Integrated Bio-Behavioral Survey among Female Sex Workers in East-West Highways Covering 22 Districts of Nepal

Round III -2006





Integrated Bio-Behavioral Survey among Female Sex Workers in East-West Highways Covering 22 Districts of Nepal

Round III - 2006

Submitted to:
Family Health International/Nepal
Gairi Dhara
P.O. Box 8803
Kathmandu, Nepal

Submitted by:



New ERA P.O. Box 722 Rudramati Marga, Kalopul Kathmandu, Nepal

In Collaboration with



STD/AIDS Counseling and Training Services P.O. Box 7314 Pyukha, Kathmandu, Nepal

August 2006

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to extend our sincere and heartfelt gratitude to Family Health International/Nepal (FHI/Nepal) for entrusting us the responsibility to conduct such an interesting and vital study.

The study team would like to express special thanks to Ms. Asha Basnyat, Country Director, FHI/Nepal, Ms. Jacqueline McPherson, Deputy Director, FHI/Nepal, Ms. Kamala Moktan, Technical Officer-Public Health, FHI/Nepal and Dr. Dimitri Prybylski, Senior Technical Officer, FHI/APD, Bangkok. Their inputs proved invaluable throughout the course of this study.

Dr. Laxmi Bilas Acharya, Team Leader – Surveillance, Research, Monitoring and Evaluation, FHI/Nepal deserves special credit for his technical inputs and guidance throughout the whole process of the study.

We are also indebted to various organizations such as Association of Medical Doctors of Asia (AMDA), Indreni Sewa Samaj, General Welfare Pratisthan (GWP), *Trinetra*, *Diyalo Pariwar*, Women Acting Together for Change (WATCH), Nepal STD and AIDS Research Center (N-SARC), Nepal National Social Welfare Association (NNSWA) and Nepal Red-Cross Society (NRCS) for their valuable suggestions and cooperation. We would like to gratefully acknowledge Nepal Police, National Center for AIDS and STD Control (NCASC) and District Public Health Office and Chief District Officer in the study districts for providing necessary administrative support during the study period.

Furthermore, the study team would like to thank Help Nepal, GWP, WATCH, N-SARC, NRCS, and NNSWA for providing counselor for post test counseling services and test result distribution to the study participants.

The study team would like to express heartfelt thanks to all the study participants who provided their valuable time for the interview and shared their personal experiences to bring the study to this shape.

Last but not the least, the strenuous effort put up by each and every member of the field team, coding members and data analysts have all contributed to give final shape to this report. We sincerely acknowledge their contribution.

Study Team New ERA

STUDY TEAM MEMBERS

Key Team Members

Mr. Sidhartha Man Tuladhar
 Mr. Niranjan Dhungel
 Ms. Pranita Thapa
 Project Director
 Project Co-ordinator
 Research Officer

Mr. Narayan Prasad Sitaula
 Senior Research Assistant
 Mr. Ramesh Dangi
 Senior Research Assistant
 Ms. Sarmila Prasai
 Senior Computer Programmer

Field Study Team Members

36.

Ms. Sangita Karki

1. Mr. Deepak Dhungel Research Assistant 2. Mr. Sudeep Acharya Research Assistant 3. Mr. Shanti Ram Dahal Research Assistant Mr. Bhim Prasad Upreti 4. Research Assistant 5. Mr. Pawan K.C. Research Assistant Mr. Rabindra Udash Field Supervisor 6. Field Supervisor 7. Mr. Pitambar Koirala Field Supervisor 8. Mr. Durga Prasad Acharya Mr. Pancha Maharjan Field Supervisor 9. 10. Mr. Chandra Bahadur Rai Field Supervisor Field Supervisor Ms. Roshani Shrestha 11. Field Supervisor 12. Ms. Kalpana Bhatta 13. Ms. Chandra Rana Field Supervisor 14. Ms. Pramila Sharma Field Supervisor Field Supervisor 15. Ms. Sumitra Shrestha 16. Ms. Sulochana Swar Field Supervisor Field Supervisor Ms. Devimaya Bogati 17. Field Supervisor Ms. Saraswati Katuwal 18. 19. Ms. Sarita Shrestha Field Supervisor Field Supervisor Ms. Janani Magar 20. Ms. Menuka Shrestha Field Supervisor 21. 22. Ms. Ishwori Swar Field Supervisor 23. Ms. Laxmi Thapa Field Interviewer 24. Ms. Sita Acharya Field Interviewer Ms. Kalpana Bhattarai 25. Field Interviewer Ms. Sapana Chand 26. Field Interviewer 27. Ms. Chitrakala Raut Field Interviewer 28. Ms. Puja Katuwal Field Interviewer 29. Ms. Laxmi Karki Field Interviewer 30. Ms. Gita Pokharel Field Interviewer 31. Ms. Sita Shrestha Field Interviewer 32. Ms. Sushila Sapkota Staff Nurse 33. Ms. Menuka Rai Staff Nurse Ms. Rambha Gurung Staff Nurse 34. 35. Ms. Ester Rai Staff Nurse

Staff Nurse

37. Mr. Madhu Thapa Runner 38. Mr. Ram Kumar Rijal Runner 39. Mr. Saroj Pandey Runner 40. Mr. Nabin Chaudhary Runner Mr. Bikash Sapkota 41. Runner Mr. Ram Prasad Yakha 42. Motivator

Data Entry/Tabulation / Coding

Mr. Babu Raja Dangol
 Ms. Deepa Shakya
 Coding Supervisor
 Coding Supervisor

Ms. Sharada Dangol - Editor
 Ms. Nitu Satyal - Coder
 Ms. Suva Laxmi Ranjit - Coder
 Ms. Sujaya Dhungel - Coder
 Mr. Pradeep Dahal - Coder

8. Ms. Sama Shrestha
9. Ms. Janani Magar
Data Entry Person
Data Entry Person

Administration Support

Mr. Sanu Raja Shakya
 Senior Word Processor
 Ms. Geeta Shrestha-Amatya
 Senior Word Processor

3 Mr. Rajendra Kumar Shrestha - Office Assistant

Laboratory Team (SACTS)

1. Dr. Vijaya Lal Gurubacharya - Consultant Pathologist

2. Ms. Jyotsana Shrestha - Micro Biologist

3. Mr. Janardan Kuinkel - Senior Lab Technician

4. Mr. Binod Shrestha
5. Ms. Richa Mishra
6. Ms. Arati Dulal
7. Ms. Yasodha Bista
8. Ms. Shanti Khanal
Lab Technician
Lab Technician
Lab Technician
Lab Technician

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | Page |
|------------|--|-------------|
| ACKNOWI | EDCEMENTS | |
| | EDGEMENTSAM MEMBERS | |
| | CONTENTS | |
| | ABLES | |
| | TIONS | |
| | E SUMMARY | |
| Chapter 1: | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 | Pookground | 1 |
| 1.1 | Background Objectives of the Study | |
| 1.2 | Objectives of the Study | 2 |
| Chapter 2: | METHODOLOGY | 3 |
| 2.1 | Study Population | 3 |
| 2.2 | Sample Design | 3 |
| 2.3 | Sample Size | 3 |
| 2.4 | Implementation of the Study | 3 |
| 2.5 | Identification and Recruitment Process | 4 |
| 2.6 | Research Instrument | 6 |
| 2.7 | Study Personnel | 6 |
| 2.8 | Recruitment and Training of Research Team | 7 |
| 2.9 | Field Operation Procedures | 7 |
| 2.10 | Quality Control of Laboratory Tests | 9 |
| 2.11 | Coordination and Monitoring | 9 |
| 2.12 | Ethical Issues | |
| 2.13 | HIV/STI Pre- and Post-Test Counseling and Follow-Up | 10 |
| 2.14 | Control of Duplication | |
| 2.15 | Constraints in the Field Work | 10 |
| 2.16 | Data Processing and Analysis | 11 |
| Chapter 3: | KEY FINDINGS | 12 |
| 3.1 | Socio-Demographic Characteristics | 12 |
| 3.2 | Sex Workers, their Clients and Other Sex Partners | |
| 3.3 | Type of Sex Practiced by Sex Workers | 18 |
| 3.4 | Income of Sex Workers | |
| 3.5 | Knowledge of Condom among Sex Workers | 21 |
| 3.6 | Condom Use with Different Sex Partners | |
| 3.7 | Availability of Condoms and Their Brand Names | 24 |
| 3.8 | Knowledge of HIV/AIDS | |
| 3.9 | Perception on HIV Test | |
| 3.10 | Access to FHI/Nepal Messages | |
| 3.11 | Knowledge and Treatment of Sexually Transmitted Infections | |
| 3.12 | Use of Alcohol and Drugs | 33 |

| | | | <u>Page</u> |
|------|---------|---|-------------|
| | 3.13 | Exposure to HIV/AIDS Awareness Programs | 34 |
| | 3.14 | Stigma and Discrimination | |
| | 3.15 | HIV/STI Prevalence among Female Sex Workers | |
| | 3.16 | Association of HIV with Socio-Demographic, | |
| | | Behavioral and STI Variables | 41 |
| | 3.17 | Association of STIs with Socio-Demographic and | |
| | | Behavioral Variables | 42 |
| | 3.18 | Treatment and Care Seeking Behavior of FSWs | 43 |
| | 3.19 | Comparison of Selected Behavioral of HIV and STI | |
| | | Prevalence Indicators with the 1999 and 2003 IBBS Results | 43 |
| | 3.20 | Change in Condom Use between 2003 and 2006 | 45 |
| Chap | oter 4: | CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 46 |
| 4.1 | Concl | usions | 47 |
| 4.2 | | nmendations | |
| REF | ERENC | EES | 50 |
| ANN | EXES | •••••• | 51 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | Ţ | <u>Page</u> |
|-----------|---|-------------|
| Table 1: | Distribution of Female Sex Workers by Development Regions | 12 |
| Table 2: | Socio-Demographic Characteristics of Female Sex Workers | 13 |
| Table 3: | Sexual Behavior of Female Sex Workers | |
| Table 4: | Number of Clients Reported by Female Sex Workers | 16 |
| Table 5: | Types of Clients Reported by Female Sex Workers | |
| Table 6: | Sex Partners of Female Sex Workers | |
| Table 7: | Types of Sex Practiced by Female Sex Workers | |
| Table 8: | Income from Sex Work and Other Jobs | |
| Table 9: | Sources of Knowledge of Condom Reported by Female Sex Workers . | |
| Table 10: | Condom Use with Clients, Regular Clients and Non-Paying | |
| | Sex Partners | 22 |
| Table 11: | | |
| 14010 111 | Male Friend | 24 |
| Table 12: | Condoms Available Places and Brand Name of Most Used Condom | |
| TT 11 10 | Reported by Female Sex Workers | |
| | Reported Places for Obtaining Condoms by Female Sex Workers | |
| Table 14: | \mathcal{E} | 21 |
| Table 15: | Percentage of FSWs Who Have Knowledge of Major Ways of | 20 |
| T 11 16 | Avoiding HIV/AIDS | |
| | FSWs' Knowledge on Ways of HIV/AIDS Transmission | |
| | Perception on HIV Test | 29 |
| Table 18: | Seen/Heard FHI Character/Message in the Past Year by Female | |
| | Sex Workers | |
| | Message Understood by Female Sex Workers | |
| | Reported STI and Treatment in the Past Year | |
| | Reported STI Symptom/s at the Time of Survey and their Treatment | |
| | Use of Alcohol and Drugs among Female Sex Workers | 33 |
| Table 23: | Knowledge of IDUs and History of Injecting Drugs among | |
| | Female Sex Workers | |
| Table 24: | Meeting/Interaction of FSWs with Peer Educator/Outreach Educator | 35 |
| Table 25: | DIC Visiting Practices of Female Sex Workers | 36 |
| Table 26: | STI Clinic Visiting Practices of Female Sex Workers | 37 |
| Table 27: | VCT Visiting Practices of Female Sex Workers | 38 |
| Table 28: | Participation of Female Sex Workers in STI/HIV/AIDS | |
| | Awareness Program | 39 |
| Table 29: | Attitude of FSWs towards HIV Positive People | 40 |
| | HIV and STI Prevalence among FSWs | |
| | Relationship between HIV and Demographic, Behavioral and | |
| | STIs Variables | 41 |
| Table 32: | Association between STIs and Demographic and Behavioral Variables | |
| | STI Symptoms and Treatment Seeking Behavior of Sex Workers | |
| | HIV and STI Prevalence Rates in 1999, 2003 and 2006 | |
| | HIV and STI Prevalence Rates in 2003 and 2006 | |
| | Comparison of HIV Prevalence among Selected Variables | |
| | Comparison of Condom Use between 2003 and 2006 | |

ABBREVIATIONS

AIDS Acquired Immuno-Deficiency Syndrome

CREHPA Center for Research on Environment, Health and Population Activities

DIC Drop-in-Center

ELISA Enzyme Linked Immuno Assays

FSW Female Sex Worker

GWP General Welfare Pratisthan

HIV Human Immuno-Deficiency Virus IBBS Integrated Bio-Behavioral Survey

IDU Injecting Drug User

MSM Men Who have Sex with Men

NCASC National Center for AIDS and STD Control

NGO Non-Governmental Organization

NNSWA Nepal National Social Welfare Association

NRCS Nepal Red-Cross Society

NRL National Reference Laboratory

NSARC Nepal STD and AIDS Research Center

OE Outreach Educator

PE Peer Educator

PHSC Protection of Human Subjects Committee

RPR Rapid Plasma Regain

SLC School Leaving Certificate
 STD Sexually Transmitted Disease
 STI Sexually Transmitted Infections
 VCT Voluntary Counseling and Testing

WHO World Health Organization

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This study is the third round of the Integrated Bio-Behavioral Survey (IBBS) conducted among 600 female sex workers (FSWs), from 22 districts of Terai Higway. Among them, 400 respondents were recruited from four study sites representing 16 districts between Jhapa in the east and Rupandehi in the west along the highway in the Terai, and 200 were recruited from three sites representing 6 districts between Kapilvastu in the west to Kanchanpur in the far western region. The IBBS was carried out during the months of March- June 2006. The survey measured HIV and STIs prevalence among FSWs and variables which are associated with a risk of HIV infection, such as condom use, sexual behaviors, knowledge of HIV/AIDS, reported cases of sexually transmitted infections (STI), STI treatment behaviors, exposure to HIV/AIDS messages and drug habits. This survey was also undertaken to compare the findings for condom use and sexual behavior of the FSWs in 22 districts from this study with findings from the 2003 study.

Study Methodology

Study Population

This cross-sectional IBBS was conducted among FSWs, one of the most at risk sub-populations. The eligibility criterion for recruitment into the study was: "women reporting to have had provided sexual services in return for payment in cash or in kind in the last six or more months in 16 districts stretching from east to west and 6 districts from west to the far west region."

Sampling

A mapping exercise was conducted to update the estimated size of the study population and the location of their working places. The study team visited the different settings and settlements in the sampled location for the size estimation of the study participants and updated the list prepared during the previous round of the study. After estimating the number of sex workers in different settlements, the study sites were divided into different clusters. FSWs were selected randomly for the interview from different clusters and settings.

Lab Testing

For collecting blood samples required for HIV and Syphilis testing, laboratories/ clinics were set up at seven different locations in order to cover the areas as prescribed by the sampling procedure. After obtaining an informed consent, a structured questionnaire was administered by trained interviewers to obtain information about socio-demographic characteristics and HIV risk behaviors, such as sexual and drug-using behaviors. Blood and swab samples were collected and syndromic treatment was provided for STI problems after examination by a staff nurse. All study participants were also provided pre test counseling for HIV. Lab analysis included testing for HIV, neisseria gonorrhoeae, chlamydia trachomatis and syphilis among the sex workers. The sex workers returned after one month for the results and treatment was given to those testing positive for STIs.

Findings

A total of 31.7 percent respondents were living in the Eastern Region, 10 percent in Central Region, 25 percent in Western Region, 13.3 percent in Mid-Western Region and 20 percent in far Western Region of Nepal. The median age of the FSWs was 27 years and 18.7 percent of them were less than 20 years of age. Two-thirds (67.3%) were illiterate or had no formal schooling. Approximately 25 percent of the respondents were either divorced or separated from their husbands.

Sex at an early age was the prevalent practice among the study population as 54.3 percent of the sex workers have had their first sexual contact at the age of 15-19 years. In 16 districts, 31.5 percent respondents and in the 6 districts, 24.5 percent were new entries to the sex trade. Almost three-quarters of the respondents in total (72.5%) entertained one client in an average per day. The mean number of their paying and non-paying sex partners in the previous week was 4.4. The respondents were also exposed to different kinds of violence in the hands of their clients. Twenty eight percent of the 6 districts sex workers and 19.8 percent of the 16 districts sex workers had been subjected to forceful sex with their clients in the past year. Twenty percent of them had also been physically assaulted.

Consistent condom use with clients was reported by only some of the FSWs. In the past year, 51.5 percent in 16 districts and 26 percent in 6 districts had used condoms consistently with their clients. Overall, 43 percent of the sex workers had used condom in every commercial sex act. Consistent use of condoms with non-paying partners was very low. Approximately six percent of the total sex workers had used condoms consistently in the past year with their non-paying partners.

Overall, 45.3 percent of the sex workers could get condoms within five minutes from the place of their work (sex work). A total of 44.7 percent of the respondents also reported that they obtained free condoms all the time. Free condoms were mostly obtained from NGO/health workers/ volunteers and the clients. For purchasing condoms, the respondents mostly preferred to go to pharmacies. Number One and *Dhaal* were the two most popular brands of condoms among the respondents.

Almost 98 percent of the sex workers had heard about HIV/AIDS. The radio was reported major source of the information of HIV/AIDS by 92.5 percent of the sex workers. Sixty percent of the respondents correctly identified all A, B and C as HIV preventive measures. However, 41.8 percent only rejected the common local misconception that mosquito bite transmitted HIV virus. In total, only 31.3 percent of the respondents were aware of all the five major indicators of HIV transmission

More than one-half of the respondents (54.7%) had been experiencing at least one STI symptom during the survey. Among them, 97.3 percent had not sought treatment for such symptoms. Among those few who had received treatment, they had visited AMDA clinic (33.3%), private clinic and pharmacy (22.2% each).

In total, 79.2 percent of the sex workers had at least once met or interacted with OEs/PEs from the HIV/AIDS related programs and 38.2 percent had visited DICs (Drop-in-centers). The proportion of the respondents paying their visit to STI clinic and VCT centers during the past year was 31.3 percent and 35.8 percent respectively. The participation of the sex workers in different HIV/AIDS awareness raising program was minimal with only 33.5 percent of them reporting to have participated in such activities in the 12 months preceding the survey. GWP had conducted most of these activities in 6 districts while in 16 districts it was WATCH that had conducted most of the programs.

Overall 1.5 percent (9/600) respondents were found to be HIV positive. There was no difference in HIV prevalence among the FSWs of 16 districts and 6 districts. However, prevalence of gonorrhea and chlamydia among the FSWs in the 6 districts (3.5% gonorrhea and 5.5% chlamydia) was low compared with prevalence among FSWs in 16 districts (gonorrhea 9.8% and chlamydia 18.3%). Nearly five percent (28/600 or 4.7%) of the FSWs had current syphilis. There was no statistical difference in the prevalence of current syphilis between the FSWs in 16 districts and 6 districts.

There has been significant decrease in HIV prevalence in 2006 (1.5% or 6/400) than in the 1999 survey (3.9% or 16/410). Prevalence of current syphilis has also significantly decreased from 11.7 percent in 1999 to 5.0 percent in 2006. The prevalence rate of gonorrhea did not change significantly (9.0% in 1999 and 9.8% in 2006), but the prevalence of chlamydia has increased progressively from 9.3 percent in 1999, 12.3 percent in 2003 to 18.3 percent in 2006. Such change between 1999 and 2006 is significant.

Recommendations

Young girls are entering the sex trade every year. So the HIV/AIDS awareness campaigns should target youth and adolescent groups. Programs might include visits by peer educators and outreach workers for raising awareness about HIV and STI and for the promotion of condom use. Sex education at school level would also help in creating general awareness.

The sex workers do not use condoms consistently. Condom use with non-paying partners such as husbands/wives and other boy/girl friends was very low. Therefore, prevention programs should focus more on the need for consistent condom use for HIV/STI infection prevention purposes with all kinds of partners.

Free condom distribution programs through NGO/health workers/volunteers should be continued and expanded to cover a larger group of the target population as the sex workers find it convenient to receive condoms from these sources.

The mobilization of peer and outreach educators for educating the target groups has been quite successful in meeting its objectives. It should be continued at a larger scale to cover more sex workers. Comparatively however, fewer sex workers had ever visited the existing DICs, STI clinics and VCT centers. Such facilities should be extended further to facilitate convenient access to the sex workers.

Chapter 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Nepal is presently experiencing a concentrated epidemic of HIV with prevalence at or over five percent in certain high risk groups such as injecting drug users (IDUs) and men who have sex with men (MSM). The country's vulnerability to HIV has increased because of several socio-economic factors including poverty coupled with lack of employment opportunities, large-scale migration and ten years of conflict. Sex work is rampant and trafficking of women for sex work in the brothels in Indian cities is a perennial problem.

At the end of July 2006, a cumulative total of 7,373 cases of HIV infection had been reported to the National Center for AIDS and STD Control (NCASC). Among them, 49.6 percent were clients of female sex workers (FSWs) or patients suffering from sexually transmitted diseases (STDs), 8.5 percent were FSWs and 21 percent were IDUs. Although the HIV/AIDS reporting system cannot measure the prevalence rate of the infection because of underreporting and delays in reporting, it indicates which sub-populations are affected.

The first ever HIV and STI prevalence survey, which covered 16 districts in the Terai along the East-West Highway route, was conducted in 1999. The survey showed that 3.9 percent of the FSWs and 1.5 percent of the truckers were HIV-positive (New ERA/SACTS/ FHI, 2000). A recent study showed 52 percent HIV infection among male IDUs in Kathmandu. Similarly, 22 percent of the male IDUs in Pokhara, 32 percent in the urban areas of Jhapa, Morang and Sunsari districts in eastern Nepal and 12 percent in the highway districts between Rupandehi and Kanchanpur in the western to far western region were carrying the virus (New ERA/SACTS/FHI 2005_a; ERA/SACTS/FHI, New ERA/SACTS/FHI, New $2005_{\rm b}$; ERA/SACTS/FHI 2005_d). Behavioral surveillance surveys conducted among FSWs and their clients on the Terai highway routes and in the Kathmandu valley revealed that the sex trade was on an increasing trend and that a greater number of younger FSWs were entering the business (New ERA, 2003c and New ERA, 2003d).

Interventions targeted at FSWs and their clients have been intensified over the years. These programs basically aim at bringing about behavioral change among the sex workers and their clients. Promotion of condom use as a safer sex practice is one of the chief components of these activities. The integrated bio-behavioral survey (IBBS) conducted in 2003 among FSWs in the 22 terai highway districts revealed that 22.7 percent of the sex workers had used condoms consistently with their clients in the past year (New ERA/SACTS/FHI 2004). This 2006 round of IBBS was undertaken to compare condom use practices and other knowledge and risk behaviors of the sex workers in the 22 terai highway districts with that of previous study findings.

