No | 13 (35.1) | 24 (64.9) | 1.18 (0.58-2.40) | 0.637 | | | |
| Yes | 140 (39.1) | 218 (60.9) | Ref | | | | |
| Smoking | | | | | | | |
| No | 101 (39.6) | 154 (60.4) | Ref | | | | |
| Yes | 52 (37.1) | 88 (62.9) | 1.10 (0.72-1.69) | 0.631 | | | |
| Alcohol | | | | | | | |
| No | 105 (32.8) | 215 (67.2) | Ref | | Ref | | |
| Yes | 48 (64.0) | 27 (36.0) | 0.27 (0.16-0.46) | <0.001* | 1.02 (0.37-2.80) | 0.955 | |
| ¹ Unadjusted model: cOR: Crude Odds Ratio; ² Adjusted model: aOR: Adjusted odds ratio; In the multivariable analysis, only | | | | | | | |
| significant variables from the bivariate model were adjusted. | | | | | | | |

Table3. Association of daily dietary calcium intake with osteoporosis

| | Daily dietary calcium intake | | | | |
|--------------|------------------------------|--------------------|-----------|--|--|
| Osteoporosis | Frequency | P value | | | |
| | | (IQR) ^a | | | |
| No | 153 | 300 (115, 450) | < 0.001 * | | |
| Yes | 242 | 225 (100, 386) | | | |

^aMann–Whitney U test

Discussion

Prevalence of osteoporosis

- Prevalence of osteoporosis was found as 22.4%, consistent with other studies
- Lower prevalence compared to DXA method
- Sensitivity and specificity of OSTA compared to that of DXA is moderate [6]
- Reliable findings as most of the participants were older, and the sensitivity of the OSTA increases with age

Associations of osteoporosis with different factors

With socio-demographic status:

- **Age**: Prevalence increases with advancing age
- Sex: higher prevalence in female than male because females start losing bone at an earlier age and at a faster rate than men
- Educational status: higher the education level, lower the incidence of osteoporosis in bivariate analysis
- Ethnicity: *Tharus* had lower prevalence due to typical type of food consumption like fresh water snail (called *Ghonghi* in local language)
- Religion and occupation: No association

Osteoporosis and calcium intake

- Dietary calcium consumption was negatively associated with osteoporosis
- Calcium helps to reduce the enlargement of the appendicular bones, which occurs due to the aging process, and slows bone turnover, reducing the number of active bone remodelling sites [7]
- Calcium reduces the parathyroid hormone secretion, which is the causing factor for bone turn over [8, 9]

Osteoporosis and BMI

- Higher the BMI, lower the chance of osteoporosis
- High body weight experiences a large mechanical load on the bone increasing the bone mass to accommodate this load, hence prevents from osteoporosis [10]
- Underweight leads to vitamin D and protein deficiency; vitamin D deficiency impairs the mineralization of the collagenous matrix (colloids), and low protein intake affects bone remodelling by reducing the production of insulinlike growth factor [11]

Osteoporosis and lifestyle behaviour

- **Physical activity**: physical activity was not associated with osteoporosis
- However exercise is related to osteoporosis
- Exercise is a planned, structured, repetitive activity that purposefully focuses on the improvement or maintenance of one or more components of physical activity, while physical activity simply refers to the daily activities of life that require the expenditure of energy
- Lack of exercise or physical fitness activity among the participants in this study.

Smoking

- No association between osteoporosis and smoking was found in this study
- Less than half (44.6%) were female and smoking influences reproductive hormones in females, causing natural menopause an average of 1-2 years earlier; hence, females develop osteoporosis, which is not common among males [12]
- Effect of smoking on bone is cumulative & dose dependent
- Other confounding variables might have contributed to the lack of a significant association

Alcohol consumption and osteoporosis

- Bivariate analysis revealed protective effect of alcohol intake
- It is dose dependent and Chronic alcoholism results in a disturbed level of vitamin D metabolism and the development of a low level of serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D [25(OH)D], ultimately leading to osteoporosis [13]

Conclusion

- High prevalence of osteoporosis in *Madhesh* Province due to the combined effects of low BMI (underweight) and inadequate daily calcium intake
- Necessity of nutritional counselling services to encourage people to consume sufficient calcium-rich food and adopt appropriate lifestyle behaviours to maintain healthy body weight so that osteoporosis and osteoporotic fractures can be prevented
- Further research can explore the impact of socioeconomic status and medical comorbidities on a large scale.

