Scaling up structured lifestyle interventions to improve the management of cardiometabolic diseases in low- and middle-income countries: A systematic review of strategies, methods, and outcomes

Authors: Abha Shrestha^{1,2,3}, Lu Yang^{1,2,4}, Getu Debalkie Demissie^{1,2}, Rolina Dhital⁵, Jeemon

Panniyammakal ²¹⁶, Ganeshkumar Parasuraman⁷, Sabrina Gupta¹, Biraj Karmacharya³,

Kavumpurathu R Thankappan⁸, Brian Oldenburg^{1,2}, Tilahun Haregu^{1,2}

- 1. School of Psychology and Public Health, La Trobe University, Melbourne, Australia
- 2. Baker Heart and Diabetes Institute, Melbourne, Australia
- 3. Kathmandu University School of Medical Science, Dhulikhel Hospital, Dhulikhel, Nepal
- 4. School of Sociology and Population Studies, Nanjing University of Posts and Telecommunications, China
- 5. Health Action and Research, Nepal
- 6. Sree Chitra Tirunal Institute for Medical Sciences and Technology, Trivandrum, India
- 7. ICMR National Institute of Epidemiology, Chennai, India
- 8. Amrita Institute of Medical Sciences, Kochi, India

We declare no conflict of interest.

Background

 \bigcirc

Structured Lifestyle Interventions (SLIs) to prevent and control Cardiometabolic diseases (CMDs) – an urgent agenda

- CMDs- predominant cause of NCD-related death.
- SLIs- Capacity building group-based, patientcentred education sessions that support individuals, family members, and caregivers.
- Proven effective through randomized controlled trials

• Cultural, language, and people to fit adaptation

2

Scaling up structured lifestyle intervention and gap

"Deliberate efforts to increase the impact of successfully tested health innovations to benefit more people and to foster policy and program development on a lasting basis"

(WHO)



The challenge lies in scaling up in LMICs

Хţ Хox

What strategies work?

What methods can be used to assess for the better outcome of the scale-up implementation study in LMICs?



Objective

 To identify the strategies, methods and outcomes used in scaling up Structured lifestyle programs to improve cardiometabolic outcomes in LMICs



Methodology

Implementation scale-up strategies: delivery approaches enhancing an intervention's adoption, implementation, and sustainability.

Methods: implementation scale-up theories, models, and frameworks (TMFs) guiding the process implementation scale-up.

Outcomes: Proctor et al.'s taxonomy, feasibility, fidelity, and integration, success determinants, and challenges.

Registered with PROSPERO (ID: CRD42023416974).

Methodology- PRISMA Guideline

Database	Framework	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion Criteria
Medline	S etting: Community	All published studies that conducted scale up assessments on the structured lifestyle intervention for the prevention and control of cardiometabolic diseases Setting: Low- and middle-income countries Time: no restriction on time Studies: randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and quasi-experimental, comparative, observational, longitudinal, and mixed-method studies	Not in the English language
CINHAL	Perspective: Cardiometabolic diseases		
EMBASE	Intervention: Structured Lifestyle		
CABI	C omparison: usual care		
PsycInfo	Evaluation: Scale		
Cochrane Library			

О

Methodology



Methodology

Quality assessment: the Cochrane risk-of-bias, National Institutes of Health, and Joanna Briggs Institute tools.

Certainty of Evidence: Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation **(GRADE)** methodology to summarize the quality of the retrieved studies.

Given the heterogeneity of the outcome measures, we conducted a narrative synthesis of the extracted information.



Results

Eighteen studies (69%) adapted SLI interventions to suit local contexts during scale up.

50% were implemented through government and non-governmental organizations.

Fifteen (58%) reported the feasibility of their scale-up.

Seven (27%) reported no significant differences in lifestyle behaviors or CMD biomarkers.

Strategies: system integration, strengthening facility services, providing incentives, and training led to up to 100% attendance of participants.

Four (15%) utilized methods for the full scale-up process

Strategies

Adaptation

- Modified- language
- Health literacy
- Considered background of trainers
- Food and culture

• Delivery

- Local NGO
- National/Province/State
 government
- Mothers and neighbors
- Association and civil society
- Social welfare

• Others:

- Free for members
- Glucometer and BP machine
- Prescription by nurse
- Free medications
- Kitchen garden, Yoga, walking groups
- Mobile messaging
- Door to door screening
- Providing lunch
- Involving all family members

Methods

- RE-AIM Framework
- MRC guideline and taxanomy of implementation outcome
- Detsky and Naglie costeffectiveness analysis
- World Hypertension League monitoring and evaluation framework
- T5 instructional design model

Outcomes

• Feasibility

- Wide reach- through peer led-15000 trained- 375000 educations
- **Fidelity-** recruitment, retention rate was high among the studies implemented with state/health system
- Integration:
 - State/health system-owned interventions
 - The trained providers implemented high retention rate 63%-100%
- Success:
 - System integrated
 - Association, NGOs engagement
 - Adapted by local activities
 - Relevant language and culturebased information

11

- Challenges
 - Shortage of providers
 - Resources-out of stock
 - Low enrollment of male participants

Summary of the implementation strategies

- Adaptation of the intervention and implementation strategies to the local context.
- Strong stakeholder engagement.
- Co-designing the scale-up process with key stakeholders.
- Intervening through community structures.
- Integrating the intervention into the health system.
- Strengthening the capacity of community health workers to implement SLI at the community level.
- Training and deploying peer leaders, volunteers, and other lay health workers.

Conclusion

- Developing good strategy:
 - Early engagement of the community and local stakeholders.
 - Readiness assessments, adaptation of SLIs to the local context
- Improved fidelity and effectiveness:
 - Engaging family and community at the beginning of the study.
 - Training the providers and community volunteers

Improved retention:

 Mobile texts or other media, strengthening facility services, and access to medicine and bringing service close to their doorsteps



Conclusion

- Integration with successful outcomes:
 - Integrated into an existing health system
 - Public-private partnership approach
- Gap remains:
 - Only 1 in 6 studies documented studies using frameworks -> crucial for policymakers and implementers
- Use of a suitable framework is recommended to guide the scale-up of SLIs to maximize the benefit for the population





Brief Bio

- Dr. Abha Shrestha, lecturer at Kathmandu University School of Medical Sciences, HDR PhD candidate at La Trobe University under the School of Psychology and Public Health, Australia.
- She has a background in Community Medicine (BPKIHS), a fellow of Translational Research on Cardiovascular Diseases in Nepal (KUSMS, NHLBI), and a scholar of the Global Health Delivery, (Harvard T. H. Chan School of Public Health).
- She has a deep interest in implementation science ٠ community-based services research. in nondiseases and communicable health svstem strengthening and has been involved in various such projects in Nepal.