1.2 Objectives of the Study

The objectives of the study were to determine the prevalence of HIV, Neisseria gonorrhoeae (GC), chlamydia trachomatis (CT) and syphilis among FSWs working at various sites in 22 districts and to assess their HIV/STI related knowledge, risk behaviors and to analyze trends through comparison with data obtained from the 2003 IBBS conducted in the same sites.

The specific objective of the study was to collect information related to socio-demographic characteristics; sexual and drug using behaviors; knowledge of HIV/AIDS; knowledge and treatment of STI problems; knowledge and use of condom; and exposure to available HIV/STI services from female sex workers in 22 districts and to relate them with HIV and STI infection.

Chapter 2: METHODOLOGY

2.1 Study Population

This cross-sectional IBBS study was conducted among Female sex workers (FSWs), who are considered to be one of the high-risk sub-populations. The eligibility criterion for them in order to be recruited for the study was: "women reporting to have had provided sexual services in return for payment in cash or in kind in the last six or more months in the 22 terai highway districts between Jhapa in the East to Kanchanpur in the Far West region."

2.2 Sample Design

IBBS studies require meticulous and cautious sampling procedures since the surveys need to be conducted repeatedly over a period of time in order to measure changes in the prevalence rate of HIV and STIs. To allow comparison of rates over time, the 2006 survey followed the same sampling procedure used in the previous rounds of IBBS with FSWs in 16 districts conducted in 1999 and 22 districts in 2003. A mapping exercise was conducted to list out the locations where sex workers were active. Then estimates of number of sex workers active in these locations were obtained. The data obtained from CREHPA was used to locate the sample areas. In total, around 7,600 sex workers were estimated in the study sites. The New ERA team visited the different settlements in the sampled location to update the list for sampling purpose.

2.3 Sample Size

As in the 2003 survey, the sample size of the sex workers to be included in the study was 600 consisting of 400 FSWs from 16 district in east-mid west region and 200 FSWs from 6 districts in west to far west region (Annex 1).

Two separate samples in the Eastern and Western clusters was necessitated for the same reason as in the 2003 study (data from BSS round 4 in eastern cluster and BSS round 1 in western cluster had shown significant difference in the characteristics of FSWs in these two clusters). This sample size was estimated to measure about 10 percent change in HIV prevalence among FSWs in 22 districts from the two percent HIV prevalence measured in 2003. Formula used in the sample size estimation is given in Annex 2

2.4 Implementation of the Study

Overall, New ERA was responsible for carrying out the study. The clinical part of the study was conducted in collaboration with STD/AIDS Counseling and Training Services (SACTS) and National Reference Laboratory (NRL). SACTS was responsible for setting up the mobile lab in the field sites, providing training to lab technicians, supervising and collecting blood samples, and conducting HIV and syphilis tests at their Kathmandu based laboratory. NRL was responsible for providing training to staff nurses, supervising the collection of endocervical swab specimens and conducting chlamydia trachomatis and neisseria gonorrhoeae testing at

their Kathmandu based laboratory. New ERA on the other hand designed research methodology including the sampling method, prepared the questionnaire, distributed STI/HIV results to the study participants with pre- and post-test counseling and managed the overall study. Many local organizations also provided assistance for the successful completion of the survey.

2.5 Identification and Recruitment of Study Participants

As in the previous round, the study sites were set up at seven different locations. The study team prepared a list of places with estimated number of sex workers where they could be contacted directly or through some sources. The sex workers were selected randomly in each site.

Sex work, which is illegal in the country, and has huge social stigma associated with it, is carried out clandestinely. It was not an easy task to identify the sex workers in different localities and to convince them to participate in the interview. However, most of the researchers, who conducted these interviews, were acquainted with the working places and behavior of the sex workers, as they had been frequently involved in previous rounds of IBBS and other studies of the same nature, including mapping exercises done for the size estimation of FSWs. The involvement of the trained and experienced researchers thus eased the identification and the recruitment process in many ways. Study team members knew some sex workers in each cluster, which helped them to develop good rapport between the study population and the research team.

Before the inception of the actual field work, the study team visited different local organizations. The study team apprised the different stakeholders about the study objectives and methodology. Meetings were conducted with the staff of different organizations, who had been mobilizing their peer educators, drop in center (DIC) operators and outreach educators among the study population in the selected study sites. The meetings were in general focused on getting acquainted with different organizations' working areas and with the names of staff members who interacted with the target groups. It was considered necessary to collect such information since the study also sought to find out the exposure of the study participants to various HIV/AIDS related programs including peer/outreach education and their visit to the DICs, VCT centers and STI clinics located in the district.

In order to reach the desired sample size and to facilitate their participation, the study team was mobilized along the major highways in the study sites. As in the previous study the study team used their established contacts with pimps (*dalals*) and FSWs in the proposed study areas for recruiting the study population. Strictly in line with the list of location in each cluster, the sex workers were recruited from various locations such as streets, hotels, restaurants, cabin restaurants, tea shops and other settlements. After careful observation of these establishments/sites, the researchers started approaching the study population using various techniques like building good rapport with their employers, visiting the site, taking the help of brokers and key informants, observing the activities of women in major gathering areas for FSWs, posing as clients, chatting with other staff of the establishments, approaching familiar sex workers or using snowball methods. The outreach and peer educators (OEs/PEs) of organizations like Association of Medical Doctors of Asia (AMDA), Help/Nepal,

Indreni Sewa Samaj, General Welfare Pratisthan (GWP), *Trinetra*, *Diyalo Pariwar*, Women Acting Together for Change (WATCH), Nepal STD and AIDS Research Center (N-SARC), Nepal National Social Welfare Association (NNSWA) and Nepal Red-Cross Society (NRCS) also facilitated the recruitment process in some instances.

In order to confirm the identity of the study participants, the sex workers were asked several screening questions. Such questions were related to their sexual experience and behavior; the type of sex partners they had; their involvement in the sex trade; the number of their clients; the period of their involvement in the profession; and their knowledge of HIV/AIDS awareness/prevention activities. If the interviewers found their answers convincing enough to establish their identity as sex workers then only they were interviewed. The respondents were screened at least twice and sometimes thrice during the process.

Respondents who satisfactorily answered all the screening questions were briefed about the purposes, objectives and methodology of the study. Once the selected sex worker was consented to participate in the study, the researchers took them to the clinic.

Informed consent form was administered by the interviewer in a private setting and witnessed by another staff to ensure that the study participants understood the questions well and knew about the services that would be provided to them and that they were participating in the study with their will. Both the interviewer and the witness were required to sign the consent form and date it. The interviewer administered the standard questionnaire in a private room.

A laminated ID card with a unique number was also issued to each respondent. The same number was used in the questionnaire, medical records, blood and endocervical swab specimens of the particular respondent. The names and addresses of the respondents were not recorded anywhere. A clinician gave the participants pre-test counseling on HIV/AIDS and STIs and asked them if they were currently suffering from any of the STI symptoms. Endo-cervical swab specimens were also collected from each respondent for chlamydia trachomatis and neisseria gonorrhoeae testing. They were also examined physically for any evidence of STI symptoms and incase of any such sign, they were counseled accordingly. They were provided free medicines for syndromic treatment of STIs in accordance with the "National STI Case Management Guidelines 2001". A lab technician drew a venous blood sample for HIV and syphilis testing. Additionally, a one-month supply of vitamin and iron capsules and Rs. 150 in cash for their transportation cost was also provided to the FSWs.

Field work for the study team started on March 13, 2006 and lasted till June 04, 2006.

Refusal

All the respondents participated voluntarily in the study. Their refusal to participate in the survey was carefully documented. Refusals were recorded at two stages: (1) at the time of approaching the sex workers at different locations and (2) after arriving at the study site, i.e., during the final stage of recruitment. Altogether 187 sex workers refused to take part in the study and 64 were considered clinically unfit for participation as they were menstruating/bleeding. Among them, 146 expressed their unwillingness to take part in the survey when they were approached by the study team members themselves or through pimps and peer educators while 41 refused to take part in the survey after arriving at the study site. Among them, 74 refused to participate in the study as they were not interested in it, 18 had recently been to a clinic/VCT center for check up, 32 denied that they were sex workers, 39 said that they were too busy, two were denied permission by their employer, six said that they were scared of blood test, 14 feared being exposed as a sex worker while two of them were pregnant and denied to take part in the study.

2.6 Research Instrument

A quantitative research approach was adopted in the study. The structured questionnaire that was used earlier in the similar IBBS was used with some additional questions. New sections were added to draw information on several issues like the FSWs' exposure to the ongoing HIV/AIDS awareness and treatment programs and their participation in such activities. Inputs received from the researchers during the mock interview sessions conducted prior to the survey were also duly considered for giving a final shape to the questionnaire. The questionnaire included questions on demographic characteristics and sexual behaviors - sexual history, use of condoms, risk perception, awareness of HIV/AIDS/STIs, incidence of STI symptoms, participation in HIV/AIDS awareness programs, and alcohol/drug using habits (Annex 3). Individual interviews were conducted with each sex worker using a structured questionnaire. Apart from the structured questionnaire, questions related to STI symptoms were asked to the sex workers by a staff nurse to check for presence of such symptoms in the past or during the survey (Annex 4). The study participants were provided syndromic treatment for STI problems. Blood samples for HIV and syphilis testing and endocervical swabs for chlamydia trachomatis and neisseria gonorrhoeae testing were also collected by clinician and lab technician. Strict confidentiality was maintained throughout the entire process.

2.7 Study Personnel

The study was conducted by a team comprised of a study director, a research coordinator, a research officer, two research assistants and field teams.

Five field teams were formed for the survey in seven different locations, each consisting of one male research assistant, one male supervisor, four female supervisors/interviewers, one staff nurse, one male/female lab technician and one runner. Itahari, Lahan, Butwal were covered by one team each while two teams covered four sites at Narayanghat, Nepalgunj, Dhangadi and Mahendranagar.

2.8 Recruitment and Training of Research Team

A total of five research assistants, five male supervisors, 20 female supervisor/interviewers, five staff nurses, five lab technicians and five runners were hired for the FSW survey. When selecting field researchers for the study, priority was given to researchers who had been involved in similar types of studies previously like BSS (Behavioral Surveillance Survey) and HIV/STI prevalence studies among FSWs, truckers, migrants, clients and IDUs.

A one-week intensive training was organized for all the field researchers/staff focusing on introduction to the study, administration of the questionnaire including characteristics of the target groups, methods of approaching them, rapport building techniques, and sharing of previous experiences (problems and solutions). In addition, the training session also involved mock interviews, role-plays and class lectures as well. Role-play practices were carried out assuming the actual field situation. Possible problems that could be faced while approaching the sex workers and ways of overcoming such problems were discussed. The training also focused on providing a clear concept of informed consent, pre-test counseling and basic knowledge of HIV/AIDS and STIs to the research team.

2.9 Field Operation Procedures

Clinic Set-up

Clinics were set up at seven different locations at Itahari, Lahan, Naraynaghat, Butwal, Nepalgunj, Dhangadi and Mahendranagar in order to cover those areas as outlined by the sampling procedure. These seven centrally located sites were purposively selected considering the convenience in meeting the study population and in bringing them to the clinic. Moreover, to assess the changes over the years, the study clinics were set up at the same sites as in the previous round of the study (2003). Each clinic had a lab facility for blood drawing and centrifuging the blood for separation of sera. There was a separate room for each activity, including administration of the questionnaire. At each clinic site there were altogether five to six rooms.

Clinical Procedures

All the participants were offered clinical examination as incentives to participate in the study. The clinical examination included simple health check up such as measurement of blood pressure, body temperature, weight, pulse, and symptomatic examination of STI with syndromic treatment. The participants were asked whether they had current STI symptoms of genital discharge, ulcers, or lower abdominal pain, and those presenting with these symptoms were treated syndromically according to national guidelines. Other over-the-counter medicines such as para-cetamol, alkalysing agents and vitamins were given as necessary. Endocervical swab specimens were collected for chlamydia trachomatis and neisseria gonorrhoeae testing. Furthermore, external genital examination was complemented with a speculum examination.

Laboratory Methods

Syphilis was tested using Rapid Plasma Regain (RPR) test card manufactured by Becton Dickinson and Company, and confirmed by means of the Serodia *Treponema pallidum* particle agglutination test (TPHA; Fujirebio Inc., Tokyo, Japan). TPHA positive and all samples with positive RPR were further tested for the titre of up to 64 times dilution. On the basis of titre of RPR, all the specimens with RPR/TPHA positive results were divided into two categories.

- TPPA positive with RPR-ve or RPR +ve with Titre $\leq 1:8$ were categorized as "history of syphilis or past syphilis".
- TPPA positive with RPR+ve with titre 1:8 or greater were categorized as "current syphilis" requiring immediate treatment.

A total of 229 FSWs (137 in 16 districts and 92 in 6 districts) were provided syndromic treatment for STIs as they went through the clinical procedure of the study.

HIV was detected by repeat positives of two separate enzyme linked immuno assays (ELISAs), so each sample underwent up to three separate tests. If the first ELISA test showed negative result then no further test was conducted, but if the first test showed positive result then a second ELISA test was performed. If the second result too confirmed the first result then no further test was performed. But if the second result contradicted with the first then a third test was done. The final test results thus were declared positive if the test results showed +ve, -ve, +ve and negative if it gave out +ve, -ve, -ve). The proposed testing protocol is based on WHO guidelines (strategy 3) and the National VCT Guidelines of Nepal developed by the NCASC.

PCR was performed for the detection of neisseria gonorrhoeae and chlamydia trachomatis among the study population. The specimen for this purpose was collected by endo cervical swab placed in PCR transport media. This test was conducted in the laboratory of NRL in Kathmandu.

Storage and Transportation of Samples

Blood samples for the HIV/Syphilis test were collected from each of the study participants using a 5ml disposable syringe. Serum samples were separated from the collected blood samples and stored in a fridge in the field. The specimens were handed over to the SACTS lab in Kathmandu twice a week in a cold box. The serum samples were stored at the SACTS laboratory at a temperature of –12 to -20°C. Endocervical swab samples were collected using an Amplicor swab specimen collection and transport kit for chlamydia trachomatis and neisseria gonorrhoeae test. The endocervical swab samples were handed over to NRL in Kathmandu twice a week where it was stored at a room temperature. Two separate cold boxes were used for blood and endocervical sample transportation from the field to Kathmandu.

2.10 Quality Control of Laboratory Tests

Quality control was strictly maintained throughout the process of the collection of the specimen, their handling and testing stages. All the tests were performed using internal controls. These controls were recorded with all the laboratory data. A total of 10 percent sample of the total serum collected was submitted for quality control assurance to an independent laboratory for testing for HIV and syphilis. The quality control samples were given a separate code number to ensure that the person who performed the quality control had no access to the test results.

2.11 Coordination and Monitoring

New ERA carried out the overall coordination of the study. New ERA sub-contracted SACTS to set up the field clinic and perform the laboratory and clinical part of the study including collecting, storing and testing samples.

The key research team member conducted monitoring and supervision of the field activities. New ERA study team members visited the field once or twice a week to monitor the fieldwork and coordinated with various concerned organizations. Research assistants and field supervisors were responsible on a day-to-day basis to ensure that the study was implemented according to the protocol in the field. Team meetings were held every week to plan ahead and solve any field level problems. The field research assistant reported to the senior research assistants or the project coordinator in Kathmandu by telephone whenever necessary. New ERA coordinated with FHI to send an appropriate person to the field to deal with any problems reported from the field as and when necessary. In addition, the key research team member made periodic site visits throughout the fieldwork. The key research team members, in conjunction with other designated personnel, were responsible for the overall monitoring. Occasional field visits were made from FHI as well.

2.12 Ethical Issues

Ethical approval was obtained from the Nepal Health Research Council (NHRC, the government's ethical clearance body, which approved the protocol, consent forms and questionnaires) and additionally from the Protection of Human Subjects Committee (PHSC) of Family Health International.

The participants involved in the in-depth interviews and sample surveys were fully informed about the nature of the study. They knew that their participation was voluntary and that they were free to refuse to answer any question or to withdraw from the interview at any time. Further, they were also briefed that such withdrawal or refusal would not affect the services they would normally receive from the study. A consent format describing the objectives of the study, the nature of the participant's involvement, the benefits and confidentiality issues was clearly read out to them (Annex 5).

Since names and addresses of the interviewed sex workers were not mentioned in any record, only the ID cards that were provided to the study participants with specific number identified them. HIV test results were provided to the individual participants

in strict confidence. The study team also maintained the confidentiality of the data collected through the survey.

2.13 HIV/STI Pre- and Post-Test Counseling and Follow-Up

After the collection of the blood samples all the study participants were informed about the date, location and place where they could have the test results. It was also informed that they could collect their test results only by showing the ID card bearing their study number that was provided to them by the study team. Pre and post HIV/STI test counseling were provided to the study participants. They were briefed about the importance of receiving the test result and when and where they could receive their HIV and STI results with post-test counseling. For follow-up services, the study participants were referred to AMDA, Help/Nepal, GWP, Trinetra, WATCH, N-SARC, NRCS and NNSWA counseling centers. Trained HIV/STI counselors distributed the test results two weeks after blood collection (Annex 6).

The study participants had the choice to receive either the HIV result or the syphilis result or both. They were well informed during the pre-test counseling about their options.

Post test counseling and individual report dissemination was completed between May 31, 2006 to June 21, 2006. The respondents were requested to collect their test results within the specified period. Although there was no provision for incentive like reimbursement for travel cost, 124 (20.7%) of the 600 sex workers tested for HIV and Syphilis, came to collect their test results. Test results were provided by trained counselors in different VCT centers and the study centers in the study sites. Test results were provided by Help Nepal in Itahari and Lahan, GWP in Narayanghat, WATCH in Butwal, N-SARC in Nepalgunj, NRCS in Dhangadi, and NNSWA in Mahendranagar.

2.14 Control of Duplication

In order to avoid repeated interviews with the same respondent, several questions were asked to the participants in case of any doubt regarding her first time participation in the study. Such questions included queries relating to her experience of undergoing any blood test, part of the body from where the blood was taken, her experience of HIV test or test for other diseases, meeting with the peer educators for blood test, and the possession of an ID card with a study number.

2.15 Constraints in the Field Work

Frequent "Nepal *bandhs*" called during survey were one of the major constraints faced by the study team. Because of such *bandhs* the field teams had difficulties in going to the study sites. The sex workers would also not appear at the prescribed locations one-two days before and after the *bandh*. Similarly, there was no suitable environment for the female researchers to enter the cabin restaurants since their safety could not be guaranteed. In some cases the owners of the cabin restaurants denied permission to them.

The 'People's movement' for restoring democracy in Nepal started in the first week of April 2006. The nationwide strikes called in the course of the movement created problems for the field team in meeting the respondents and in carrying out the field activities. Owing to the difficult situation in the field, the study team had to be called back to Kathmandu in the middle of the field work. The study was however, resumed afterwards.

2.16 Data Processing and Analysis

All the completed questionnaires were thoroughly checked by the field supervisors in the field, and were brought to New ERA for further checking, coding, processing, data entry and analysis. Double data entry system was used to minimize errors in the data entry. Simple statistical tools such as mean, median, frequency and percentages were used to analyze the data. The FoxPro database program was used for data entry and the data was analyzed using the SPSS package.

Chapter 3: KEY FINDINGS

A total of 600 female sex workers (FSWs) participated in the study. Among them, 400 were recruited from four sites representing 16 districts between Jhapa in the East and Rupandehi in the West regions along the highway in the Terai, and 200 were recruited from three sites representing 6 districts between Kapilvastu in the West to Kanchanpur in the Far Western region. This chapter describes the characteristics and sexual behavior of the FSWs and the prevalence of condom use among them. Some of the characteristics of the two groups (FSWs in the 16 districts and 6 districts) are also compared in this chapter.

3.1 Socio-Demographic Characteristics

The FSWs recruited represented Terai belt of the five development regions of Nepal (Eastern, Central, Western, Mid-Western and Far-Western). Out of the 600 FSWs, in the sample 31.7 percent were living in the Eastern Region, 10 percent in Central Region, 25 percent in Western Region, 13.3 percent in Mid-Western Region and 20 percent in far Western Region of Nepal (Table 1).

Table 1: Distribution of Female Sex Workers by Development Regions

| Current Places of Residence of Female Sex Workers | Percentage (N=600) |
|---|-----------------------|
| Eastern Region of Nepal | 31.7 |
| Central Region of Nepal | 10.0 |
| Western Region of Nepal | 25.0 |
| Mid-Western Region of Nepal | 13.3 |
| Far Western Region of Nepal | 20.0 |
| Total | 100.0 |

The median age of the FSWs was 27 years within a range of 13 to 52 years and percentage of FSWs less than 20 years of age was 18.7 percent. Two-third (67.3%) of the FSWs were illiterate or had no formal schooling, and eleven out of 600 (or 1.8%) had passed School Leaving Certificate (SLC).

More than half (55.7%) of the FSWs were married, 31.2 percent were separated, divorced or widowed and 13.2 percent were never married. The high rate of separation from the spouse in the context of Nepal indicates family disharmony among the FSWs. This is one of the noteworthy characteristics of the sex workers. Also among the married ones, one in five (or 19.5%) of the FSWs said that their husbands have co-wife (second wife), whereas 85.3 percent were currently living with their husbands or male friends (Table 2). A very small proportion of the unmarried sex workers (3.8%) were found to be living with their male friends.

About three-quarters (74.3%) of the FSWs had economically dependent members in the family, with the mean number of dependents being 2.5.