Reference

- 1. Salari N, Ghasemi H, Mohammadi L, Behzadi M hasan, Rabieenia E, Shohaimi S, et al. The global prevalence of osteoporosis in the world: a comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis. J Orthop Surg Res. 2021;16(1).
- 2. Chaudhary NK, Timilsena MN, Sunuwar DR et al. Association of Lifestyle and Food Consumption with Bone Mineral Density among People Aged 50 Years and above Attending the Hospitals of Kathmandu, Nepal. J Osteoporos. 2019;2019.
- 3. Shrestha S, Dahal S, Bhandari P, Bajracharya S, Marasini A. Prevalence of osteoporosis among adults in a tertiary care hospital: A descriptive cross-sectional study. J Nepal Med Assoc. 2019;57(220):396–400.
- 4. Koh LKH, Ben Sedrine W, Torralba TP, Kung A, Fujiwara S, Chan SP, et al. A simple tool to identify Asian women at increased risk of osteoporosis. Osteoporos Int. 2001;12(8):699–705.
- 5. Muslim D, Mohd E, Sallehudin A, Tengku Muzaffar T, Ezane A. Performance of Osteoporosis Self-assessment Tool for Asian (OSTA) for Primary Osteoporosis in Post-menopausal Malay Women. Malaysian Orthop J. 2012;6(1):35–9.
- 6. Wang P, Abdin E, Shafie S, Chong SA, Vaingankar JA, Subramaniam M. Estimation of prevalence of osteoporosis using osta and its correlation with sociodemographic factors, disability and comorbidities. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2019;16(13).
- 7. Warensjö E, Byberg L, Melhus H, Gedeborg R, Mallmin H, Wolk A, et al. Dietary calcium intake and risk of fracture and osteoporosis: Prospective longitudinal cohort study. Bmj. 2011;342(7808).
- 8. Reid IR. Should We Prescribe Calcium Supplements For Osteoporosis Prevention? J Bone Metab. 2014;21(1):2
- 9. Augustine M, Horwitz MJ. Parathyroid hormone and parathyroid hormone-related protein analogs as therapies for osteoporosis. Curr Osteoporos Rep. 2013;11(4):400–6.
- 10. Mazocco L, Chagas P. Association between body mass index and osteoporosis in women from northwestern Rio Grande do Sul. Rev Bras Reumatol (English Ed. 2017;57(4):299–305.
- 11. Han S, Park J, Nah S, Jang HD, Han K, Hong JY. Severity of underweight and risk of fracture: a Korean nationwide population-based cohort study. Sci Rep. 2022;12(1).
- 12. Ward KD, Klesges RC. A meta-analysis of the effects of cigarette smoking on bone mineral density. Calcif Tissue Int. 2001;68(5):259–70.
- 13. Lee K. Sex-specific relationships between alcohol consumption and vitamin D levels: The Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2009. Nutr Res Pract. 2012;6(1):86–90.

Presenter's information



- Radiographer officer at Nepal Orthopaedic Hospital, Jorpati, Kathmandu since 24 years
- Involved in teaching/learning activities in 10+2 (Mass communication and Journalism) since 15 years, BN/BSc Nursing (Nutrition and Dietetics) and MSc. Nutrition and Dietetics (Thesis supervisor) at CAFODAT college.
- Involved in clinical research and published around 10 academic papers in international pubmed indexed journals.

Thank you and

Welcome for feedback