In terms of ethnic/caste groups all the major ethnic/caste groups were engaged in the profession of sex workers. As revealed by the study population, ethnicity/caste of the sex workers were as follows: Chhetri/Thakuri 20.7 percent, Tharu 17.2 percent, Terai Caste 17.2 percent, Damai/Sarki/Kami/Sunar 13.7 percent, Magar 7.3 percent, Brahmin 6.8 percent, Tamang 4.5 percent, Newar 3.2 percent, Rai/Limbu, 3.2 percent and Gurung 2.7 percent. Others are shown in Table 2 below.

| Table 2: Socio-Demographic Charact | eristics | oi Femal | | | | | | |
|--|----------|--------------|----------|----------------|-------------------------|--------------|--|--|
| | 2006 | | | | | | | |
| Demographic Characteristics | | 6 Districts | | stricts | Total (22 Districts) | | | |
| | N | % | N | % | N | % | | |
| Age of respondent | 1 | 0.5 | - | 1.5 | 7 | 1.2 | | |
| Up to 14 15 – 19 | 28 | 0.5 14.0 | 6 77 | 1.5 19.3 | 7 105 | 1.2 17.5 | | |
| Less than 20 | 29 | 14.5 | 83 | 20.8 | 112 | 18.7 | | |
| 20 – 24 | 38 | 19.0 | 82 | 20.5 | 120 | 20.0 | | |
| 25 – 29 | 54 | 27.0 | 82 | 20.5 | 136 | 22.7 | | |
| 30 – 34 | 44 | 22.0 | 68 | 17.0 | 112 | 18.7 | | |
| 35 – 39 | 23 | 11.5 | 55 | 13.8 | 78 | 13.0 | | |
| 40 or above | 12 | 6.0 | 30 | 7.5 | 42 | 7.0 | | |
| Range | | years | | years | | years | | |
| Mean/ Median Age: | | /28.0 | | /26.0 | 27.3 | | | |
| Total | 200 | 100.0 | 400 | 100.0 | 600 | 100.0 | | |
| Education | 100 | ~1 ~ | 202 | 50.0 | 20.6 | 51.0 | | |
| Illiterate | 103 | 51.5 19.0 | 203 | 50. 8 15. 0 | 306 98 | 51.0 | | |
| Literate, no schooling Grade 1 – 5 | 38 | 19.0 | 60 80 | 20. 0 | 98 113 | 16.3 18.8 | | |
| Grade 1 – 5 Grade 6 – 9 | 24 | 12.0 | 48 | 12.0 | 72 | 12.0 | | |
| SLC and Above | 2 | 1.0 | 9 | 2.3 | 11 | 1.8 | | |
| Total | 200 | 100.0 | 400 | 100.0 | 600 | 100.0 | | |
| Ethnic/Caste Group | 200 | 1000 | | 1000 | 000 | 2000 | | |
| Chhetri/Thakuri | 50 | 25.0 | 74 | 18.5 | 124 | 20.7 | | |
| Tharu | 52 | 26.0 | 54 | 13.5 | 106 | 17.7 | | |
| Terai Caste | 9 | 4.5 | 94 | 23.5 | 103 | 17.2 | | |
| Damai/Sarki/Kami/Sunar | 42 | 21.0 | 40 | 10.0 | 82 | 13.7 | | |
| Magar | 13 | 6.5 | 31 | 7.8 | 44 | 7.3 | | |
| Brahmin | 13 | 6.5 | 28 | 7.0 | 41 | 6.8 | | |
| Tamang | 5 | 2.0 | 23 14 | 5.8 3.5 | 27 19 | 4.5 3.2 | | |
| Newar Rai/Limbu | 0 | 0.0 | 19 | 4.8 | 19 | 3.2 | | |
| Gurung | 5 | 2.5 | 11 | 2.8 | 16 | 2.7 | | |
| Other (Sanyasi, Kumal, Sherpa, Gaine, Majhi, Badi, etc.) | 7 | 3.5 | 12 | 3.0 | 19 | 3.2 | | |
| Total | 200 | 100.0 | 400 | 100.0 | 600 | 100.0 | | |
| Marital Status | | | | | | | | |
| Married | 111 | 55.5 | 223 | 55.8 | 334 | 55.7 | | |
| Divorced/Separated | 52 | 26.0 | 99 | 24.8 | 151 | 25.2 | | |
| Widowed | 20 | 10.0 | 16 | 4.0 | 36 | 6.0 | | |
| Never Married | 17 | 8.5 | 62 | 15.5 | 79 | 13.2 | | |
| Total | 200 | 100.0 | 400 | 100.0 | 600 | 100.0 | | |
| Husband Has Co-wife | | 24 - | 4.0 | 15. | | 46 = | | |
| Yes | 25 | 22.5 | 40 | 17.9 | 65 | 19.5 | | |
| No Tradal | 86 | 77.5 | 183 | 82.1 | 269 | 80.5 | | |
| Total | 111 | 100.0 | 223 | 100.0 | 334 | 100.0 | | |
| Living Status of FSW Currently Married FSWs Living With Husband/Male Friend | 85 | 76.6 | 200 | 89.7 | 285 | 85.3 | | |
| Unmarried Sex Workers Living With Male Friend | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 4.8 | 3 | 3.8 | | |
| Dependents on Sex Workers' Income | U | 0.0 | J | 7.0 | 3 | 5.0 | | |
| Yes | 150 | 75.0 | 296 | 74.0 | 446 | 74.3 | | |
| No | 50 | 25.0 | 104 | 26.0 | 154 | 25.7 | | |
| Total | 200 | 100.0 | 400 | 100.0 | 600 | 100.0 | | |
| Total Number of Dependents (Adults + Children) | | | | | | | | |
| One | 29 | 19.3 | 67 | 22.6 | 96 | 21.5 | | |
| 2-3 | 90 | 60.0 | 183 | 61.8 | 273 | 61.2 | | |
| 4 and more | 31 | 20.7 | 46 | 15.5 | 77 | 17.3 | | |
| Mean Number of Dependents: | - | 2.6 | - | 2.5 | - | 2.5 | | |
| Total | 150 | 100.0 | 296 | 100.0 | 446 | 100.0 | | |

The median age at which the sex workers were married for the first time was 15 years while majority of the sex workers (94.1%) were married before the age of 20 and some as early as at 6 years of age (Table 3).

Sex at an early age was the prevalent practice among the study population as 95.8 percent of them reported to have had their first sexual contact before the age of 20 years. Around 41.5 percent reported to have undergone the experience much earlier at 9-14 years of age. The median age at first sexual experience was 15 years old.

The respondents had been engaged in the sex trade for a period ranging between six months to four or more years. The mean number of months for which they were involved in the sex trade was 37.7 months, with 29.2 percent of them carrying out sex work for less than a year, indicating the entry of new women into the profession. Among the respondents, 31.5% from 16 districts and 24.5% from 6 districts were new entries to the sex trade (Table 3). As per the study criteria set for the study population, those sex workers involved in the profession for less than six months were not interviewed.

The study revealed that the FSWs moved from one place to another in the course of their work. They moved for different reasons, such as to hide their identities as sex workers and to avoid being apprehended by police during raids. Only about a fifth (20%) said that they had been living there for four or more years. One-fourth (25.3%) of the respondents had been working as sex workers in and around the interview sites since the last 7-12 months.

A total of 16.3 percent of sex workers said that they had worked as sex workers elsewhere while 3.7 percent of the sex workers (22/600) reported that they had worked for some time in India as sex workers (Table 3). Out of 22 sex workers who worked as sex workers in India, four sex workers reported they were coerced to go to India. Sixty eight percent of them had worked there for less than a year while the rest had worked longer (Table 3).

Table 3: Sexual Behavior of Female Sex Workers

| Table 3: Sexual Bena | ,101 01 1 | cinuic bea | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| • | 2006 6 Districts 16 Districts Total | | | | | | | |
| Sexual Behavior | ο D | istricts | 16 Districts | | Total (22 Districts) | | | |
| | N | % | N | % | N (22 DIS | % | | |
| Age at First Marriage | - 11 | 70 | -11 | 70 | | 70 | | |
| 6 – 14 | 83 | 45.4 | 142 | 42.0 | 225 | 43.2 | | |
| 15 – 19 | 90 | 49.2 | 175 | 51.8 | 265 | 50.9 | | |
| 20 – 24 | 9 | 4.9 | 18 | 5.3 | 27 | 5.2 | | |
| 25 – 33 | 1 | 0.5 | 3 | 0.9 | 4 | 0.8 | | |
| Mean/Median Age at First Marriage: | 15.1/ | 15.0 | 15.1/ | 15.0 | 15.1/1 | 5.0 | | |
| Total | 183 | 100.0 | 338 | 100.0 | 521 | 100.0 | | |
| Age at First Sexual Intercourse | | | | | | | | |
| 9 – 14 | 96 | 48.0 | 153 | 38.3 | 249 | 41.5 | | |
| 15 – 19 | 98 | 49.0 | 228 | 57.0 | 326 | 54.3 | | |
| 20 – 24 | 5 | 2.5 | 17 | 4.2 | 22 | 3.7 | | |
| 25 – 28 | 1 | 0.5 | 2 | 0.5 | 3 | 0.5 | | |
| Mean/Median Age at First Sex: | 14.9/ | 1 | 15.3/ | 1 | 15.2/1 | | | |
| Total | 200 | 100.0 | 400 | 100.0 | 600 | 100.0 | | |
| Duration of Sexual Exchange for Money | | | | | | | | |
| 6 – 12 months | 49 | 24.5 | 126 | 31.5 | 175 | 29.2 | | |
| 13 – 24 months | 51 | 25.5 | 93 | 23.3 | 144 | 24.0 | | |
| 25 – 36 months | 33 | 16.5 | 52 | 13.0 | 85 | 14.2 | | |
| 37–48 months | 34 | 17.0 | 29 | 7.2 | 63 | 10.5 | | |
| More than 48 months Mean Months: | 33 | 16.5 35.6 | 100 | 25.0 38.8 | 133 | 22.2 37.7 | | |
| Mean Months: | 200 | | 400 | _ | 600 | 100.0 | | |
| | 200 | 100.0 | 400 | 100.0 | 000 | 100.0 | | |
| Working as a SW from the Interview Location Up to 6 months | 9 | 4.5 | 38 | 9.5 | 47 | 7.8 | | |
| 7 – 12 months | 45 | 22.5 | 107 | 26.8 | 152 | 25.3 | | |
| 13 – 24 months | 53 | 26.5 | 86 | 21.5 | 139 | 23.2 | | |
| 25 – 36 months | 36 | 18.0 | 49 | 12.2 | 85 | 14.2 | | |
| 37 – 48 months | 33 | 16.5 | 30 | 7.5 | 63 | 10.5 | | |
| More than 48 months | 24 | 12.0 | 90 | 22.5 | 114 | 19.0 | | |
| Total | 200 | 100.0 | 400 | 100.0 | 600 | 100.0 | | |
| Ever Worked as a SW in Other Places | | 1000 | 100 | 1000 | 000 | 1000 | | |
| Yes | 17 | 8.5 | 81 | 20.3 | 98 | 16.3 | | |
| No | 183 | 91.5 | 319 | 79.8 | 502 | 83.7 | | |
| Total | 200 | 100.0 | 400 | 100.0 | 600 | 100.0 | | |
| Worked in India as a SW | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 3 | 1.5 | 19 | 4.8 | 22 | 3.7 | | |
| No | 197 | 98.5 | 381 | 95.3 | 578 | 96.3 | | |
| Total | 200 | 100.0 | 400 | 100.0 | 600 | 100.0 | | |
| Coerced or Voluntarily Went to India | | | | | | | | |
| Coerced | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 21.1 | 4 | 18.2 | | |
| Went Voluntarily | 3 | 100.0 | 15 | 78.9 | 18 | 81.8 | | |
| Total | 3 | 100.0 | 19 | 100.0 | 22 | 100.0 | | |
| Duration of Sexual Exchange for Money in India | | | | | | | | |
| Up to 6 months | 2 | 66.7 | 10 | 52.6 | 12 | 54.5 | | |
| 7-12 months | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 15.8 | 3 | 13.6 | | |
| 13-24 months | 1 | 33.3 | 4 | 21.1 | 5 | 22.7 | | |
| More than 24 months | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 10.5 | 2 | 9.1 | | |
| Total | 3 | 100.0 | 19 | 100.0 | 22 | 100.0 | | |

3.2 Sex Workers, their Clients and Other Sex Partners

3.2.1 Sex Workers and their Clients

Table 4 shows the number of clients (i.e., paying sex partners) that a sex worker serves in general. As seen in the Table, the number of clients served per day ranged from one to four or more clients, with a mean of 1.4 clients served per day. Almost three-quarters of the respondents in total (72.5%) reported that they entertained one client in an average per day (Table 4).

In order to have a clearer picture of the number of clients that the sex workers served, they were further asked about the number of their clients on the previous day of the interview, during one week preceding the survey and on the last day that they had sexual contact. The number of clients served by the sex workers on the previous day of the interview ranged from zero to more than four. The sex workers who had not seen any client on the previous day of the interview were in majority (54.8%). Almost 31 percent had provided service to one client and 5.5 percent had sexual contact with 3-4 clients on the previous day of the interview.

A total of 28.2 percent of the respondents had provided sexual service to 3-4 clients, while 26.7 percent of them had entertained 5-10 clients in the week preceding the survey. The mean number of clients entertained by the sex workers in the past week was four.

Table 4: Number of Clients Reported by Female Sex Workers

| | 2006 | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------|------|--------------|------|-------------------------|------|--|--|--|
| Number of Clients of Sex Workers | 6 Districts | | 16 Districts | | Total (22 Districts) | | | | |
| | N=200 | % | N=400 | % | N=600 | % | | | |
| Average Number of Clients Per Day | | | | | | | | | |
| One | 127 | 63.5 | 308 | 77.0 | 435 | 72.5 | | | |
| Two | 49 | 24.5 | 73 | 18.3 | 122 | 20.3 | | | |
| Three– Four | 22 | 11.0 | 15 | 3.8 | 37 | 6.2 | | | |
| More than Four | 2 | 1.0 | 4 | 1.0 | 6 | 1.0 | | | |
| Mean Clients per Day: | - | 1.5 | - | 1.3 | - | 1.4 | | | |
| Number of Clients on the Previous Day | | | | | | | | | |
| None | 107 | 53.5 | 222 | 55.5 | 329 | 54.8 | | | |
| One | 59 | 29.5 | 126 | 31.5 | 185 | 30.8 | | | |
| Two | 22 | 11.0 | 26 | 6.5 | 48 | 8.0 | | | |
| Three – Four | 11 | 5.5 | 22 | 5.6 | 33 | 5.5 | | | |
| More than Four | 1 | 0.5 | 4 | 1.0 | 5 | 0.8 | | | |
| Mean Number of Clients on the Previous Day | - | 0.7 | - | 0.7 | - | 0.7 | | | |
| Number of Clients in the Past Week | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | 14 | 7.0 | 45 | 11.3 | 59 | 9.8 | | | |
| One | 39 | 19.5 | 48 | 12.0 | 87 | 14.5 | | | |
| Two | 17 | 8.5 | 78 | 19.5 | 95 | 15.8 | | | |
| 3 – 4 | 54 | 27.0 | 115 | 28.8 | 169 | 28.2 | | | |
| 5 – 10 | 67 | 33.5 | 93 | 23.3 | 160 | 26.7 | | | |
| More than 10 | 9 | 4.5 | 21 | 5.2 | 30 | 5.0 | | | |
| Mean Number of Clients in the Past Week: | - | 4.1 | - | 3.9 | - | 4.0 | | | |
| Time of Last Sexual Contact | | | | | | | | | |
| On the Day of Interview | 2 | 1.0 | 25 | 6.3 | 27 | 4.5 | | | |
| 1 – 2 Days Before | 126 | 63.0 | 222 | 55.6 | 348 | 58.0 | | | |
| 3 – 5 Days Before | 50 | 25.0 | 105 | 26.2 | 155 | 25.8 | | | |
| 6 and More Days Before | 22 | 11.0 | 48 | 12.0 | 70 | 11.7 | | | |
| Number of Clients on the Day of Last Sexual Contact | | | | | | | | | |
| One | 147 | 73.5 | 335 | 83.8 | 482 | 80.3 | | | |
| Two | 38 | 19.0 | 38 | 9.5 | 76 | 12.7 | | | |
| Three | 13 | 6.5 | 22 | 5.5 | 35 | 5.8 | | | |
| Four and More | 2 | 1.0 | 5 | 1.3 | 7 | 1.2 | | | |
| Mean Number of Clients on that Day: | - | 1.4 | - | 1.2 | - | 1.3 | | | |
| Average Number of Days Worked in a Week | | | | | | | | | |
| One | 7 | 3.5 | 18 | 4.5 | 25 | 4.2 | | | |
| Two | 18 | 9.0 | 37 | 9.3 | 55 | 9.2 | | | |
| Three | 35 | 17.5 | 79 | 19.8 | 114 | 19.0 | | | |
| Four to Seven Days | 140 | 70.0 | 266 | 66.5 | 406 | 67.7 | | | |
| Mean Number of Days Worked in a Week: | - | 4.6 | - | 4.5 | - | 4.5 | | | |

In an average FSWs worked 4.5 days in a week as sex workers. A majority of FSWs (67.7%) reported that they worked four to seven days a week as sex worker (table 4).

3.2.2 Types of Clients

Profession wise, the four most cited clients of the sex workers were business men, policemen/soldiers, transport workers and migrant/industrial workers. In the sub-population of the six far western districts, the most-frequent clients were policemen/soldiers (reported by 68.5 % of the FSWs in the 6 districts).

When asked about the occupation of the last client FSWs have named the same type of clients as in the occupation of the most-frequent client but the ranks are slightly different. For instance, as a most frequent client and the last client also "businessmen" are in the top rank but ranks of other occupations differ in these reporting (Table 5).

Table 5: Types of Clients Reported by Female Sex Workers

| | 2006 | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------|-------------|-------|--------------|-------|-------------------|--|--|--|
| Types of Clients | 6 Dis | 6 Districts | | 16 Districts | | otal (stricts) | | | |
| | N=200 | % | N=400 | % | N=600 | % | | | |
| Occupation of Most Frequent Clients* | | | | | | | | | |
| Businessman | 77 | 38.5 | 244 | 61.0 | 321 | 53.5 | | | |
| Policeman/Soldier | 137 | 68.5 | 173 | 43.3 | 310 | 51.7 | | | |
| Transport Worker/Driver | 93 | 46.5 | 196 | 49.0 | 289 | 48.2 | | | |
| Migrant/Industrial Worker/Wage Laborer | 92 | 46.0 | 169 | 42.3 | 261 | 43.5 | | | |
| Service Holder/Officer/Doctor | 62 | 31.0 | 173 | 43.3 | 235 | 39.2 | | | |
| Rickshawala | 11 | 5.5 | 53 | 13.3 | 64 | 10.7 | | | |
| Student | 9 | 4.5 | 17 | 4.2 | 26 | 4.3 | | | |
| Foreign Employee | 1 | 0.5 | 19 | 4.8 | 20 | 3.3 | | | |
| Contractor | 6 | 3.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 1.0 | | | |
| Others | 5 | 2.5 | 28 | 7.0 | 33 | 5.5 | | | |
| Occupation of Last Client | | | | | | | | | |
| Businessman | 27 | 13.5 | 96 | 24.0 | 123 | 20.5 | | | |
| Migrant/Industrial Worker/Wage Laborer | 51 | 25.5 | 64 | 16.0 | 115 | 19.2 | | | |
| Service Holder/Officer/Doctor | 27 | 13.5 | 76 | 19.0 | 103 | 17.2 | | | |
| Policeman/Soldier | 51 | 25.5 | 51 | 12.8 | 102 | 17.0 | | | |
| Transport Worker/Driver | 29 | 14.5 | 64 | 16.0 | 93 | 15.5 | | | |
| Rickshawala | 4 | 2.0 | 15 | 3.8 | 19 | 3.2 | | | |
| Student | 5 | 2.5 | 9 | 2.2 | 14 | 2.3 | | | |
| Foreign Employee | 0 | 0.0 | 8 | 2.0 | 8 | 1.3 | | | |
| Contractor | 3 | 1.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.5 | | | |
| Other | 2 | 1.0 | 16 | 4.0 | 18 | 3.0 | | | |
| Don't Know | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.2 | 2 | 0.3 | | | |

^{*}Note: The percentages add up to more than 100 because of multiple responses.

3.2.3 Sex Workers and Their Paying/Non-paying Sex Partners

The risk of transmission of sexual infection depends largely on the number of sex partners. This section presents additional information on the number of sex partners that the sex workers had inclusive of both paying and non-paying sex partners. Non-paying partners included boyfriends and regular partners who did not pay them for sex. Around 38 percent of the sex workers had 3-5 paying sex partners in the week preceding the survey. About five percent of both the 6 districts and 16 districts sex workers had more than 10 paying sex partners during the period. The mean number of paying partners in the past week was 4.0.

About 45 percent of the sex workers had non-paying sex partners with a minimum of one to a maximum of 10 in the past week. The mean number of non-paying partners entertained by the sex workers in the week preceding the survey was 0.5 (Table 6).

The mean number of both paying and non-paying sex partners in the previous week was 4.4 with 40.8 percent of sex workers serving 3-5 clients during the period. The majority of the sex workers (71.5%) had their last sexual contact with their clients, 27.2 percent of them had their husband/male friends as their last sex partners (Table 6).

Table 6: Sex Partners of Female Sex Workers

| | 2006 | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------|-------------|-------|---------|-------------------------|------|--|--|--|--|
| Sex Partners of Sex Workers | | 6 Districts | | stricts | Total (22 Districts) | | | | | |
| | N=200 | % | N=400 | % | N=600 | % | | | | |
| No. of Paying Sex Partners in the Past Week | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | 14 | 7.0 | 45 | 11.3 | 59 | 9.8 | | | | |
| 1 - 2 | 56 | 28.0 | 126 | 31.5 | 182 | 30.3 | | | | |
| 3 – 5 | 78 | 39.0 | 152 | 38.0 | 230 | 38.3 | | | | |
| 6 – 10 | 43 | 21.5 | 56 | 14.0 | 99 | 16.5 | | | | |
| More than 10 | 9 | 4.5 | 21 | 5.2 | 30 | 5.0 | | | | |
| Mean (Paying Partners in the Past Week): | - | 4.1 | - | 3.9 | - | 4.0 | | | | |
| No. of Non-Paying Sex Partners in the Past Week | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | 123 | 61.5 | 211 | 52.8 | 334 | 55.7 | | | | |
| 1 – 2 | 77 | 38.5 | 188 | 47.1 | 265 | 44.2 | | | | |
| 3 – 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.2 | | | | |
| Mean (Non-Paying Partners in the Past Week): | - | 0.4 | - | 0.5 | - | 0.5 | | | | |
| No. of Paying and Non-Paying Sex Partners in the Past Week | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | 9 | 4.5 | 26 | 6.5 | 35 | 5.8 | | | | |
| 1 – 2 | 57 | 28.5 | 107 | 26.8 | 164 | 27.3 | | | | |
| 3 – 5 | 71 | 35.5 | 174 | 43.5 | 245 | 40.8 | | | | |
| 6 – 10 | 52 | 26.0 | 72 | 18.0 | 124 | 20.7 | | | | |
| More than 10 | 11 | 5.5 | 21 | 5.2 | 32 | 5.3 | | | | |
| Mean (Paying and Non-Paying Sex Partners in the Past Week) | - | 4.5 | - | 4.4 | - | 4.4 | | | | |
| Last Sex Partner | | | | | | | | | | |
| Client | 146 | 73.0 | 283 | 70.8 | 429 | 71.5 | | | | |
| Husband/Male friend | 52 | 26.0 | 111 | 27.8 | 163 | 27.2 | | | | |
| Other male | 2 | 1.0 | 6 | 1.5 | 8 | 1.3 | | | | |

3.3 Type of Sex Practiced by Sex Workers

Violence against sex workers, including forced sex is not uncommon and puts sex workers in higher risk of contracting STIs/HIV. In this study, the sex workers were queried if they had ever faced situations such as forced sex or demand for types of sexual acts in which they were reluctant to participate. Table 7 shows that 28.0 percent of the 6 districts and 19.8 percent of the 16 districts sex workers had been subjected to forceful sex with their clients in the past year. Some of the sex workers had performed sex other than vaginal with their different partners in the year preceding the survey (Table 7). Nearly one-third (31.7%) of the respondents also reported that they have had clients who refused to pay for sexual services on at least one occasion (Table 7). The mean number of such incidents in the past six months was 3.0.

The sex workers were further asked if they had been forced to perform any sexual acts against their wishes in the past one year. A total of 103 sex workers replied positively. As the study revealed, oral sex (40.8% or 42/103) followed by masturbation (34% or 35/103) and anal sex (31% or 32/103) were reported as types of activities that they were forced to perform despite their unwillingness to do so in the past one year. Twenty percent had also been subjected to physical assault in the past one year (Table 7).

Table 7: Types of Sex Practiced by Female Sex Workers

| Table 7. Types of Sex I | ex Practiced by Female Sex Workers 2006 | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|--|--|--|
| m ag | Total | | | | | | | | |
| Type of Sex | 6 Dis | tricts | 16 Districts | | (22 Districts) | | | | |
| | N | % | N | % | N | % | | | |
| Any Partner Forcibly Demanded Sex in the Past | | | | | | | | | |
| Year | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 56 | 28.0 | 79 | 19.8 | 135 | 22.5 | | | |
| No | 144 | 72.0 | 321 | 80.3 | 465 | 77.5 | | | |
| Total | 200 | 100.0 | 400 | 100.0 | 600 | 100.0 | | | |
| Types of Sex Acts in the Past Year | | | | | | | | | |
| Oral Sex | 19 | 9.5 | 35 | 8.8 | 54 | 9.0 | | | |
| Anal Sex | 20 | 10.0 | 30 | 7.5 | 50 | 8.3 | | | |
| Masturbation | 24 | 12.0 | 41 | 10.3 | 65 | 10.8 | | | |
| Only Vaginal | 164 | 82.0 | 328 | 82.0 | 492 | 82.0 | | | |
| Total | 200 | * | 400 | * | 600 | * | | | |
| Clients Refusing to Pay for Sexual Services | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 79 | 39.5 | 111 | 27.8 | 190 | 31.7 | | | |
| No | 121 | 60.5 | 289 | 72.3 | 410 | 68.3 | | | |
| Mean No. of Such Incidences in Past Six Months: | - | 4.3 | - | 2.1 | - | 3.0 | | | |
| Total | 200 | 100.0 | 400 | 100.0 | 600 | 100.0 | | | |
| Clients Performing Activities that FSWs Disliked in | | | | | | | | | |
| the Past Year | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 36 | 18.0 | 67 | 16.8 | 103 | 17.2 | | | |
| No | 164 | 82.0 | 333 | 83.3 | 497 | 82.8 | | | |
| Total | 200 | 100.0 | 400 | 100.0 | 600 | 100.0 | | | |
| Types of Activities Performed by Clients Which | | | | | | | | | |
| FSWs Disliked | | | | | | | | | |
| Oral Sex | 18 | 50.0 | 24 | 35.8 | 42 | 40.8 | | | |
| Masturbation | 15 | 41.7 | 20 | 29.9 | 35 | 34.0 | | | |
| Anal Sex | 10 | 27.8 | 22 | 32.8 | 32 | 31.1 | | | |
| Rape | 0 | 0.0 | 12 | 17.9 | 12 | 11.7 | | | |
| Escape Without Paying | 2 | 5.6 | 9 | 13.4 | 11 | 10.7 | | | |
| Assaulted | 11 | 2.8 | 6 | 9.0 | 7 | 6.8 | | | |
| Verbal Torture | 1 | 2.8 | 5 | 7.5 | 6 | 5.8 | | | |
| Theft Money | <u>0</u> 4 | 0.0 | 5 2 | 7.5 | 5 | 4.9 | | | |
| Others | • | 11.1 | | 3.0 | 6 | 5.8 | | | |
| Total | 36 | * | 67 | * | 103 | * | | | |
| Types of Sex with Last Client | | 2.0 | 26 | | 22 | | | | |
| Masturbation | 6 | 3.0 | 26 | 6.5 | 32 | 5.3 | | | |
| Anal Sex | 5 4 | 2.5 | 10 | 2.5 | 15 | 2.5 | | | |
| Oral Sex | 200 | 2.0 | 14 400 | 3.5 100.0 | 18 600 | 3.0 | | | |
| Vaginal Sex | | * | | * | | 100.0 | | | |
| Total Physically Assaulted by Any Person for Any Reason | 200 | * | 400 | * | 600 | ٠ | | | |
| Privilegally Associated by Any Person for Any Reason | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| in the Past Year | 43 | 21.5 | 78 | 19.5 | 121 | 20.2 | | | |
| | 43 157 | 21.5 78.5 | 78 322 | 19.5 80.5 | 121 479 | 20.2 79.8 | | | |

*Note: The percentages add up to more than 100 because of multiple responses.

3.4 Income of Sex Workers

The mean income of the sex workers from the last sex with a client was Rs. 330 (6 districts sex workers) and Rs. 726 (16 districts sex workers) with a minimum of Rs. 10 per sex act to a maximum of Rs. 10,000. In an average the respondents made Rs. 594 from the last sex with a client (Table 8). Such variations in their income could be due to the varying rates for sex acts charged by the different categories of sex workers (such as street based, restaurant based, disco based etc) in the study population (New ERA, 2004). Other reasons could be different rates for married and uneducated sex workers compared to their educated and unmarried counterparts. Both cash and gifts received by the sex workers have been taken into account when calculating the total income from sex work.

The sex workers were also asked if they had been doing any other job besides sex work. A majority of the sex workers (61.8 %) reported that they were engaged in other jobs as well. Among them, 51.5 percent from the 6 district and 67 percent from the 16 districts had other jobs. A majority of the respondents (43.7%) in 6 Districts were working as wage laborers and most of the respondents (39.2%) in 16 Districts were working as waitresses in different restaurants/hotels (Table 8). It is to be noted that a negligible number (only two) of sex workers in 6 districts were working as waitresses. Other types of jobs performed by the respondents are shown in Table 8. The sex workers were making a substantial income from such jobs. The weekly income of the respondents from jobs other than the sex work ranged from Rs. 50-5,000 with an average of Rs. 573.

Table 8: Income from Sex Work and Other Jobs

| | Table 8: Income from Sex Work and Other Jobs 2006 | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|-------|--------------|-------|------------------------|--------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Income from Sex Work and Other Jobs | 6 Districts | | 16 Districts | | Total (22 Districts | | | | | | | |
| | N | % | N | % | N | % | | | | | | |
| Income from Last Sex with Client | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Up to Rs. 100 | 53 | 26.5 | 42 | 10.5 | 95 | 15.8 | | | | | | |
| Rs. 101 – Rs. 500 | 110 | 55.0 | 174 | 43.5 | 284 | 47.3 | | | | | | |
| Rs. 501 – Rs. 1,000 | 31 | 15.5 | 107 | 26.8 | 138 | 23.0 | | | | | | |
| Rs. 1001 – Rs. 1,500 | 4 | 2.0 | 36 | 9.0 | 40 | 6.7 | | | | | | |
| Rs. 1501 - Rs. 2,000 | 1 | 0.5 | 19 | 4.8 | 20 | 3.3 | | | | | | |
| Rs. 2000 and above | 1 | 0.5 | 22 | 5.5 | 23 | 3.8 | | | | | | |
| Range: Rs | 10-2 | ,500 | 20-1 | 0,000 | 10-1 | 10,000 | | | | | | |
| Mean Income from Last Sex Work: Rs. | - | 330 | - | 726 | - | 594 | | | | | | |
| Total | 200 | 100.0 | 400 | 100.0 | 600 | 100.0 | | | | | | |
| Weekly Income from Sex Work | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Up to Rs. 1,000 | 106 | 53.0 | 130 | 32.5 | 236 | 39.3 | | | | | | |
| Rs 1,001 – Rs. 2,000 | 56 | 28.0 | 90 | 22.5 | 146 | 24.3 | | | | | | |
| Rs 2,001 – Rs. 3,000 | 22 | 11.0 | 79 | 19.8 | 101 | 16.8 | | | | | | |
| Rs 3,001 – Rs. 4,000 | 13 | 6.5 | 39 | 9.8 | 52 | 8.7 | | | | | | |
| Rs 4,001 – Rs. 5,000 | 2 | 1.0 | 22 | 5.5 | 24 | 4.0 | | | | | | |
| Rs 5,001 – Rs. 10,000 | 1 | 0.5 | 28 | 7.0 | 29 | 4.8 | | | | | | |
| More than Rs 10,000 | 0 | 0.0 | 12 | 3.0 | 12 | 2.0 | | | | | | |
| Range: Rs. | 100-7,200 | | 100-25,0 | | 100-25, | | | | | | | |
| Mean Weekly Income from Sex Work: Rs. | - | 1,320 | - | 2,599 | | 2,173 | | | | | | |
| Total | 200 | 100.0 | 400 | 100.0 | 600 | 100.0 | | | | | | |
| Have Other Jobs besides Sex Work | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 103 | 51.5 | 268 | 67.0 | 371 | 61.8 | | | | | | |
| No | 97 | 48.5 | 132 | 33.0 | 229 | 38.2 | | | | | | |
| Total | 200 | 100.0 | 400 | 100.0 | 600 | 100.0 | | | | | | |
| Type of Part-time Jobs | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Wage Laborer | 45 | 43.7 | 71 | 26.5 | 116 | 31.3 | | | | | | |
| Waitress | 2 | 1.9 | 105 | 39.2 | 107 | 28.8 | | | | | | |
| Retail Shops/Business | 27 | 26.2 | 35 | 13.1 | 62 | 16.7 | | | | | | |
| Owner of Bhatti Pasal/Restaurant | 14 | 13.6 | 22 | 8.2 | 36 | 9.7 | | | | | | |
| Domestic Help | 5 | 4.9 | 21 | 7.8 | 26 | 7.0 | | | | | | |
| Service (Teacher, peon, etc.) | 6 | 5.8 | 3 | 1.1 | 9 | 2.4 | | | | | | |
| Sewing/Tailoring | 4 | 3.9 | 5 | 1.9 | 9 | 2.4 | | | | | | |
| Peer Communicator in NGO | 2 | 1.9 | 6 | 2.2 | 8 | 2.2 | | | | | | |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 1.1 | 3 | 0.8 | | | | | | |
| Total | 103 | * | 268 | * | 371 | * | | | | | | |

Table 8: Cont'd...

| | 2006 | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|-------|--------------|-------|-------------------------|-------|--|--|
| Income from Sex Work and Other Jobs | 6 Districts | | 16 Districts | | Total (22 Districts) | | | |
| | N | % | N | % | N | % | | |
| Average Weekly Income from Other Sources Besides | | | | | | | | |
| Sex Work | | | | | | | | |
| 0 (No Other Source) | 97 | 48.5 | 132 | 33.0 | 229 | 38.2 | | |
| Up to Rs. 500 | 46 | 23.0 | 179 | 44.8 | 225 | 37.5 | | |
| Rs. 501- Rs. 1,000 | 54 | 27.0 | 75 | 18.8 | 129 | 21.5 | | |
| Rs. 1,001 – Rs. 1,500 | 1 | 0.5 | 5 | 1.3 | 6 | 1.0 | | |
| Rs. 1,501 – Rs. 2,000 | 1 | 0.5 | 3 | 0.8 | 4 | 0.7 | | |
| Rs. 2,000 and above | 1 | 0.5 | 6 | 1.5 | 7 | 1.2 | | |
| Range Rs. | 50-3,500 | | 50-5,00 | | 50-5, | 000 | | |
| Mean Weekly Rs.: | - | 527 | - | 590 | - | 573 | | |
| Total | 200 | 100.0 | 400 | 100.0 | 600 | 100.0 | | |

*Note: The percentages add up to more than 100 because of multiple responses.

3.5 Knowledge of Condom among Sex Workers

The survey results revealed that the radio, friends/neighbor, pharmacy, NGOs and television were the most popular sources of information on condoms among the respondents. There is a slight difference in the proportion of respondents from 6 Districts and 16 Districts who named these sources of information (Table 9). Some of the other important sources as mentioned by the respondents were billboard/signboards, newspaper/poster and hospitals (Table 9). A significantly higher proportion of respondents from 6 districts (62%) than those from 16 districts (38.3%) reported that they had heard about condoms from health workers/volunteers.

Table 9: Sources of Knowledge of Condom Reported by Female Sex Workers

| Source of Knowledge of Condoms | | 2006 | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-------|-------------|-------|--------------|-------|-------------------------|--|--|--|
| | 6 Dis | 6 Districts | | 16 Districts | | Total (22 Districts) | | | |
| | N=200 | % | N=400 | % | N=600 | % | | | |
| Sources of Knowledge of Condoms: | | | | | | | | | |
| Radio | 187 | 93.5 | 363 | 90.8 | 550 | 91.7 | | | |
| Friend/Neighbor | 170 | 85.0 | 316 | 79.0 | 486 | 81.0 | | | |
| Pharmacy | 140 | 70.0 | 342 | 85.5 | 482 | 80.3 | | | |
| NGOs | 139 | 69.5 | 338 | 84.5 | 477 | 79.5 | | | |
| Television | 132 | 66.0 | 340 | 85.0 | 472 | 78.7 | | | |
| Hospital | 101 | 50.5 | 257 | 64.3 | 358 | 59.7 | | | |
| Newspaper/Poster | 111 | 55.5 | 241 | 60.3 | 352 | 58.7 | | | |
| Health Post/Health Center | 90 | 45.0 | 203 | 50.8 | 293 | 48.8 | | | |
| Billboard/Signboard | 97 | 48.5 | 189 | 47.3 | 286 | 47.7 | | | |
| Health Worker/Volunteer* | 124 | 62.0 | 153 | 38.3 | 277 | 46.2 | | | |
| Community Event/Training | 64 | 32.0 | 155 | 38.8 | 219 | 36.5 | | | |
| Cinema Hall | 38 | 19.0 | 116 | 29.0 | 154 | 25.7 | | | |
| Street Drama | 63 | 31.5 | 73 | 18.3 | 136 | 22.7 | | | |
| Video Van | 49 | 24.5 | 51 | 12.8 | 100 | 16.7 | | | |
| Comic Book | 29 | 14.5 | 50 | 12.5 | 79 | 13.2 | | | |
| Clients | 3 | 1.5 | 60 | 15.0 | 63 | 10.5 | | | |
| Community Workers | 28 | 14.0 | 32 | 8.0 | 60 | 10.0 | | | |

Note: * denotes significant difference at p< .01

Note: The percentages add up to more than 100 because of multiple responses.

3.6 Condom Use with Different Sex Partners

The sex workers reported having two different types of sex partners: (i) Paying partners, i.e., clients, both regular and occasional and (ii) Non-paying partners, i.e., husband, boyfriends and cohabiting male friends. The following sections describe their practice of condom use with different sex partners. The consistent use of condoms with non-paying partners was much lower than with regular partners and

clients in the year preceding the survey. However, the sex workers themselves had suggested condom use in most of the cases.

3.6.1 Condom Use with Client

In their last sexual encounter with a client, 66.3 percent of the respondents had used condoms. Three-quarters (74.6%) of them had themselves suggested the use of condom in these sexual encounters. A significantly higher proportion of the respondents from the 16 districts (51.5%) than from 6 districts (26%) had been consistent condom users with their clients in the past year (Table 10).

3.6.2 Condom Use with Regular Client

A total of 84.2 percent of the sex workers reported having regular clients in the past year. About three-fourths (72.3%) of them had used condom in the last sexual contact with a regular client. Condom use was mostly (78.9%) suggested by the respondents themselves (Table 10). Half of the sex workers had used condom in each of the sexual acts with their regular clients in the past year.

3.6.3 Condom Use with Non-Paying Partners

One-half (53.3%) of the sex workers had non-paying sex partners in the past year. These non-paying partners were mostly persons known to them, such as boyfriend, husband or cohabiting sex partner. The infrequent use of condom with familiar partner/s is the prevalent practice among the sex workers as only 5.9 percent of them had used condom consistently in the past year with their non-paying partners.

Table 10: Condom Use with Clients, Regular Clients and Non-Paying Sex Partners

| Condom Use by Female Sex Workers | 2006 | | | | | | |
|---|-------------|-------|--------------|-------|-------------------------|-------|--|
| | 6 Districts | | 16 Districts | | Total (22 Districts) | | |
| | N | % | N | % | N | % | |
| Ever used condom with any sex partner | 169 | 84.5 | 339 | 84.7 | 508 | 84.7 | |
| Use of Condom with Client in the Last Sex | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 126 | 63.0 | 272 | 68.0 | 398 | 66.3 | |
| No | 74 | 37.0 | 128 | 32.0 | 202 | 33.7 | |
| Total | 200 | 100.0 | 400 | 100.0 | 600 | 100.0 | |
| Condom Use Suggested by | | | | | | | |
| Myself | 84 | 66.7 | 213 | 78.3 | 297 | 74.6 | |
| My partner | 42 | 33.3 | 59 | 21.7 | 101 | 25.4 | |
| Total | 126 | 100.0 | 272 | 100.0 | 398 | 100.0 | |
| Consistent Use of Condom with the Client in the Past Year | | | | | | | |
| Every time* | 52 | 26.0 | 206 | 51.5 | 258 | 43.0 | |
| Most of the time | 61 | 30.5 | 83 | 20.8 | 144 | 24.0 | |
| Sometimes | 36 | 18.0 | 36 | 9.0 | 72 | 12.0 | |
| Rarely | 18 | 9.0 | 15 | 3.8 | 33 | 5.5 | |
| Never | 33 | 16.5 | 60 | 15.0 | 93 | 15.5 | |
| Total | 200 | 100.0 | 400 | 100.0 | 600 | 100.0 | |

Table 10: Cont'd...

| | 2006 | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|-------|--------------|-------|-------------------------|-------|--|--|
| Condom Use by Female Sex Workers | 6 Districts | | 16 Districts | | Total (22 Districts) | | | |
| | N | % | N | % | N | % | | |
| Have Regular Client in the Past Year | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 159 | 79.5 | 346 | 86.5 | 505 | 84.2 | | |
| No | 41 | 20.5 | 54 | 13.5 | 95 | 15.8 | | |
| Total | 200 | 100.0 | 400 | 100.0 | 600 | 100.0 | | |
| Use of Condom with Regular Client in the Last Sex | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 104 | 65.4 | 261 | 75.4 | 365 | 72.3 | | |
| No | 55 | 34.6 | 85 | 24.6 | 140 | 27.7 | | |
| Total | 159 | 100.0 | 346 | 100.0 | 505 | 100.0 | | |
| Condom Use Suggested By | | | | | | | | |
| Myself | 70 | 67.3 | 218 | 83.5 | 288 | 78.9 | | |
| My partner | 34 | 32.7 | 43 | 16.5 | 77 | 21.1 | | |
| Total | 104 | 100.0 | 261 | 100.0 | 365 | 100.0 | | |
| Consistent Use of Condom with Regular Clients in the Past Year | | | | | | | | |
| Every time | 61 | 38.4 | 190 | 54.9 | 251 | 49.7 | | |
| Most of the time | 29 | 18.2 | 65 | 18.8 | 94 | 18.6 | | |
| Sometimes | 28 | 17.6 | 23 | 6.6 | 51 | 10.1 | | |
| Rarely | 9 | 5.7 | 11 | 3.2 | 20 | 4.0 | | |
| Never | 32 | 20.1 | 57 | 16.5 | 89 | 17.6 | | |
| Total | 159 | 100.0 | 346 | 100.0 | 505 | 100.0 | | |
| Have Non-Paying Partner during Past Year | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 106 | 53.0 | 214 | 53.5 | 320 | 53.3 | | |
| No | 94 | 47.0 | 186 | 46.5 | 280 | 46.7 | | |
| Total | 200 | 100.0 | 400 | 100.0 | 600 | 100.0 | | |
| Consistent Use of Condom with Non-Paying Partner in the Past Year | | | | | | | | |
| Every time | 3 | 2.8 | 16 | 7.5 | 19 | 5.9 | | |
| Most of the time | 6 | 5.7 | 6 | 2.8 | 12 | 3.8 | | |
| Sometimes | 8 | 7.5 | 12 | 5.6 | 20 | 6.3 | | |
| Rarely | 10 | 9.4 | 18 | 8.4 | 28 | 8.8 | | |
| Never | 79 | 74.5 | 162 | 75.7 | 241 | 75.3 | | |
| Total | 106 | 100.0 | 214 | 100.0 | 320 | 100.0 | | |

Note: * denotes significant difference at p<.01

3.6.4 Condom Use with Partners Other Than Client, Husband and Male Friend

As high as 22.2 percent of the sex workers reportedly were engaged in sexual acts with people other than their clients, husband or male friend (occasional partners) in the past year. Use of condom in the last sexual act with such partners was reported by 62.4 percent of them and in most cases (68.7%), the sex workers themselves had made suggestions for using condoms (Table 11).

Out of 133 sex workers who had sexual contacts with such occasional sex partners 45.1 percent (60/133) had used condom consistently. The sex workers were more likely to use condom with unknown partners than with familiar partners

Table 11: Condom Use with Partners Other than Client, Husband and Male Friend

| | 2006 | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|-------|--------------|-------|-------------------------|-------|--|--|
| Condom Use by Female Sex Workers | 6 Districts | | 16 Districts | | Total (22 Districts) | | | |
| | N | % | N | % | N | % | | |
| Have Sex with Partners Other than Client, | | | | | | | | |
| Husband, Male Friend in the Past Year | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 45 | 22.5 | 88 | 22.0 | 133 | 22.2 | | |
| No | 155 | 77.5 | 312 | 78.0 | 467 | 77.8 | | |
| Use of Condom with Partners Other than | | | | | | | | |
| Client, Husband, Male Friend in the Last Sex | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 28 | 62.2 | 55 | 62.5 | 83 | 62.4 | | |
| No | 17 | 37.8 | 33 | 37.5 | 50 | 37.6 | | |
| Total | 45 | 100.0 | 88 | 100.0 | 133 | 100.0 | | |
| Condom Use Suggested by | | | | | | | | |
| Myself | 19 | 67.9 | 38 | 69.1 | 57 | 68.7 | | |
| My partner | 9 | 32.1 | 17 | 30.9 | 26 | 31.3 | | |
| Total | 28 | 100.0 | 55 | 100.0 | 83 | 100.0 | | |
| Consistent Use of Condom with Partners | | | | | | | | |
| Other than Client, Husband, Male Friend in | | | | | | | | |
| the Past Year | | | | | | | | |
| Every time | 20 | 44.4 | 40 | 45.5 | 60 | 45.1 | | |
| Most of the time | 5 | 11.1 | 13 | 14.8 | 18 | 13.5 | | |
| Sometimes | 5 | 11.1 | 14 | 15.9 | 19 | 14.3 | | |
| Rarely | 5 | 11.1 | 2 | 2.3 | 7 | 5.3 | | |
| Never | 10 | 22.2 | 19 | 21.6 | 29 | 21.8 | | |
| Total | 45 | 100.0 | 88 | 100.0 | 133 | 100.0 | | |

3.7 Availability of Condoms and Their Brand Names

The respondents were asked whether they usually carried condoms with them. Altogether 39.8 percent of them mentioned that they usually carried condoms with them. However, the majority (74.9%) of those who reported carrying condoms usually did not have a condom with them when they were requested by the interviewers to show them (Table 12).

Regarding the accessibility of condoms almost half (45.3%) of the sex workers said that they could get condoms within five minutes from the place of their work (sex work). A significantly less proportion of the sex workers in 6 districts (30.0%) than those from 16 districts (53%) reported so.

A majority of the sex workers (84.2%) reported that they could get condoms from pharmacies. The NGOs/health workers/volunteers (73.7%) was mentioned as the second in importance for obtaining condoms. Other places where they could reportedly get condoms were general store (59.3%), *paan* shops (38.8%) and hospital (36.3%).

The sex workers were queried about the brand names of the condoms they used most. Condoms available under the brand name of Number One were most popular among 57.2 percent of the sex workers. The other most used brands as mentioned by them were *Dhaal* (50%), *Jodi* (23.3 %) and Panther (19.3 %). However, *Dhaal* was more popularly used in West to Far west 6 districts compared to the remaining 16 districts in the sample (Table 12).

Table 12: Condoms Available Places and Brand Name of Most Used Condom Reported by Female Sex Workers

| | worker | 3 | | | | | |
|---|--------|---------|-------|---------|-------|------------|--|
| | | | 2006 | | | | |
| Condom Acquisition | 6 Dis | stricts | 16 Di | stricts | Total | | |
| | | 1 | | 1 | _ ` | Districts) | |
| | N | % | N | % | N | % | |
| Usually Carry Condoms | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 76 | 38.0 | 163 | 40.8 | 239 | 39.8 | |
| No | 124 | 62.0 | 237 | 59.3 | 361 | 60.2 | |
| Total | 200 | 100.0 | 400 | 100.0 | 600 | 100.0 | |
| No. of Condoms Carried | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2 | 2.6 | 7 | 4.3 | 9 | 3.8 | |
| 2 | 7 | 9.2 | 14 | 8.6 | 21 | 8.8 | |
| 3 – 5 | 5 | 6.6 | 14 | 8.6 | 19 | 7.9 | |
| 6 – 10 | 3 | 3.9 | 3 | 1.8 | 6 | 2.5 | |
| More than 10 | 11 | 1.3 | 4 | 2.5 | 5 | 2.1 | |
| Not carrying right now | 58 | 76.3 | 121 | 74.2 | 179 | 74.9 | |
| Total | 76 | 100.0 | 163 | 100.0 | 239 | 100.0 | |
| Time Needed to Obtain Condoms from Nearest | | | | | | | |
| Place | | | | | | | |
| Up to 5 minutes** | 60 | 30.0 | 212 | 53.0 | 272 | 45.3 | |
| 6 – 10 minutes | 53 | 26.5 | 95 | 23.8 | 148 | 24.7 | |
| 11 – 15 minutes | 26 | 13.0 | 41 | 10.3 | 67 | 11.2 | |
| 16 – 20 minutes | 10 | 5.0 | 18 | 4.5 | 28 | 4.7 | |
| 21 and more minutes | 50 | 25.0 | 27 | 6.8 | 77 | 12.8 | |
| Don't Know | 1 | 0.5 | 7 | 1.8 | 8 | 1.3 | |
| Total | 200 | 100.0 | 400 | 100.0 | 600 | 100.0 | |
| Places Where Condoms are Available | | | | | | | |
| Pharmacy | 158 | 79.0 | 347 | 86.8 | 505 | 84.2 | |
| NGO/Health Workers/Volunteers | 148 | 74.0 | 294 | 73.5 | 442 | 73.7 | |
| General Retail Store (Kirana Pasal) | 122 | 61.0 | 234 | 58.5 | 356 | 59.3 | |
| Paan Shop | 71 | 35.5 | 162 | 40.5 | 233 | 38.8 | |
| Hospital | 95 | 47.5 | 123 | 30.8 | 218 | 36.3 | |
| Private Clinic | 62 | 31.0 | 121 | 30.3 | 183 | 30.5 | |
| Health Post/ Health Center | 67 | 33.5 | 82 | 20.5 | 149 | 24.8 | |
| Client | 22 | 11.0 | 111 | 27.8 | 133 | 22.2 | |
| Peer/Friends | 49 | 24.5 | 70 | 17.5 | 119 | 19.8 | |
| Bar/Guest House/Hotel | 10 | 5.0 | 74 | 18.5 | 84 | 14.0 | |
| Family Planning Association of Nepal Clinic | 20 | 10.0 | 39 | 9.8 | 59 | 9.8 | |
| Other | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.3 | 2 | 0.3 | |
| Don't Know | 2 | 1.0 | 11 | 2.8 | 13 | 2.2 | |
| Total | 200 | * | 400 | * | 600 | * | |
| Brand Names of Condoms Used Most | | | | | | | |
| Number 1 | 77 | 38.5 | 266 | 66.5 | 343 | 57.2 | |
| Dhaal | 145 | 72.5 | 155 | 38.8 | 300 | 50.0 | |
| Jodi | 48 | 24.0 | 92 | 23.0 | 140 | 23.3 | |
| Panther | 30 | 15.0 | 86 | 21.5 | 116 | 19.3 | |
| Kamasutra | 20 | 10.0 | 38 | 9.5 | 58 | 9.7 | |
| Black Cobra | 8 | 4.0 | 22 | 5.5 | 30 | 5.0 | |
| Skinless | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 1.3 | 5 | 0.8 | |
| Others | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 1.0 | 4 | 0.7 | |
| Brands Not Known | 1 | 0.5 | 86 | 21.5 | 87 | 14.5 | |
| Not Used in the Past Year | 31 | 15.5 | 61 | 15.3 | 92 | 15.3 | |
| Total | 200 | * | 400 | * | 600 | * | |

*Note: The percentages add up to more than 100 because of multiple responses

Note: ** denotes significant difference at p< .01

The sex workers were further asked about the mode of availability and the places from where they could obtain condoms. A total of 44.7 percent of the sex workers reported that they obtained free condoms all the time while 7.3 percent bought them. As shown in Table 13, NGO/health workers/volunteers have been able to reach a considerable section of the sex workers through their condom distribution program as large proportion (72.2%) of respondents said that the NGO/health workers/volunteers provided free condoms for them. More than half of them (58.6%) said that their clients brought condoms with them. Peers/friends were reported as the

next important sources by 23.7 percent of the sex workers. Other reported sources are shown in Table 13.

The majority of the sex workers (63.4 %) maintained that free condom should be made available with NGO/health worker/volunteers for their easy access. Another section of them (53.0 %) pointed out that they felt comfortable to have condoms from their clients. Some (17.5 %) also said that they could comfortably approach their peers/friends for condoms (Table 13).

Table 13: Reported Places for Obtaining Condoms by Female Sex Workers

| Table 13. Reported Traces for | | 2006 | | | | | | | |
|---|--------|----------|------|----------|-----|--------------------|--|--|--|
| Condom Acquisition | 6 D | istricts | 16 D | istricts | | otal Districts) | | | |
| | N | % | N | % | N | % | | | |
| FSWs Obtain Condom | | | | | | | | | |
| Always free of cost | 99 | 49.5 | 169 | 42.3 | 268 | 44.7 | | | |
| Purchase | 6 | 3.0 | 38 | 9.5 | 44 | 7.3 | | | |
| Obtain both ways | 64 | 32.0 | 132 | 33.0 | 196 | 32.7 | | | |
| Condom never used | 31 | 15.5 | 61 | 15.3 | 92 | 15.3 | | | |
| Tot | al 200 | 100.0 | 400 | 100.0 | 600 | 100.0 | | | |
| Usually Obtain Free Condom From | | | | | | | | | |
| NGO/Health workers/Volunteers | 121 | 74.2 | 214 | 71.1 | 335 | 72.2 | | | |
| Client | 88 | 54.0 | 184 | 61.1 | 272 | 58.6 | | | |
| Peers/friends | 64 | 39.3 | 46 | 15.3 | 110 | 23.7 | | | |
| Health Post/Health Center | 20 | 12.3 | 16 | 5.3 | 36 | 7.8 | | | |
| FPAN clinics | 2 | 1.2 | 8 | 2.7 | 10 | 2.2 | | | |
| Hospital | 4 | 2.5 | 5 | 1.7 | 9 | 1.9 | | | |
| Hotel/Lodge/Restaurant | 0 | 0.0 | 8 | 2.7 | 8 | 1.7 | | | |
| Community events | 5 | 3.1 | 1 | 0.3 | 6 | 1.3 | | | |
| Tot | al 163 | * | 301 | * | 464 | * | | | |
| Most Convenient Place to Obtain Free Condom | | | | | | | | | |
| NGO/Health workers/Volunteers | 108 | 66.3 | 186 | 61.8 | 294 | 63.4 | | | |
| Client | 67 | 41.1 | 179 | 59.5 | 246 | 53.0 | | | |
| Peers/friends | 43 | 26.4 | 38 | 12.6 | 81 | 17.5 | | | |
| Health Post/Health Center | 14 | 8.6 | 10 | 3.3 | 24 | 5.2 | | | |
| FPAN clinics | 1 | 0.6 | 6 | 2.0 | 7 | 1.5 | | | |
| Hotel/Lodge/Restaurant | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 2.3 | 7 | 1.5 | | | |
| Hospital | 3 | 1.8 | 2 | 0.7 | 5 | 1.1 | | | |
| Community events | 1 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.3 | 2 | 0.4 | | | |
| Tot | al 163 | * | 301 | * | 464 | * | | | |
| Places of Purchasing Condom | | | | | | | | | |
| Pharmacy | 44 | 62.9 | 140 | 82.4 | 184 | 76.7 | | | |
| General Retail Store (Kirana Pasal) | 34 | 48.6 | 50 | 29.4 | 84 | 35.0 | | | |
| Pan Shop | 13 | 18.6 | 43 | 25.3 | 56 | 23.3 | | | |
| Private Clinic | 15 | 21.4 | 6 | 3.5 | 21 | 8.8 | | | |
| Hotel/Lodge/Restaurant | 0 | 0.0 | 10 | 5.9 | 10 | 4.2 | | | |
| WATCH | 0 | 0.0 | 8 | 4.7 | 8 | 3.3 | | | |
| Others | 1 | 1.4 | 1 | 0.6 | 2 | 0.8 | | | |
| Tot | al 70 | * | 170 | * | 240 | * | | | |
| Most Convenient Place to Purchase Condom | | | | | | | | | |
| Pharmacy | 40 | 57.1 | 129 | 75.9 | 169 | 70.4 | | | |
| General Retail Store (Kirana Pasal) | 23 | 32.9 | 28 | 16.5 | 51 | 21.3 | | | |
| Pan Shop | 11 | 15.7 | 22 | 12.9 | 33 | 13.8 | | | |
| Private Clinic | 9 | 12.9 | 3 | 1.8 | 12 | 5.0 | | | |
| Hotel/Lodge/Restaurant | 0 | 0.0 | 9 | 5.3 | 9 | 3.8 | | | |
| WATCH | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 4.1 | 7 | 2.9 | | | |
| Tot | al 70 | * | 170 | * | 240 | * | | | |

*Note: The percentages add up to more than 100 because of multiple responses.

For purchasing condoms, the respondents mentioned that they felt comfortable to go to pharmacies (70.4 %), general store (*kirana* shop) (21.3%) and *paan* shop (13.8%) respectively (Table 13).

3.8 Knowledge of HIV/AIDS

Almost 98 percent of the sex workers had heard about HIV/AIDS. Radio was reported the major source of the information of HIV/AIDS by 92.5 percent of the sex workers. A large proportion of them (84.1%) also named their friends/relatives, people from NGOs (81.2%), and television (80.3%) as their sources of information. HIV/AIDS awareness message had also been derived from pamphlets and posters as reported by 60.5 percent of the respondents (Table 14).

Table 14: Sources of Knowledge of HIV/AIDS among Female Sex Workers

| | | 2006 | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------|---------|--------|---------|----------------|------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Ever Heard of HIV/AIDS | 6 Dis | stricts | 16 Dis | stricts | Tot (22 Dis | | | | | | |
| | N=200 | % | N=400 | % | N=600 | % | | | | | |
| Yes | 197 | 98.5 | 388 | 97.0 | 585 | 97.5 | | | | | |
| HIV/ AIDS Information Sources: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Radio | 184 | 93.4 | 357 | 92.0 | 541 | 92.5 | | | | | |
| Friends/Relatives | 185 | 93.9 | 307 | 79.1 | 492 | 84.1 | | | | | |
| People from NGOs | 138 | 70.1 | 337 | 86.9 | 475 | 81.2 | | | | | |
| Television | 131 | 66.5 | 339 | 87.4 | 470 | 80.3 | | | | | |
| Pamphlet/Poster | 105 | 53.3 | 249 | 64.2 | 354 | 60.5 | | | | | |
| Billboard/Signboard | 79 | 40.1 | 184 | 47.4 | 263 | 45.0 | | | | | |
| Health Workers | 109 | 55.3 | 135 | 34.8 | 244 | 41.7 | | | | | |
| Community Event/Training | 64 | 32.5 | 150 | 38.7 | 214 | 36.6 | | | | | |
| Workplace | 43 | 21.8 | 133 | 34.3 | 176 | 30.1 | | | | | |
| Newspaper/Magazine | 40 | 20.3 | 131 | 33.8 | 171 | 29.2 | | | | | |
| Cinema Hall | 35 | 17.8 | 119 | 30.7 | 154 | 26.3 | | | | | |
| Street Drama | 64 | 32.5 | 74 | 19.1 | 138 | 23.6 | | | | | |
| Video Van | 49 | 24.9 | 56 | 14.4 | 105 | 17.9 | | | | | |
| Comic Book | 29 | 14.7 | 51 | 13.1 | 80 | 13.7 | | | | | |
| Client | 2 | 1.0 | 64 | 16.5 | 66 | 11.3 | | | | | |
| Community Workers | 27 | 13.7 | 33 | 8.5 | 60 | 10.3 | | | | | |
| School/Teacher | 21 | 10.7 | 20 | 5.2 | 41 | 7.0 | | | | | |

Note: The percentages add up to more than 100 because of multiple responses.

3.8.1 Knowledge of ways to prevent HIV/AIDS

Table 15 shows the knowledge of the respondents regarding ways of preventing the sexual transmission of HIV and major misconceptions about HIV transmission. The proportion of sex workers reporting to be aware of **A** (abstinence from sex) **B** (being faithful to one partner or avoiding multiple sex partners) **and C** (consistent condom use or use of condom during every sex act) as HIV preventive measures were 70.5 percent, 81.7 percent and 88 percent respectively. Overall 60 percent of the respondents correctly identified all A, B and C as HIV preventive measures. A total of 83.3 percent knew that a healthy looking person could be infected with HIV and 64.8 percent rejected that sharing of meal with an HIV infected person transmitted HIV. However, 41.8 percent only rejected the common local misconception that mosquito bite transmitted HIV virus. In total, only 31.3 percent of the respondents (Table 15) were aware of all the five major indicators of HIV transmission.

Table 15: Percentage of FSWs Who Have Knowledge of Major Ways of Avoiding HIV/AIDS

| | 2006 | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------|------|--------------|------|-------------------------|------|--|--|--|
| Knowledge of Six Major Indicators on HIV/AIDS | 6 Districts | | 16 Districts | | Total (22 Districts) | | | | |
| | N=200 | % | N=400 | % | N=600 | % | | | |
| A Can protect themselves through abstinence from sexual contact | 136 | 68.0 | 287 | 71.8 | 423 | 70.5 | | | |
| B Can protect themselves through monogamous sexual contact | 155 | 77.5 | 335 | 83.8 | 490 | 81.7 | | | |
| C Can protect themselves through condom use every time during sex | 181 | 90.5 | 347 | 86.8 | 528 | 88.0 | | | |
| D A healthy-looking person can be infected with HIV | 170 | 85.0 | 330 | 82.5 | 500 | 83.3 | | | |
| E A person can not get the HIV virus from mosquito bite | 85 | 42.5 | 166 | 41.5 | 251 | 41.8 | | | |
| F Can not get HIV by sharing a meal with an HIV infected person | 127 | 63.5 | 262 | 65.5 | 389 | 64.8 | | | |
| Knowledge of all three indicators –ABC | 111 | 55.5 | 249 | 62.3 | 360 | 60.0 | | | |
| Knowledge of all five indicators – BCDEF | 63 | 31.5 | 125 | 31.3 | 188 | 31.3 | | | |

Note: The percentages add up to more than 100 because of multiple responses.

The sex workers were also asked if they were aware of any person infected with HIV or who had died of AIDS. Relatively large proportion of the sex workers in the sample (61%) replied positively. Of the total 366 FSWs in 22 districts, 39 had their close relative and 93 had their close friend who had suffered from HIV/AIDS or had succumbed to it (Table 16).

Table 16: FSWs' Knowledge on Ways of HIV/AIDS Transmission

| | 2006 | | | | | | | | |
|---|------|---------|--------------|-------|------------------------|-------|--|--|--|
| Statements Related to HIV/AIDS | 6 Di | stricts | 16 Districts | | Total (22 Districts | | | | |
| | N | % | N | % | N | % | | | |
| Know Anyone Who is Infected with HIV or Who has Died of AIDS (n=200/400/600) | 121 | 60.5 | 245 | 61.3 | 366 | 61.0 | | | |
| Have a close relative or close friend who is infected with HIV or has died of AIDS | | | | | | | | | |
| Close relative | 21 | 17.4 | 18 | 7.3 | 39 | 10.7 | | | |
| Close friend | 19 | 15.7 | 74 | 30.2 | 93 | 25.4 | | | |
| No relation | 81 | 66.9 | 153 | 62.4 | 234 | 63.9 | | | |
| Total | 121 | 100.0 | 245 | 100.0 | 366 | 100.0 | | | |
| Awareness on HIV/AIDS (n=200/400/600) | | | | | | | | | |
| Blood transfusion from an infected person to the other transmit HIV | 193 | 96.5 | 385 | 96.3 | 578 | 96.3 | | | |
| A person can get HIV, by using previously used needle/syringe | 194 | 97.0 | 380 | 95.0 | 574 | 95.7 | | | |
| A pregnant woman infected with HIV/AIDS can transmit the virus to her unborn child | 178 | 89.0 | 358 | 89.5 | 536 | 89.3 | | | |
| A person can not get HIV by holding an HIV infected person's hand | 149 | 74.5 | 321 | 80.3 | 470 | 78.3 | | | |
| A woman with HIV/AIDS can transmit the virus to her new-born child through breastfeeding | 148 | 74.0 | 290 | 72.5 | 438 | 73.0 | | | |
| Ways by which a pregnant woman can reduce the risk of transmission of HIV to her unborn child | | | | | | | | | |
| Take medicine | 60 | 33.7 | 81 | 22.6 | 141 | 26.3 | | | |
| Others | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 2.0 | 7 | 1.3 | | | |
| Don't Know | 118 | 66.3 | 270 | 75.4 | 388 | 72.4 | | | |
| Total | 178 | 100.0 | 358 | 100.0 | 536 | 100.0 | | | |

The sex workers' understanding of HIV/AIDS and its different modes of transmission were also tested with the help of certain probing questions. A large proportion of the respondents reported that HIV could be transmitted through the transfusion of blood from an infected person to another (96.3%), that a person can get HIV by using previously used needles/syringes (95.7%), and that an infected mother could transmit the virus to her unborn child (89.3%). Additionally, 78.3 percent maintained that HIV cannot be transmitted by holding an HIV positive person's hand and 73 percent said that a woman with HIV/AIDS can transmit the virus to her new-born child through breastfeeding.

Of the 536 respondents who had reported that HIV virus could be transmitted from an infected mother to her unborn child, 72.4 percent expressed their unawareness of any measure to minimize such risk. Some of them (26.3%) however said that taking medicine would be helpful (Table 16).

3.9 Perception on HIV Test

In response to the question on the availability of HIV test facility, 59.3 percent reported that it was possible for them to have a confidential HIV test in their community. However, a significantly higher proportion of the respondents in 6 districts (32%) than in 16 districts (10.5%) said that a confidential HIV test facility was not available in their community. Around 49 percent of the sex workers had ever undertaken the test, a majority (90.4%) had taken the test of their own free will, and the rest were either sent or advised for it. Most of them (85.9%) had got the test results while the others had not collected them because they forgot about it, felt it was not necessary, were afraid to obtain the result, and were sure of not being infected (Table 17). A majority (74.9%) had tested themselves within last 12 months preceding the survey while 19.2 percent had undergone the test 1-2 years before.

Table 17: Perception on HIV Test

| | | | 2006 | | | |
|--|--------|-------|-------|---------|----------------|-------|
| Perception on HIV Test | 6 Dist | ricts | 16 Di | stricts | To | otal |
| refrequent on the Test | | | | | (22 Districts) | |
| | N | % | N | % | N | % |
| Confidential HIV test facility available in the | | | | | | |
| community | | | | | | |
| Yes | 88 | 44.0 | 268 | 67.0 | 356 | 59.3 |
| No* | 64 | 32.0 | 42 | 10.5 | 106 | 17.7 |
| Don't Know | 45 | 22.5 | 78 | 19.5 | 123 | 20.5 |
| Never Heard about HIV | 3 | 1.5 | 12 | 3.0 | 15 | 2.5 |
| Total | 200 | 100.0 | 400 | 100.0 | 600 | 100.0 |
| Ever had an HIV test | | | | | | |
| Yes | 78 | 39.0 | 213 | 53.3 | 291 | 48.5 |
| No | 119 | 59.5 | 175 | 43.8 | 294 | 49.0 |
| Never Heard about HIV | 3 | 1.5 | 12 | 3.0 | 15 | 2.5 |
| Total | 200 | 100.0 | 400 | 100.0 | 600 | 100.0 |
| Voluntarily underwent the HIV test or because it was | | | | | | |
| required | | | | | | |
| Voluntarily | 73 | 93.6 | 190 | 89.2 | 263 | 90.4 |
| Required | 5 | 6.4 | 23 | 10.8 | 28 | 9.6 |
| Total | 78 | 100.0 | 213 | 100 | 291 | 100 |
| Received HIV test result | | | | | | |
| Yes | 68 | 87.2 | 182 | 85.4 | 250 | 85.9 |
| No | 10 | 12.8 | 31 | 14.6 | 41 | 14.1 |
| Total | 78 | 100.0 | 213 | 100.0 | 291 | 100.0 |
| Reason for Not Receiving the Test Result | | | | | | |
| Forgot it | 4 | 40.0 | 11 | 35.5 | 15 | 36.6 |
| Felt unnecessary | 3 | 30.0 | 8 | 25.8 | 11 | 26.8 |
| Afraid of result | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 19.4 | 6 | 14.6 |
| Sure of not being infected | 1 | 10.0 | 1 | 3.2 | 2 | 4.9 |
| Others | 2 | 20.0 | 5 | 16.1 | 7 | 17.1 |
| Total | 10 | 100.0 | 31 | 100.0 | 41 | 100.0 |
| Most Recent HIV Test | | | | | | |
| Within Last 12 months | 46 | 59.0 | 172 | 80.8 | 218 | 74.9 |
| Between 1-2 years | 24 | 30.8 | 32 | 15.0 | 56 | 19.2 |
| Between 2-4 years | 8 | 10.3 | 9 | 4.2 | 17 | 5.8 |
| More than 4 years ago | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 78 | 100.0 | 213 | 100.0 | 291 | 100.0 |

^{*} Note: * denotes significant difference at p< .01

3.10 Access to FHI/Nepal Messages

From the time FHI started intervention programs in Nepal to bring awareness about HIV/AIDS among high-risk groups of people, various messages regarding the use of condoms for the prevention of AIDS were aired through radio and television. Elevated hoarding boards and posters were also put up with pictorial and rhetorical messages at different places including health posts, highways and roadsides. In an effort to review the impact of such interventions, the sex workers were asked about their awareness of such information. Table 18 below illustrates the FHI messages and the responses provided by the sex workers regarding their awareness of the messages. More than 70 percent of the sex workers were found to be aware of messages like "Youn rog ra AIDS bata bachnalai rakhnu parchha sarbatra paine condom lai" and "Condom bata suraksha, youn swasthya ko raksha". A large proportion of the respondents were also aware of messages like "Condom Kina Ma Bhaya Hunna Ra", "Jhilke dai chha chhaina condom", "Ramro sangha prayog gare jokhim huna dinna Bharpardo chhu santosh dinchhu jhanjat manna hunna", and "HIV/AIDS bare aajai dekhi kura garau" (Table 18).

Table 18: Seen/Heard FHI Character/Message in the Past Year by Female Sex Workers

| | 2006 | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|------|--------|---------|-------------------------|------|--|--|--|
| Heard/Seen/Read the Following Messages/Characters in Past One Year | 6 Districts | | 16 Dis | stricts | Total (22 Districts) | | | | |
| | N=200 | % | N=400 | % | N=600 | % | | | |
| Youn Rog Ra AIDS Bata Bachnalai Rakhnu Parchha Sarbatra Paine Condom Lai | 130 | 65.0 | 297 | 74.3 | 427 | 71.2 | | | |
| Condom Bata Suraksha, Youn Swasthya Ko Raksha | 136 | 68.0 | 286 | 71.5 | 422 | 70.3 | | | |
| Condom Kina Ma Bhaya Hunna Ra | 131 | 65.5 | 284 | 71.0 | 415 | 69.2 | | | |
| Jhilke Dai Chha Chhaina Condom | 131 | 65.5 | 283 | 70.8 | 414 | 69.0 | | | |
| Ramro Sangha Prayog Gare Jokhim Huna Dinna Bharpardo Chhu Santosh Dinchhu Jhanjat Manna Hunna | 122 | 61.0 | 266 | 66.5 | 388 | 64.7 | | | |
| HIV/AIDS Bare Aajai Dekhi Kura Garau | 113 | 56.5 | 261 | 65.3 | 374 | 62.3 | | | |
| Maya Garaun Sadbhav Badaun | 69 | 34.5 | 117 | 29.3 | 186 | 31.0 | | | |
| Ek Apas Ka Kura | 61 | 30.5 | 106 | 26.5 | 167 | 27.8 | | | |
| Des Pardes | 40 | 20.0 | 52 | 13.0 | 92 | 15.3 | | | |

Note: The percentages add up to more than 100 because of multiple responses.

The majority (94.7%) of the sex workers reported that these messages had made them understand that the use of condom prevented transmission of AIDS, 64.3 percent of them also said that these messages had made them aware that use of condom helped to prevent oneself against STIs while 58.3 percent had understood the condoms to be a family planning device through such messages (Table 19).

Table 19: Message Understood by Female Sex Workers

| | 2006 | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|------|--------|---------|-------------------------|------|--|--|--|
| Information Derived From the Message | 6 Districts | | 16 Dis | stricts | Total (22 Districts) | | | | |
| | N=200 | % | N=400 | % | N=600 | % | | | |
| Use Condom Against AIDS | 194 | 97.0 | 374 | 93.5 | 568 | 94.7 | | | |
| Use Condom Against STI | 147 | 73.5 | 239 | 59.8 | 386 | 64.3 | | | |
| Use Condom for Family Planning | 118 | 59.0 | 232 | 58.0 | 350 | 58.3 | | | |
| Not heard about condom | 1 | 0.5 | 8 | 2.0 | 9 | 1.5 | | | |
| Don't Know | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.2 | | | |

Note: The percentages add up to more than 100 because of multiple responses.

3.11 Knowledge and Treatment of Sexually Transmitted Infections (STIs)

Sex workers are at high risk for sexually transmitted infections due to the nature of their work. To know the extent of the problem of STIs among the respondents and their perception towards it, they were asked about their understanding of STIs and if they had experienced any STI symptom during the past year. The respondents understood symptoms like genital discharges (76.8%), itching in vagina (62.8%) blisters and ulcers around vagina (51.8%) and lower abdominal pain (43.3%) as some of the STI symptoms (Table 20).

Table 20: Reported STI and Treatment in the Past Year

| Table 20: Reported S11 and 11e | | | | 06 | | |
|---|-------|--------|-----|---------|-----|-------------------|
| Perception on STI, Reported STI Symptoms and Treatment Among the Sex Workers | 6 Dis | tricts | | stricts | _ | otal (stricts) |
| - | N | % | N | % | N | % |
| FSWs' Understanding of STI | | | | | | |
| White Discharge/Discharge of Pus/Dhatuflow | 147 | 73.5 | 314 | 78.5 | 461 | 76.8 |
| Itching in Vagina | 129 | 64.5 | 248 | 62.0 | 377 | 62.8 |
| Blisters and Ulcers Around Vagina | 98 | 49.0 | 213 | 53.3 | 311 | 51.8 |
| Lower Abdominal Pain | 93 | 46.5 | 167 | 41.8 | 260 | 43.3 |
| AIDS/HIV | 44 | 22.0 | 114 | 28.5 | 158 | 26.3 |
| Syphilis (Bhiringi)/Gonorrhea | 53 | 26.5 | 70 | 17.5 | 123 | 20.5 |
| Burning Sensation when Passing Urine | 25 | 12.5 | 45 | 11.3 | 70 | 11.7 |
| Swelling of Vagina | 19 | 9.5 | 51 | 12.8 | 70 | 11.7 |
| Pain in Vagina | 11 | 5.5 | 27 | 6.8 | 38 | 6.3 |
| Don't know | 27 | 13.5 | 43 | 10.8 | 70 | 11.7 |
| Others (Fever, Weight loss) | 2 | 1.0 | 15 | 3.8 | 17 | 2.8 |
| Total | 200 | * | 400 | * | 600 | * |
| Types of STI Symptoms Experienced in the Past Year | | | | | | |
| None of the Above Symptoms | 111 | 55.5 | 194 | 48.5 | 305 | 50.8 |
| Any of the Above Symptoms | 89 | 44.5 | 206 | 51.5 | 295 | 49.2 |
| Lower Abdominal Pain | 54 | 27.0 | 131 | 32.8 | 185 | 30.8 |
| Vaginal Itching | 45 | 22.5 | 114 | 28.5 | 159 | 26.5 |
| Vaginal Discharge | 45 | 22.5 | 98 | 24.5 | 143 | 23.8 |
| Vaginal Odor | 36 | 18.0 | 81 | 20.3 | 117 | 19.5 |
| Painful Sex | 32 | 16.0 | 57 | 14.3 | 89 | 14.8 |
| Dysuria | 23 | 11.5 | 53 | 13.3 | 76 | 12.7 |
| Genital Ulcer or Sore | 20 | 10.0 | 41 | 10.3 | 61 | 10.2 |
| Polyuria | 12 | 6.0 | 20 | 5.0 | 32 | 5.3 |
| Genital Warts | 4 | 2.0 | 13 | 3.3 | 17 | 2.8 |
| Unusual Vaginal Bleeding (Discharge) | 4 | 2.0 | 11 | 2.8 | 15 | 2.5 |
| Other | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.3 | 2 | 0.3 |
| Total | 200 | * | 400 | * | 600 | * |
| Places Visited for Treatment of STI Symptoms in the Past Year | | | | | | |
| AMDA Clinic | 0 | 0.0 | 66 | 52.4 | 66 | 40.0 |
| WATCH | 0 | 0.0 | 31 | 24.6 | 31 | 18.8 |
| Private Clinic | 9 | 23.1 | 19 | 15.1 | 28 | 17.0 |
| N-SARC | 22 | 56.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 22 | 13.3 |
| GWP | 1 | 2.6 | 14 | 11.1 | 15 | 9.1 |
| Trinetra Nepal | 0 | 0.0 | 12 | 9.5 | 12 | 7.3 |
| Hospital | 1 | 2.6 | 7 | 5.6 | 8 | 4.8 |
| Pharmacy | 3 | 7.7 | 4 | 3.2 | 7 | 4.2 |
| Indreni Sewa samaj | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 4.8 | 6 | 3.6 |
| Health Center/Health Post | 3 | 7.7 | 1 | 0.8 | 4 | 2.4 |
| Self Treatment | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 3.2 | 4 | 2.4 |
| Family Planning Association of Nepal | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.8 | 1 | 0.6 |
| Siddhartha Club | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.8 | 1 | 0.6 |
| Don't Know | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.8 | 1 | 0.6 |
| Others | 1 | 2.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.6 |
| Total | 39 | * | 126 | * | 165 | * |

Table 20: Cont'd...

| | | | 20 | 06 | | |
|---|-------------|-------|--------------|-------|-------------------------|-------|
| Perception on STI, Reported STI Symptoms and Treatment Among the Sex Workers | 6 Districts | | 16 Districts | | Total (22 Districts) | |
| | N | % | N | % | N | % |
| Received Counseling to Avoid the Problem from the Place of Treatment | | | | | | |
| Yes | 32 | 82.1 | 117 | 95.9 | 149 | 92.5 |
| No | 7 | 17.9 | 5 | 4.1 | 12 | 7.5 |
| Total | 39 | 100.0 | 122 | 100.0 | 161 | 100.0 |
| Types of Counseling Received | | | | | | |
| Use Condom | 29 | 90.6 | 96 | 82.1 | 125 | 83.9 |
| Take Medicine Regularly | 19 | 59.4 | 85 | 72.6 | 104 | 69.8 |
| Reduce Number of Sexual Partners | 14 | 43.8 | 50 | 42.7 | 64 | 43.0 |
| Regular Check-up | 1 | 3.1 | 2 | 1.7 | 3 | 2.0 |
| Not to Make Sexual Contact while Using Medicine | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.9 | 1 | 0.7 |
| Others | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 1.7 | 2 | 1.3 |
| Total | 32 | * | 117 | * | 149 | * |

When asked about the STI symptom that they had experienced in the past year, 49.2 percent of the sex workers reported to have had experienced at least one symptom. Some of the reported STI symptoms experienced by the respondents in the past year were lower abdominal pain (30.8%), vaginal itching (26.5%), and vaginal discharge (23.8%). For treatment purposes, the respondents in 16 districts had mostly visited AMDA clinic (52.4%) while in 6 districts 56.4 percent had been to N-SARC clinic (Table 20).

As seen in the Table 20, a majority of the sex workers (92.5% or 149/161) who had sought treatment had received counseling to avoid the problem from the place that they had visited. They were counseled to consistently use condom during sexual acts (83.9%), take medicines regularly (69.8%) and reduce number of their sex partners (43%).

Apart from their past year's experiences, the sex workers were further asked if they had been currently experiencing any STI symptoms. More than one-half of them (54.7%) reported that they were experiencing at least one STI symptom; some of the symptoms currently experienced by them were lower abdominal pain (32.5%), vaginal itching (28.2%), vaginal odor (24.3%), vaginal discharge (24%) and painful sex (21.5%) (Table 21).

Table 21: Reported STI Symptom/s at the Time of Survey and Their Treatment

| Tubic 21. Reported 5.11 Symptomis a | 2006 | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------|---------|-------|---------|-----|-----------------|--|--|--|
| Perception on STI, Reported STI Symptoms and Treatment Among Sex Workers | 6 Dis | stricts | 16 Di | stricts | - | tal stricts) | | | |
| | N | % | N | % | N | % | | | |
| Types of STI Symptoms Experienced Currently | | | | | | | | | |
| Any of the Above Symptoms | 125 | 62.5 | 203 | 50.8 | 328 | 54.7 | | | |
| None of the Above Symptoms | 75 | 37.5 | 197 | 49.3 | 272 | 45.3 | | | |
| Lower Abdominal Pain | 77 | 38.5 | 118 | 29.5 | 195 | 32.5 | | | |
| Vaginal Itching | 53 | 26.5 | 116 | 29.0 | 169 | 28.2 | | | |
| Vaginal Odor | 46 | 23.0 | 100 | 25.0 | 146 | 24.3 | | | |
| Vaginal Discharge | 60 | 30.0 | 84 | 21.0 | 144 | 24.0 | | | |
| Painful Sex | 62 | 31.0 | 67 | 16.8 | 129 | 21.5 | | | |
| Dysuria | 39 | 19.5 | 46 | 11.5 | 85 | 14.2 | | | |
| Polyuria | 35 | 17.5 | 23 | 5.8 | 58 | 9.7 | | | |
| Genital Ulcer or Sore | 17 | 8.5 | 21 | 5.3 | 38 | 6.3 | | | |
| Unusual Vaginal Bleeding (Discharge) | 10 | 5.0 | 4 | 1.0 | 14 | 2.3 | | | |
| Genital Warts | 8 | 4.0 | 4 | 1.0 | 12 | 2.0 | | | |
| Other | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.3 | 2 | 0.3 | | | |
| Total | 200 | * | 400 | * | 600 | * | | | |
| Went for Treatment for any of Above Symptoms | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 1 | 0.8 | 8 | 3.9 | 9 | 2.7 | | | |
| No | 124 | 99.2 | 195 | 96.1 | 319 | 97.3 | | | |
| Total | 125 | 100.0 | 203 | 100.0 | 328 | 100.0 | | | |
| Place Visited for Treatment | | | | | | | | | |
| AMDA Clinic | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 37.5 | 3 | 33.3 | | | |
| Private Clinic | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 25.0 | 2 | 22.2 | | | |
| Pharmacy | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 25.0 | 2 | 22.2 | | | |
| Hospital | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 12.5 | 1 | 11.1 | | | |
| Others | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 11.1 | | | |
| Total | 1 | * | 8 | * | 9 | * | | | |

^{*}Note: The percentages add up to more than 100 because of multiple responses.

A majority of 97.3 percent respondents had not sought treatment for the STI symptom/s that they had been experiencing at the time of survey. Among those few who had done so, they had visited AMDA clinic (33.3% or 3/9), private clinic and pharmacy (22.2% each or 2/9). Eight of the nine respondents who had sought treatment had received prescription for medicine and six had taken all the medicines prescribed to them (Data not shown in the Table).

3.12 Use of Alcohol and Drugs

A series of questions were asked regarding the use of alcohol and oral and injecting drugs by the sex workers. As high as 66.3 percent sex workers, had consumed alcohol sometimes during the past one month. Among them, 23.5 percent of the sex workers admitted that they took alcohol on a daily basis. Others drank less frequently (Table 22). Fourteen of the 600 respondents (2.3%) had at least once tried some drugs.

Table 22: Use of Alcohol and Drugs among Female Sex Workers

| | 2006 | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------|------|--------|---------|----------------------|------|--|--|--|
| Consumption of Alcohol and Drugs | 6 Districts | | 16 Dis | stricts | Total (22 Districts) | | | | |
| | N=200 | % | N=400 | % | N=600 | % | | | |
| Consumption of Alcohol | | | | | | | | | |
| On a Daily Basis | 24 | 12.0 | 117 | 29.3 | 141 | 23.5 | | | |
| Two-Three Times a Day | 41 | 20.5 | 114 | 28.5 | 155 | 25.8 | | | |
| Once a Week | 17 | 8.5 | 29 | 7.3 | 46 | 7.7 | | | |
| Less than Once a Week | 28 | 14.0 | 28 | 7.0 | 56 | 9.3 | | | |
| Never | 90 | 45.0 | 112 | 28.0 | 202 | 33.7 | | | |
| Tried Any Types of Drugs | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 5 | 2.5 | 9 | 2.3 | 14 | 2.3 | | | |
| No | 195 | 97.5 | 391 | 97.8 | 586 | 97.7 | | | |

Of the 600 respondents, 99(16.5%) said that they knew someone who injected drugs. The IDUs were their friends, local acquaintances, relatives, clients and/or their spouses. Five sex workers also admitted of ever having sex in exchange for drugs while four had at least once been engaged in sexual contact for money to buy drugs.

Table 23: Knowledge of IDUs and History of Injecting Drugs among Female Sex Workers

| | 2006 | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------|-------------|-------|--------------|-------|-----------------|--|--|--|
| Use of Injecting Drugs | 6 Dis | 6 Districts | | 16 Districts | | tal stricts) | | | |
| | N=200 | % | N=400 | % | N=600 | % | | | |
| Know Injecting Drug Users (IDUs) | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 23 | 11.5 | 76 | 19.0 | 99 | 16.5 | | | |
| No | 177 | 88.5 | 324 | 81.0 | 501 | 83.5 | | | |
| Relationship with Known IDUs | n=23 | % | n=76 | % | n=99 | % | | | |
| Friend | 6 | 26.1 | 27 | 35.5 | 33 | 33.3 | | | |
| Local Boys | 7 | 30.4 | 20 | 26.3 | 27 | 27.3 | | | |
| Relative | 5 | 21.7 | 19 | 25.0 | 24 | 24.2 | | | |
| Client | 5 | 21.7 | 7 | 9.2 | 12 | 12.1 | | | |
| Family (Husband) | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 3.9 | 3 | 3.0 | | | |
| Injecting History of Sex Workers | | | | | | | | | |
| Ever Exchanged Sex for Drugs | 3 | 1.5 | 2 | 0.5 | 5 | 0.8 | | | |
| Ever Exchanged Sex for Money to Buy Drugs | 2 | 1.0 | 2 | 0.5 | 4 | 0.7 | | | |

3.13 Exposure to HIV/AIDS Awareness Programs

3.13.1 Peer/Outreach Education

The 2006 IBBS included questions on exposure of the sex workers to the ongoing HIV/AIDS awareness and prevention programs. One of the major components of the ongoing STI and HIV/AIDS intervention is the mobilization of outreach and peer educators (OEs and PEs) for educating the target population on HIV/AIDS/STI and its preventive measures. Therefore, the sex workers were asked if they had met any OEs or PEs. In response, 79.2 percent reported that they had at least once met or interacted with them. More respondents from 16 districts (84.3%) than 6 districts (69%) had met OEs/PEs. Their meetings were focused on interaction regarding HIV/STI transmission methods (89.3%), use of condom (77.7%), discussion on how STI is transmitted (74.5%), and demonstration on using condom correctly (65.1%). The respondents from 6 districts had mostly met OEs/PEs from GWP (62.3%) and NRCS (44.2%) while those from 16 districts had met OEs/PEs from AMDA (41.5%) and WATCH (31.8%) mostly. It is further evident from the Table that the sex workers meet OEs/PEs quite often as 60 percent of the 475 sex workers had seen them for 4-12 or more times in the last 12 months.

Table 24: Meeting/Interaction of FSWs with Peer Educator/Outreach Educator

| v | 2006 | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------|---------|-------|---------|-----|-----------------|--|--|--|
| Peer Educator/Outreach Educator Visit to Female Sex Workers | 6 Dis | stricts | 16 Di | stricts | _ | tal stricts) | | | |
| | N | % | N | % | N | % | | | |
| Met or discussed or interacted with Peer Educators (PE) or | | | | | | | | | |
| Outreach Educators (OE) in the Last 12 months | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 138 | 69.0 | 337 | 84.3 | 475 | 79.2 | | | |
| No | 62 | 31.0 | 63 | 15.8 | 125 | 20.8 | | | |
| Total | 200 | 100.0 | 400 | 100.0 | 600 | 100.0 | | | |
| Activities Involved in with PE or OE s | | | | | | | | | |
| Discussion on how HIV/AIDS is/isn't transmitted | 129 | 93.5 | 295 | 87.5 | 424 | 89.3 | | | |
| Regular/non-regular use of condom | 98 | 71.0 | 271 | 80.4 | 369 | 77.7 | | | |
| Discussion on how STI is/isn't transmitted | 115 | 83.3 | 239 | 70.9 | 354 | 74.5 | | | |
| Demonstration on using condom correctly | 69 | 50.0 | 240 | 71.2 | 309 | 65.1 | | | |
| STI treatment/cure after treatment | 9 | 6.5 | 100 | 29.7 | 109 | 22.9 | | | |
| Training on HIV and STI, Condom day, AIDS day, | 18 | 13.0 | 63 | 18.7 | 81 | 17.1 | | | |
| participation in discussions and interaction programs | 18 | 13.0 | 0.5 | 18.7 | 81 | 17.1 | | | |
| Counseling on reducing number of sex partner | 9 | 6.5 | 65 | 19.3 | 74 | 15.6 | | | |
| Others | 2 | 1.4 | 1 | 0.3 | 3 | 0.6 | | | |
| Total | 138 | * | 337 | * | 475 | * | | | |
| Organizations Represented by PE or OEs | | | | | | | | | |
| AMDA | 0 | 0.0 | 140 | 41.5 | 140 | 29.5 | | | |
| GWP | 86 | 62.3 | 52 | 15.4 | 138 | 29.1 | | | |
| WATCH | 0 | 0. | 107 | 31.8 | 107 | 22.5 | | | |
| NRCS | 61 | 44.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 61 | 12.8 | | | |
| Indreni Sewa Samaj | 0 | 0.0 | 55 | 16.3 | 55 | 11.6 | | | |
| Trinetra | 0 | 0.0 | 30 | 8.9 | 30 | 6.3 | | | |
| N-SARC | 8 | 5.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 8 | 1.7 | | | |
| CAC | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.2 | | | |
| NAPN | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.2 | | | |
| INF/Paluwa | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.2 | | | |
| Others | 1 | 0.7 | 1 | 0.3 | 2 | 0.4 | | | |
| Total | 138 | * | 337 | * | 475 | * | | | |
| Number of Visits/Meetings in the last 12 months | | | | | | | | | |
| Once | 10 | 7.2 | 26 | 7.7 | 36 | 7.6 | | | |
| 2-3 times | 22 | 15.9 | 132 | 39.2 | 154 | 32.4 | | | |
| 4-6 times | 42 | 30.4 | 70 | 20.8 | 112 | 23.6 | | | |
| 7-12 times | 30 | 21.7 | 58 | 17.2 | 88 | 18.5 | | | |
| More than 12 times | 34 | 24.6 | 51 | 15.1 | 85 | 17.9 | | | |
| Total | 138 | 100.0 | 337 | 100.0 | 475 | 100.0 | | | |

*Note: The percentages add up to more than 100 because of multiple responses.

3.13.2 Drop-in-Center

Drop-in-centers are another important component of HIV prevention programs. The DICs not only provide a safe space for the target communities to socialize but are also the site for educational and counseling activities. A total of 38.2 percent of the respondents had visited DIC during the last year. A higher proportion of respondents from 6 districts (50.5%) than those from the 16 district (32%) had ever visited DICs. During their DIC visits the respondents had participated in discussions on HIV/AIDS transmission (81.7%), learnt the correct ways of using condom (77.3%), collected condoms (72.5%), discussed on STI transmission methods (68.1%) and watched film on HIV/AIDS (62%). In 6 districts the respondents had mostly visited DICs run by GWP (68.3%) and NRCS (35.6%) and in 16 districts they had been to DICs run by WATCH (33.6%) and GWP (25.8%). Ten percent of the total respondents had visited different DICs just once, the rest had been there twice or more times in the past year (Table 25).

Table 25: DIC Visiting Practices of Female Sex Workers

| | | | 20 | 06 | | |
|---|-------|---------|-------|---------|-----|-------------------|
| DIC Visiting Practices of Female Sex Workers | 6 Dis | stricts | 16 Di | stricts | | otal (stricts) |
| | N | % | N | % | N | % |
| DIC Visit in the Last 12 months | | | | | | |
| Yes | 101 | 50.5 | 128 | 32.0 | 229 | 38.2 |
| No | 99 | 49.5 | 272 | 68.0 | 371 | 61.8 |
| Total | 200 | 100.0 | 400 | 100.0 | 600 | 100.0 |
| Activities Involved in at DIC | | | | | | |
| Participated in discussion on HIV transmission | 84 | 83.2 | 103 | 80.5 | 187 | 81.7 |
| Went to learn the correct way of using condom | 72 | 71.3 | 105 | 82.0 | 177 | 77.3 |
| Went to collect condoms | 65 | 64.4 | 101 | 78.9 | 166 | 72.5 |
| Participated in discussion on STI transmission | 68 | 67.3 | 88 | 68.8 | 156 | 68.1 |
| Went to watch film on HIV/AIDS | 62 | 61.4 | 80 | 62.5 | 142 | 62.0 |
| Participated in training, interaction and discussion programs on HIV/AIDS and STI | 30 | 29.7 | 40 | 31.3 | 70 | 30.6 |
| Went for STI treatment | 3 | 3.0 | 35 | 27.3 | 38 | 16.6 |
| Took friend with me | 5 | 5.0 | 25 | 19.5 | 30 | 13.1 |
| Went to collect IEC materials | 13 | 12.9 | 15 | 11.7 | 28 | 12.2 |
| Others | 1 | 1.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.4 |
| Total | 101 | * | 128 | * | 229 | * |
| Name of Organizations that run DIC visited by Them | | | | | | |
| GWP | 69 | 68.3 | 33 | 25.8 | 102 | 44.5 |
| WATCH | 0 | 0.0 | 43 | 33.6 | 43 | 18.8 |
| NRCS | 36 | 35.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 36 | 15.7 |
| AMDA | 0 | 0.0 | 28 | 21.9 | 28 | 12.2 |
| Trinetra | 0 | 0.0 | 24 | 18.8 | 24 | 10.5 |
| N-SARC | 4 | 4.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 1.7 |
| Indreni Sewa Samaj | 0 | 0. | 4 | 3.1 | 4 | 1.7 |
| CAC | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.8 | 1 | 0.4 |
| Others | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.8 | 1 | 0.4 |
| Total | 101 | * | 128 | * | 229 | * |
| Number of Visits to the DIC in the last 12 months | | | | | | |
| Once | 8 | 7.9 | 15 | 11.7 | 23 | 10.0 |
| 2-3 times | 27 | 26.7 | 65 | 50.8 | 92 | 40.2 |
| 4-6 times | 29 | 28.7 | 27 | 21.1 | 56 | 24.5 |
| 7-12 times | 17 | 16.8 | 13 | 10.2 | 30 | 13.1 |
| More than 12 times | 20 | 19.8 | 8 | 6.3 | 28 | 12.2 |
| Total | 101 | 100.0 | 128 | 100.0 | 229 | 100.0 |

*Note: The percentages add up to more than 100 because of multiple responses.

3.13.3 STI Clinic

Prompt detection and treatment of STIs may prevent many health hazards and HIV infection as well. Several STI clinics are being run by different organizations including FHI to facilitate such treatment. The sex workers were also asked if they had visited any STI clinic in the past one year. As shown in Table 26, only 31.3 percent of them had visited a STI clinic in the past one year. Such respondents consisted of 35.5 percent from 16 districts and 23 percent from 6 districts. During their visits to STI clinics in the past one year, the respondents had undergone blood test for STI detection (73.9%), were advised to use a condom during each sexual intercourse (71.3%), had been provided physical examination for STI identification (63.3%), and to take regularly all the prescribed medicines (61.2%). Approximately 70 percent respondents from 6 districts had visited STI clinic run by *N-SARC*. Almost 65 percent from 16 districts had been to STI clinic run by AMDA. Other STI clinics visited by them are shown in Table 26. Overall, 55.3 percent of the respondents had visited such clinics just once in the last 12 months (Table 26).

Table 26: STI Clinic Visiting Practices of Female Sex Workers

| | 2006 | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------|---------|-------|---------|-----|-----------------|--|--|--|
| STI Clinic Visiting Practices of Female Sex Workers | 6 Dis | stricts | 16 Di | stricts | | tal stricts) | | | |
| | N | % | N | % | N | % | | | |
| Visited any STI Clinic in the Last 12 months | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 46 | 23.0 | 142 | 35.5 | 188 | 31.3 | | | |
| No | 154 | 77.0 | 258 | 64.5 | 412 | 68.7 | | | |
| Total | 200 | 100.0 | 400 | 100.0 | 600 | 100.0 | | | |
| Activities involved in at STI Clinic | | | | | | | | | |
| Blood tested for STI | 30 | 65.2 | 109 | 76.8 | 139 | 73.9 | | | |
| Was advised to use condom in each sexual intercourse | 27 | 58.7 | 107 | 75.4 | 134 | 71.3 | | | |
| Physical examination conducted for STI identification | 28 | 60.9 | 91 | 64.1 | 119 | 63.3 | | | |
| Was advised to take complete and regular medicine | 21 | 45.7 | 94 | 66.2 | 115 | 61.2 | | | |
| Was suggested to reduce number of sexual partners | 5 | 10.9 | 25 | 17.6 | 30 | 16.0 | | | |
| Took friend with me | 8 | 17.4 | 10 | 7.0 | 18 | 9.6 | | | |
| Total | 46 | * | 142 | * | 188 | * | | | |
| Name of Organization that Run STI Clinic Visited | | | | | | | | | |
| AMDA | 0 | 0.0 | 92 | 64.8 | 92 | 48.9 | | | |
| WATCH | 0 | 0.0 | 40 | 28.2 | 40 | 21.3 | | | |
| N-SARC | 32 | 69.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 32 | 17.0 | | | |
| GWP | 1 | 2.2 | 18 | 12.7 | 19 | 10.1 | | | |
| Private Clinic | 9 | 19.6 | 8 | 5.6 | 17 | 9.0 | | | |
| Trinetra | 0 | 0.0 | 16 | 11.3 | 16 | 8.5 | | | |
| Indreni Sewa Samaj | 0 | 0.0 | 14 | 9.9 | 14 | 7.4 | | | |
| NRCS | 2 | 4.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 1.1 | | | |
| Pharmacy | 2 | 4.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 1.1 | | | |
| Hospital | 1 | 2.2 | 1 | 0.7 | 2 | 1.1 | | | |
| CAC | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.7 | 1 | 0.5 | | | |
| Others | 2 | 4.3 | 1 | 0.7 | 3 | 1.6 | | | |
| Don't know | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.7 | 1 | 0.5 | | | |
| Total | 46 | * | 142 | * | 188 | * | | | |
| Number of Visits to STI Clinics in the last 12 months | | | | | | | | | |
| Once | 25 | 54.3 | 79 | 55.6 | 104 | 55.3 | | | |
| 2-3 times | 16 | 34.8 | 55 | 38.7 | 71 | 37.8 | | | |
| 4-6 times | 4 | 8.7 | 4 | 2.8 | 8 | 4.3 | | | |
| 7-12 times | 1 | 2.2 | 3 | 2.1 | 4 | 2.1 | | | |
| More than 12 times | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.7 | 1 | 0.5 | | | |
| Total | 46 | 100.0 | 142 | 100.0 | 188 | 100.0 | | | |

*Note: The percentages add up to more than 100 because of multiple responses.

3.13.4 VCT Centers

Among the 600 respondents approximately 36 percent had visited Voluntary Counseling and Testing (VCT) centers during the past year. This comprised of 40 percent respondents from 16 districts and 27.5 percent from 6 districts. Among them 93.5 percent had undergone HIV testing, 71.2 percent had received HIV test results and 61.4 percent each of them had received post HIV test and pre-HIV test counseling there. Other kinds of activities that they participated in at the VCT centers are shown in Table 27. The VCT centers run by N-SARC was visited by 89.1 percent respondents from 6 districts while in 16 districts they had mostly visited AMDA (65%). Overall, many sex workers (61.4%) had visited such VCT centers once in the last 12 months.

Table 27: VCT Visiting Practices of Female Sex Workers

| Table 27. VCT Visiting 113 | 2006 | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------|---------|-------|---------|-----|-------------------|--|--|--|
| VCT Visiting Practices of Female sex workers | 6 Dis | stricts | 16 Di | stricts | | otal istricts) | | | |
| | N | % | N | % | N | % | | | |
| Visited VCT Center in the Last 12 months | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 55 | 27.5 | 160 | 40.0 | 215 | 35.8 | | | |
| No | 145 | 72.5 | 240 | 60.0 | 385 | 64.2 | | | |
| Total | 200 | 100.0 | 400 | 100.0 | 600 | 100.0 | | | |
| Activities Involved in at VCT Center | | | | | | | | | |
| Blood sample taken for HIV test | 45 | 81.8 | 156 | 97.5 | 201 | 93.5 | | | |
| Received HIV test result | 31 | 56.4 | 122 | 76.3 | 153 | 71.2 | | | |
| Received post HIV test counseling | 25 | 45.5 | 107 | 66.9 | 132 | 61.4 | | | |
| Received pre-HIV test counseling | 20 | 36.4 | 112 | 70.0 | 132 | 61.4 | | | |
| Received counseling on using condom correctly in each sexual intercourse | 26 | 47.3 | 93 | 58.1 | 119 | 55.3 | | | |
| Got information on HIV/AIDS window period | 10 | 18.2 | 56 | 35.0 | 66 | 30.7 | | | |
| Took a friend with me | 9 | 16.4 | 11 | 6.9 | 20 | 9.3 | | | |
| Others | 4 | 7.3 | 1 | 0.6 | 5 | 2.3 | | | |
| Total | 55 | * | 160 | * | 215 | * | | | |
| Name of the Organization that Run the VCT Visited by | | | | | | | | | |
| Them | | | | | | | | | |
| AMDA | 0 | 0.0 | 104 | 65.0 | 104 | 48.4 | | | |
| WATCH | 0 | 0.0 | 50 | 31.3 | 50 | 23.3 | | | |
| N-SARC | 49 | 89.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 49 | 22.8 | | | |
| Trinetra | 0 | 0.0 | 21 | 13.1 | 21 | 9.8 | | | |
| GWP | 1 | 1.8 | 19 | 11.9 | 20 | 9.3 | | | |
| Indreni Sewa Samaj | 0 | 0.0 | 16 | 10.0 | 16 | 7.4 | | | |
| NNSWA | 5 | 9.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 2.3 | | | |
| SACTS | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 1.3 | 2 | 0.9 | | | |
| CAC | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 1.3 | 2 | 0.9 | | | |
| Others | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 1.9 | 3 | 1.4 | | | |
| Total | 55 | * | 160 | * | 215 | * | | | |
| Number of Visit s to VCT in the last 12 months | | | | | | | | | |
| Once | 27 | 49.1 | 105 | 65.6 | 132 | 61.4 | | | |
| 2-3 times | 22 | 40.0 | 48 | 30.0 | 70 | 32.6 | | | |
| 4-6 times | 5 | 9.1 | 3 | 1.9 | 8 | 3.7 | | | |
| 7-12 times | 1 | 1.8 | 3 | 1.9 | 4 | 1.9 | | | |
| More than 12 times | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.5 | | | |
| Total | 55 | 100.0 | 160 | 100.0 | 215 | 100.0 | | | |

*Note: The percentages add up to more than 100 because of multiple responses.

3.13.5 Participation in HIV/AIDS Awareness Program

The reported participation of the sex workers in different HIV/AIDS awareness raising program was minimal with only 33.5 percent of them reporting their participation in activities in the 12 months preceding the survey. More respondents from 16 districts (39%) than from 6 districts (22.5%) reported so. The respondents had participated in condom use demonstration (58.7%), HIV/AIDS related training (53.2%), and group discussions (47.8%). GWP (82.2%) had conducted most of these activities in 6 districts while in 16 districts it was WATCH (55.8%) that had conducted most of the programs. Other organizations were also named by some sex workers (Table 28). Among them, 48.3 percent had participated in such programs 2-3 times and 27.9 percent had participated just once in the last 12 months.

Table 28: Participation of Female Sex Workers in STI/HIV/AIDS Awareness Program

| Table 20. Farticipation of Female Sex Workers | | | | 06 | | |
|--|-------|---------|-------|---------|-----|------------------|
| Participations on HIV/AIDS Awareness Programs of Female Sex Workers | 6 Dis | stricts | 16 Di | stricts | | otal stricts) |
| | N | % | N | % | N | % |
| Ever Participated in HIV/AIDS Awareness Raising Program or | | | | | | |
| Community Events in the Last 12 Months | | | | | | |
| Yes | 45 | 22.5 | 156 | 39.0 | 201 | 33.5 |
| No | 155 | 77.5 | 244 | 61.0 | 399 | 66.5 |
| Total | 200 | 100.0 | 400 | 100.0 | 600 | 100.0 |
| Activities Participated in | | | | | | |
| Condom use demonstrations | 15 | 33.3 | 103 | 66.0 | 118 | 58.7 |
| HIV/AIDS related training | 22 | 48.9 | 85 | 54.5 | 107 | 53.2 |
| Group discussions | 40 | 88.9 | 56 | 35.9 | 96 | 47.8 |
| Street drama | 6 | 13.3 | 40 | 25.6 | 46 | 22.9 |
| Condom Day | 15 | 33.3 | 30 | 19.2 | 45 | 22.4 |
| AIDS Day | 9 | 20.0 | 22 | 14.1 | 31 | 15.4 |
| Video Shows | 4 | 8.9 | 20 | 12.8 | 24 | 11.9 |
| HIV/AIDS related Workshops | 6 | 13.3 | 10 | 6.4 | 16 | 8.0 |
| Talk programs | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.5 |
| Total | 45 | * | 156 | * | 201 | * |
| Name of the Organizations that Organized Such Activities | | | | | | |
| WATCH | 0 | 0.0 | 87 | 55.8 | 87 | 43.3 |
| GWP | 37 | 82.2 | 9 | 5.8 | 46 | 22.9 |
| AMDA | 0 | 0.0 | 33 | 21.2 | 33 | 16.4 |
| Trinetra | 0 | 0.0 | 21 | 13.5 | 21 | 10.4 |
| N-SARC | 7 | 15.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 3.5 |
| NRCS | 6 | 13.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 3.0 |
| Indreni SewaSamaj | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 3.2 | 5 | 2.5 |
| Mahila Uddar Samuha | 1 | 2.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.5 |
| CAC | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.5 |
| INF/Paluwa | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.5 |
| Others | 4 | 8.9 | 2 | 1.3 | 6 | 3.0 |
| Total | 45 | * | 156 | * | 201 | * |
| Frequency of Such Participation in the last 12 months | | | | | | |
| Once | 4 | 8.9 | 52 | 33.3 | 56 | 27.9 |
| 2-3 times | 21 | 46.7 | 76 | 48.7 | 97 | 48.3 |
| 4-6 times | 16 | 35.6 | 20 | 12.8 | 36 | 17.9 |
| 7-12 times | 1 | 2.2 | 5 | 3.2 | 6 | 3.0 |
| More than 12 times | 3 | 6.7 | 1 | 0.6 | 4 | 2.0 |
| Not Participated During the Past Year | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 1.3 | 2 | 1.0 |
| Total | 45 | 100.0 | 156 | 100.0 | 201 | 100.0 |

*Note: The percentages add up to more than 100 because of multiple responses.

3.14 Stigma and Discrimination

HIV/AIDS is stigmatized in Nepal, increasing the impact of HIV on PLHA (people living with HIV/AIDS) and those most at risk. Questions about the attitude of sex workers towards HIV positive people and their perception towards HIV/AIDS were included in the survey. More than 90 percent of the sex workers were willing to take care of any of their male or female relatives with HIV if the need arose. Moreover, 46.3 percent mentioned that if they had a HIV positive member in the family, they would not mind talking about it to others.

Table 29: Attitude of FSWs towards HIV Positive People

| | | | 20 | 06 | | |
|---|-------------|------|--------------|------|-------|-----------------|
| Stigma and Discrimination | 6 Districts | | 16 Districts | | - | tal stricts) |
| | N=200 | % | N=400 | % | N=600 | % |
| Willing to take care of HIV positive male relative in the | | | | | | |
| household | | | | | | |
| Yes | 187 | 93.5 | 363 | 90.8 | 550 | 91.7 |
| No | 13 | 6.5 | 36 | 9.0 | 49 | 8.2 |
| Don't Know | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.2 |
| Willing to take care of HIV positive female relative in | | | | | | |
| the household | | | | | | |
| Yes | 189 | 94.5 | 365 | 91.3 | 554 | 92.3 |
| No | 11 | 5.5 | 34 | 8.5 | 45 | 7.5 |
| Don't Know | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.2 |
| Willing to maintain confidentiality of a HIV positive | | | | | | |
| family member | | | | | | |
| Yes | 115 | 57.5 | 204 | 51.0 | 319 | 53.2 |
| No | 85 | 42.5 | 193 | 48.3 | 278 | 46.3 |
| Don't Know | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.8 | 3 | 0.5 |

3.15 HIV/STI Prevalence Among Female Sex Workers

Among the 600 FSWs who participated in the study by providing blood and endocervical swab samples, 1.5 percent (9/600) were found to be HIV positive. There is no difference in HIV prevalence among the FSWs of 16 districts and 6 districts. Nearly five percent (28/600 or 4.7%) of the FSWs were found to be currently infected with syphilis. There is also no statistical difference in the prevalence of current syphilis between the FSWs in 16 districts and the 6 districts samples. Altogether, 7.8 percent of the FSWs (47/600) had a history of syphilis. Similar ratio (7.7% or 46/600) of the FSWs were infected with gonorrhea and a slightly higher percentages (14%) of them (84/600) were suffering from chlamydia. On the aggregate, the percentage of FSWs with any one of the STIs (syphilis, gonorrhea, chlamydia or HIV) was 22.5 percent (135/600). Although HIV prevalence was same among the FSWs of 6 districts and 16 districts the prevalence of gonorrhea and chlamydia among the FSWs in the 6 districts was low. The prevalence of gonorrhea and chlamydia in 6 districts was 3.5 percent and 5.5 percent respectively whereas among 16 districts samples 9.8 percent had gonorrhea and 18.3 percent had chlamydia. Table 30 provides a detailed picture of the prevalence of HIV and the STIs for which tests were done among the FSWs taking part in the study.

Table 30: HIV and STI Prevalence among Female Sex Workers

| HIV/STI Prevalence | 6 Districts | | 16 Districts | | - | otal stricts) |
|------------------------|-------------|------|--------------|------|-------|------------------|
| | N=200 | % | N=400 | % | N=600 | % |
| HIV | 3 | 1.5 | 6 | 1.5 | 9 | 1.5 |
| Current Syphilis | 8 | 4.0 | 20 | 5.0 | 28 | 4.7 |
| Syphilis History | 10 | 5.0 | 37 | 9.3 | 47 | 7.8 |
| Gonorrhea * | 7 | 3.5 | 39 | 9.8 | 46 | 7.7 |
| Chlamydia * | 11 | 5.5 | 73 | 18.3 | 84 | 14.0 |
| Any of the above STI * | 26 | 13.0 | 109 | 27.3 | 135 | 22.5 |

Note: Syphilis History is not included in any of the above STI

 $[\]ast$ denotes the significant difference (p < .05) between the values of the 6 districts and the 16 districts.

3.16 Association of HIV with Socio-Demographic, Behavioral and STI Variables

There is little association between HIV and socio-demographic or risk behavior variables such as condom use and the number of clients served by the respondents per day. As can be seen in Table 31, HIV infection by the categories like age, educational level and marital status differ slightly but that is not statistically significant at least at 5 percent level of significance.

3.16.1 Sex Work in India and HIV

In 2003 IBBS study the FSWs who had been to India for the purpose of commercial sex work had a significantly higher prevalence of HIV than those who had not been there. But in 2006 study, although there was slightly higher prevalence of HIV among respondents who had worked as FSW in India than those who had not worked there, the difference was not statistically significant. Also no association was seen between HIV infection and variables such as condom use and the number of clients entertained in the past year (data not shown). The prevalence of HIV was significantly higher (3.3% or 2/60) at Mahendranagar site, which is one of the total seven sites included in the study. Although Table 31 shows that HIV was prevalent among the FSWs who reported having been coerced into working in India (one out of four FSWs who were coerced) than among those who reported going voluntarily, the difference is not statistically significant as there are very few cases for the analysis purpose. HIV prevalence was significantly higher (at p < 0.5 level) among the FSWs infected with current syphilis or with any one of the STIs.

Table 31: Relationship Between HIV and Demographic, Behavioral and STIs

| Variables | Total (22 Districts) | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|------|------|--|--|--|--|
| variables | N=600 | HIV+ | % | | | | |
| Age | | | | | | | |
| <20 years old | 112 | 0 | 0.0 | | | | |
| = or > 2 years | 488 | 9 | 1.8 | | | | |
| Educational Level | | | | | | | |
| Illiterate and literate with no schooling | 404 | 7 | 1.7 | | | | |
| Schooling (Grades 1 to 10 and above SLC) | 196 | 2 | 1.0 | | | | |
| Marital Status | | | | | | | |
| Married | 521 | 8 | 1.5 | | | | |
| Never married | 79 | 1 | 1.3 | | | | |
| Years of Sex Work | | | | | | | |
| <2 Years | 263 | 3 | 1.1 | | | | |
| = or > 2 years | 337 | 6 | 1.8 | | | | |
| Sex Work in India | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 22 | 1 | 4.5 | | | | |
| No | 578 | 8 | 1.4 | | | | |
| Sex Work in Mumbai (n=22) | | | | | | | |
| Worked in Mumbai | 2 | 0 | 0.0 | | | | |
| Worked in India, but not in Mumbai | 20 | 1 | 5.0 | | | | |
| Coerced into Working in India (n=22) | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 4 | 1 | 25.0 | | | | |
| No, went to India on one's own | 18 | 0 | 0.0 | | | | |
| Study Sites | | | | | | | |
| Itahari | 105 | 2 | 1.9 | | | | |
| Lahan | 85 | 2 | 2.4 | | | | |
| Narayanghat | 75 | 1 | 1.3 | | | | |
| Butwal | 135 | 1 | 0.7 | | | | |
| Nepalgunj | 80 | 0 | 0.0 | | | | |
| Dhangadhi | 60 | 1 | 1.7 | | | | |
| Mahendranagar | 60 | 2 | 3.3 | | | | |

Table 31: Cont'd...

| Syphilis * | | | |
|------------------------------------|-----|---|-----|
| Current Syphilis | 28 | 2 | 7.1 |
| Not infected with Current syphilis | 572 | 7 | 1.2 |
| Gonorrhea | | | |
| Yes | 46 | 1 | 2.2 |
| No | 554 | 8 | 1.4 |
| Chlamydia | | | |
| Yes | 84 | 3 | 3.6 |
| No | 516 | 6 | 1.2 |
| Any of the above STIs ** | | | |
| Yes | 131 | 5 | 3.8 |
| No | 469 | 4 | 0.9 |

Note: *p<.02 OR 6.2 (6.8, 35.2); **p<.02 OR 4.6 (1.1, 20.8)

3.17 Association of STIs with Socio-Demographic and Behavioral Variables

Table 32 shows that one of the measured STIs – current (or untreated) syphilis – is highly associated with the marital status and the age of the FSWs. The prevalence of current syphilis among ever married FSWs was 5.4 percent compared to zero percent among the never married FSWs. This difference is significant at 5 percent significance level. Current syphilis was also prevalent among 5.7 percent FSWs aged 20 years and above compared to zero percent among the FSWs who were less than 20 years old. Gonorrehea and chlamydia are significantly associated with the FSWs who are in the sex trade for two or more years (Table 32). The prevalence of syphilis history is associated significantly with all of the demographic variables – age, marital status, education or years of sex work. But it is not related to sex work in India or Mumbai. Prevalence of current syphilis was highest (10.6% or 9/85) among the FSWs of Lahan site and zero among the FSWs of Mahendragar site.

Table 32: Association Between STIs and Demographic and Behavioral Variables

| Variables | N=600 | Current Syphilis | Gonorrhea | Chlamydia | Syphilis History |
|--------------------------------------|-------|---------------------|--------------|-------------|---------------------|
| | | n (%) | n (%) | n (%) | n (%) |
| Age | | | | | |
| <20 years old | 112 | 0 (0.0) | 13 (11.6) | 17 (15.2) | 1 (0.9) |
| = or > 20 years old | 488 | 28 (5.7) * | 33 (6.8) | 67 (13.7) | 46 (9.4) |
| Education Level | | | | | |
| Illiterate/No Schooling | 404 | 23 (5.7)* | 30 (7.4) | 49 (12.1) | 38 (9.4) |
| Grades 1 to 10 and above SLC | 196 | 5 (2.6) | 16 (8.2) | 35 (17.9) | 9 (4.6) |
| Marital Status | | | | | |
| Ever married | 521 | 28 (5.4) | 42 (8.1) | 70 (13.4) | 45 (8.6) |
| Never married | 79 | 0 (0.0) | 4 (5.1) | 14 (17.7) | 2 (2.5) |
| Years Worked as Sex Worker | | | | | |
| <2 years | 263 | 9 (3.4) | 30 (11.4) ** | 48 (18.3) + | 9 (3.4) |
| = or > 2 years | 337 | 19 (5.6) * | 16 (4.7) | 36 (10.7) | 38 (11.3) ++ |
| Sex Work in India | | | | | |
| Yes | 22 | 1 (4.5) | 4 (18.2) | 2 (9.1) | 4 (18.2) |
| No | 578 | 27 (4.7) | 42 (7.3) | 82 (14.2) | 43 (7.4) |
| Sex Work in Mumbai (n=22) | | | | | |
| Worked in Mumbai | 2 | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) |
| Worked in India, but not in Mumbai | 20 | 1 (5.0) | 4 (20.0) | 2 (10.0) | 4 (20.0) |
| Coerced into Working in India (n=22) | | | | | |
| Yes | 4 | 1 (25.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 1 (25.0) |
| No, went to India on one's own | 18 | 0 (0.0) | 4 (22.2) | 2 (11.1) | 3 (16.7) |
| Study Sites | | | | | |

Table 32: Cont'd...

| Itahari | 105 | 5 (4.8) | 9 (8.6) | 22 (21.0) | 5 (4.8) |
|---------------|-----|----------|-----------------|------------------|-----------|
| Lahan | 85 | 9 (10.6) | 10 (11.8) | 11 (12.9) | 11 (12.9) |
| Narayanghat | 75 | 5 (6.7) | 3 (4.0) | 15 (20.0) | 10 (13.3) |
| Butwal | 135 | 1 (0.7) | 17 (12.6) | 25 (18.5) | 11 (8.1) |
| Nepalgunj | 80 | 7 (8.8) | 6 (7.5) | 6 (7.5) | 5 (6.3) |
| Dhangadhi | 60 | 1 (1.7) | 0 (0.0) | 4 (6.7) | 2 (3.3) |
| Mahendranagar | 60 | 0 (0.0) | 1 (1.7) | 1 (1.7) | 3 (5.0) |
| All | 600 | 28 (4.7) | 46 (7.7) | 84 (14.0) | 47 (7.8) |

Note: * denotes significant difference at p< .05

The following factors have no significant association/correlation on HIV or other STIs.

- Ever use of condoms
- Consistent use of condoms
- Number of clients entertained
- Demographic characteristics such as marital status and educational level

3.18 Treatment and Care Seeking Behavior of FSWs

The percentages of the FSWs who had sought treatment in the past year for problems like genital warts, and vaginal bleeding were 82.4 percent (14/17) and 80 percent (12/15) respectively. A total of 70.5 percent of the FSWs (43/61) who had genital ulcer/sore also had sought treatment. Other reported symptoms for which more than half of the FSWs had sought treatment were vaginal discharge, vaginal itching, vaginal odor, abdominal pain and painful sex (Table 33).

Table 33: STI Symptoms and Treatment Seeking Behavior of Sex Workers

| | 22 Districts | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|------|-------|---------------------|-----------------------------|-------|--|
| Reported STI Symptoms and Treatment Seeking Behavior | Current STI Symptoms | | | toms in the Year | Treated in the Past Year | | |
| | N=600 | % | N=600 | % | n | % | |
| Lower Abdominal Pain | 195 | 32.5 | 185 | 30.8 | 105 | 56.8 | |
| Vaginal Itching | 169 | 28.2 | 159 | 26.5 | 92 | 57.9 | |
| Vaginal Odor | 146 | 24.3 | 117 | 19.5 | 71 | 60.7 | |
| Vaginal Discharge | 144 | 24.0 | 143 | 23.8 | 85 | 59.4 | |
| Painful Sex | 129 | 21.5 | 89 | 14.8 | 47 | 52.8 | |
| Dysuria | 85 | 14.2 | 76 | 12.7 | 36 | 47.4 | |
| Polyuria | 58 | 9.7 | 32 | 5.3 | 17 | 53.1 | |
| Genital Ulcer or Sore | 38 | 6.3 | 61 | 10.2 | 43 | 70.5 | |
| Unusual Vaginal Bleeding (Discharge) | 14 | 2.3 | 15 | 2.5 | 12 | 80.0 | |
| Genital Warts | 12 | 2.0 | 17 | 2.8 | 14 | 82.4 | |
| Other | 2 | 0.3 | 2 | 0.3 | 2 | 100.0 | |

Note: The percentages add up to more than 100 because of multiple responses.

3.19 Comparison of selected behavioral of HIV and STI Prevalence indicators with the 1999 and 2003 IBBS Results

This section compares the prevalence rates of HIV and syphilis in the 1999, 2003 and 2006 surveys. As 1999 survey had covered only 16 districts comparison has been drawn for 16 districts data only. It should be noted here that to make such comparison possible the same sampling design and procedures used for recruiting the study participants in the previous studies were replicated in 2006 survey. There has been a significant decrease in HIV prevalence in 2006 (1.5% or 6/400) than in the 1999 survey (3.9% or 16/410) (Table 34). The percentage of FSWs with current syphilis

^{**}p<.01 OR 2.6 (1.3, 5.1); +p<.01 OR 1.9 (1.1, 3.1); ++p<.01 OR 3.6 (1.6, 8.1)

has also significantly decreased from 11.7 percent in 1999 to 5.0 percent in 2006. However, the prevalence rate of gonorrhea (9.0% in 1999) has not changed significantly in 2006 (9.8%). But prevalence of chlamydia has increased progressively from 9.3 percent in 1999, to 12.3 percent in 2003 and 18.3 percent in 2006 which is significant. (Table 34)

Table 34: HIV and STI Prevalence Rates in 1999, 2003 and 2006

| HIV/STI | 19 | 99 | 20 | 003 | 20 | 06 |
|--------------------|--------------|------|--------------|------|--------------|------|
| 111 1/1511 | 16 Districts | | 16 Districts | | 16 Districts | |
| | N=410 | % | N=400 | | N=400 | % |
| HIV * | 16 | 3.9 | 12 | 3.0 | 6 | 1.5 |
| Active Syphilis * | 48 | 11.7 | 17 | 4.3 | 20 | 5.0 |
| Syphilis History * | 57 | 13.9 | 44 | 11.0 | 37 | 9.3 |
| Gonorrhea | 37 | 9.0 | 72 | 18.0 | 39 | 9.8 |
| Chlamydia * | 38 | 9.3 | 49 | 12.3 | 73 | 18.3 |

Note: * Significant difference between 1999 and 2006 at p<.05

Table 35 compares the prevalence rates of HIV and syphilis between 2003 and 2006. There is no significant change in HIV prevalence in 2006 (1.5% or 9/600) since the 2003 survey (2.0% or 12/600) (Table 35). Although the prevalence of current syphilis has also slightly increased from 3.8 percent in 2003 to 4.7 percent in 2006, the change is not significantly different. Prevalence rate of gonorrehea has decreased significantly from 13.5 percent in 2003 to 7.7 percent in 2006, while the prevalence rate of chlamydia has increased significantly from 10.2 percent in 2003 to 14.0 percent in 2006.

Table 35: HIV and STI Prevalence Rates in 2003 and 2006

| CUTY X . O | 20 | 03 | 2006 | | |
|------------------|-----------|------------|----------------------|------|--|
| STI Infection | Total (22 | Districts) | Total (22 Districts) | | |
| | N=600 % | | N=600 | % | |
| HIV | 12 | 2.0 | 9 | 1.5 | |
| Current Syphilis | 23 | 3.8 | 28 | 4.7 | |
| Syphilis History | 60 | 10.0 | 47 | 7.8 | |
| Gonorrhea * | 81 | 13.5 | 46 | 7.7 | |
| Chlamydia * | 61 | 10.2 | 84 | 14.0 | |

Note: * Significant difference at p<.05

Table 36 below shows the comparison of the prevalence rates of HIV among the study years 1999, 2003 and 2006 by the selected variables. There is no significant difference in the prevalence of HIV by most of the variables (Table 36). However, HIV prevalence among married FSWs in 2003 (2.5%) was significantly lower than in 1999 (4.2%). HIV prevalence among FSWs who were in sex work for more than two years was also significantly lower in 2003 (3.2%) than in 1999 (6.3%) In both cases prevalence rate have gradually decreased from 1999 to 2006. The prevalence rate among the FSWs who had been to India and Mumbai to work as sex workers was also low in the latest survey.

Table 36: Comparison of HIV Prevalence among Selected Variables

| W . 11 | | 1999 | | | 2003 | | | 2006 | |
|---|-----|------|------|-----|------|------|-----|------|-----|
| Variables | | HIV+ | % | N | HIV+ | % | N | HIV+ | % |
| Age | | | | | | | | | |
| <20 years old | 103 | 4 | 3.9 | 88 | 3 | 3.4 | 83 | 0 | 0.0 |
| >20 years old | 307 | 12 | 3.9 | 312 | 9 | 2.9 | 317 | 6 | 1.9 |
| Total | 410 | 16 | 3.9 | 400 | 12 | 3.0 | 400 | 6 | 1.5 |
| Educational Level | | | | | | | | | |
| Illiterate and literate with no schooling | 318 | 13 | 4.1 | 302 | 10 | 3.3 | 263 | 4 | 1.5 |
| Schooling (Grades 1 to 10 and above SLC) | 92 | 3 | 3.3 | 98 | 2 | 2.0 | 137 | 2 | 1.5 |
| Total | 410 | 16 | 3.9 | 400 | 12 | 3.0 | 400 | 6 | 1.5 |
| Marital Status | | | | | | | | | |
| Ever married * | 357 | 15 | 4.2 | 358 | 9 | 2.5 | 338 | 5 | 1.5 |
| Never married | 53 | 1 | 1.9 | 42 | 3 | 7.1 | 62 | 1 | 1.6 |
| Total | 410 | 16 | 3.9 | 400 | 12 | 3.0 | 400 | 6 | 1.5 |
| Years of Sex Work | | | | | | | | | |
| <2 years | 189 | 2 | 1.1 | 148 | 4 | 2.7 | 184 | 2 | 1.1 |
| >2 years * | 221 | 14 | 6.3 | 252 | 8 | 3.2 | 216 | 4 | 1.9 |
| Total | 410 | 16 | 3.9 | 400 | 12 | 3.0 | 400 | 6 | 1.5 |
| Sex Work in India | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 70 | 12 | 17.1 | 35 | 6 | 17.1 | 19 | 1 | 5.3 |
| No | 340 | 4 | 1.2 | 365 | 6 | 1.6 | 381 | 5 | 1.3 |
| Total | 410 | 16 | 3.9 | 400 | 12 | 3.0 | 400 | 6 | 1.5 |
| Sex Work in Mumbai | | | | | | | | | |
| Worked in Mumbai | 16 | 8 | 50.0 | 8 | 4 | 50.0 | 2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Worked in India but not in Mumbai | 54 | 4 | 7.4 | 27 | 2 | 7.4 | 17 | 1 | 5.9 |
| Total | 70 | 12 | 17.1 | 35 | 6 | 17.1 | 19 | 1 | 5.3 |

Note: * Significant difference at p < .05

3.20 Change in Condom Use between 2003 and 2006

This section describes the change in condom use pattern from 2003 to 2006 as a result of the intervention programs of FHI/Nepal. The data in Table 37 indicates that condom use with the client have increased significantly. It shows that condom uses with last client have increased from 53.3 percent (2003) to 66.3 percent in 2006 and with last regular client it has increased from 56.5 percent to 72.3 percent. It is encouraging to note that more FSWs have been consistently using condom with their clients as the consistent use of condom with the client have increased significantly from 22.7 percent (2003) to 43 percent (2006). With the regular clients nearly 50 percent of the FSWs have used condom consistently in 2006 in comparison to 27.3 percent in 2003. Consistent use of condoms with 'occasional' partners (other than client, husband and male friend) has also increased significantly from 11.9 percent (2003) to 45.1 percent (2006).

Table 37: Comparison of Condom Use between 2003 and 2006

| Table 37: Comparison of Condom C | | 003 | | 006 |
|---|-----|--------------|----------------------|-------|
| Number of Clients and Condom Use by Female Sex Workers | | 2 Districts) | Total (22 Districts) | |
| | N | % | N | % |
| Use of Condom with Last Client * | | | | |
| Yes | 320 | 53.3 | 398 | 66.3 |
| No | 280 | 46.7 | 202 | 33.7 |
| Total | 600 | 100.0 | 600 | 100.0 |
| Consistent Use of Condom with the Client in the Past Year * | | | | |
| Yes | 136 | 22.7 | 258 | 43.0 |
| No | 464 | 77.3 | 342 | 57.0 |
| Total | 600 | 100.0 | 600 | 100.0 |
| Have Regular Client in the Past Year * | | | | |
| Yes | 414 | 69.0 | 505 | 84.2 |
| No | 186 | 31.0 | 95 | 15.8 |
| Total | 600 | 100.0 | 600 | 100.0 |
| Use of Condom with Regular Client in the Last Sex * | | 2000 | 000 | 20000 |
| Yes | 234 | 56.5 | 365 | 72.3 |
| No | 180 | 43.5 | 140 | 27.7 |
| Total | 414 | 100.0 | 505 | 100.0 |
| Consistent Use of Condom with Regular Clients in the Past | | 1000 | | 10000 |
| Year * | | | | |
| Yes | 113 | 27.3 | 251 | 49.7 |
| No | 301 | 72.7 | 254 | 50.3 |
| Total | 414 | 100.0 | 505 | 100.0 |
| Have Sex with Partners Other than Client, Husband, | | | | |
| Male Friend in the Past Year | | | | |
| Yes | 135 | 22.5 | 133 | 22.2 |
| No | 465 | 77.5 | 467 | 77.8 |
| Total | 600 | 100.0 | 600 | 100.0 |
| Consistent Use of Condom with Partners other than Client, | | | | |
| Husband, Male Friend in the Past Year * | | | | |
| Yes | 16 | 11.9 | 60 | 45.1 |
| No | 119 | 88.1 | 73 | 54.9 |
| Total | 135 | 100.0 | 133 | 100.0 |
| Number of Clients on the Day of Last Sexual Contact | | | | |
| One | 388 | 64.7 | 482 | 80.3 |
| Two | 152 | 25.3 | 76 | 12.7 |
| Three | 49 | 8.2 | 35 | 5.8 |
| Four and More | 11 | 1.8 | 7 | 1.2 |
| Mean Number of Clients on that Day: | - | 1.5 | - | 1.3 |
| Total | 600 | 100.0 | 600 | 100.0 |

Note: * Significant Difference at p < .05

Chapter 4: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

4.1 Conclusions

This study was conducted among 600 female sex workers (FSWs), from 22 districts of east to the west Terai higway. The objectives of the study were to determine the prevalence of HIV, Neisseria gonorrhoeae (GC), chlamydia trachomatis (CT) and syphilis among FSWs working at various sites in 22 districts and to assess their HIV/STI related knowledge, risk behaviors.

The study found that 1.5 percent (9/600) respondents were HIV positive. Nearly five percent (28/600 or 4.7%) of the FSWs had current syphilis. Overall, 7.7 percent (46/600) and 14 percent (84/600) respondents had gonorrhea and chlamydia respectively. Other conclusions drawn from the study are as follows:

- The median age of the FSWs was 27 years and 18.7 percent of the respondents were less than 20 years of age.
- Two-third (67.3%) of the respondents were illiterate or had no formal schooling.
- Approximately 25 percent of the respondents were either divorced or separated from their husbands.
- Out of 600 respondents, 29.2 percent had joined the sex trade less than a year ago.
- The respondents entertained both paying and non-paying partners. The mean number of their sex partners in the previous week was 4.4.
- Overall the 43.0 percent of the sex workers had used condom with their clients in every commercial sex act in the past year.
- Consistent use of condoms with non-paying partners was very low. Approximately six percent of the sex workers only had used condoms consistently in the past year with their non-paying partners.
- A total of 44.7 percent of the sex workers reported that they obtained free condoms all the time. Free condoms were mostly obtained from NGO/health workers/volunteers and the clients.
- Pharmacies were the most common place where the sex workers preferred to purchase condoms.
- The two most popular brands of condoms among the sex workers were Number One and *Dhaal*.

- Almost 98 percent of the sex workers had heard about HIV/AIDS. The radio
 was reported major sources of the information of HIV/AIDS as reported by
 92.5 percent of the sex workers.
- Overall 60 of the respondents correctly identified all A, B and C as HIV preventive measures. However, 41.8 percent only rejected the common local misconception that mosquito bite transmitted HIV virus. In total, only 31.3 percent of the respondents were aware of all the five major indicators of HIV transmission
- More than one-half of the respondents (54.7%) had been experiencing at least one STI symptom during the survey.
- A majority of 97.3 percent respondents had not sought treatment for the STI symptom/s that they had been experiencing.
- In total, 79.2 percent of the sex workers had at least once met or interacted with OEs/PEs from the HIV/AIDS related programs and 38.2 percent had visited DICs (Drop-in-centers). The proportion of the respondents paying their visit to STI clinic and VCT centers during the past year was 31.3 percent and 35.8 percent respectively.
- The participation of the sex workers in different HIV/AIDS awareness raising program was minimal with only 33.5 percent of them reporting to have participated in such activities in the 12 months preceding the survey. GWP had conducted most of these activities in 6 districts while in 16 districts it was WATCH that had conducted most of the programs.
- Overall 1.5 percent (9/600) respondents were found to be HIV positive. There was no difference in HIV prevalence among the FSWs of 16 districts and 6 districts. However, prevalence of gonorrhea and chlamydia among the FSWs in the 6 districts (3.5% gonorrhea and 5.5% chlamydia) was low compared with prevalence among FSWs in 16 districts (gonorrhea 9.8% and chlamydia 18.3%).
- Nearly five percent (28/600 or 4.7%) of the FSWs had current syphilis. There was no statistical difference in the prevalence of current syphilis between the FSWs in 16 districts and 6 districts.
- The prevalence of HIV was significantly higher (3.3% or 2/60) at Mahendranagar site, one of the total seven sites included in the study.
- There has been significant decrease in HIV prevalence in 2006 (1.5% or 6/400) than in the 1999 survey (3.9% or 16/410). Prevalence of current syphilis has also significantly decreased from 11.7 percent in 1999 to 5.0 percent in 2006
- Although the prevalence rate of gonorrhea did not change significantly (9.0% in 1999 and 9.8 % in 2006), prevalence of chlamydia has increased

progressively from 9.3 percent in 1999, 12.3 percent in 2003 to 18.3 percent in 2006.

4.2 Recommendations

Young girls are entering the sex trade every year. So the HIV/AIDS awareness campaigns should target youth and adolescent groups. Programs might include visits by peer educators and outreach workers for raising awareness about HIV and STI and for the promotion of condom use. Sex education at school level would also help in creating general awareness.

The sex workers do not use condoms consistently. Condom use with non-paying partners such as husbands/wives and other boy/girl friends was very low. Therefore, prevention programs should focus more on the need for consistent condom use for HIV/STI infection prevention purposes with all kinds of partners.

Free condom distribution programs through NGO/health workers/volunteers should be continued and expanded to cover a larger group of the target population as the sex workers find it convenient to receive condoms from these sources.

The mobilization of peer and outreach educators for educating the target groups has been quite successful in meeting its objectives. It should be continued at a larger scale to cover more sex workers. Comparatively however, fewer sex workers had ever visited the existing DICs, STI clinics and VCT centers. Such facilities should be extended further to facilitate convenient access to the sex workers.

References

- NCASC. 2006. Cumulative Data on HIV/AIDS.
- New ERA/SACTS/FHI. 2005a. *Integrated Bio-Behavioral Survey Among IDUs in Kathmandu Valley*. A Report submitted to Family Health International/Nepal. Kathmandu.
- New ERA/SACTS/FHI. 2005b. *Integrated Bio-Behavioral Survey Among IDUs in Pokhara Valley*. A Report submitted to Family Health International/Nepal.
- New ERA/SACTS/FHI. 2005c. Behavioral and Sero Prevalence Survey Among IDUs in Eastern Terai. A Report submitted to Family Health International/Nepal. Kathmandu.
- New ERA/SACTS/FHI. 2005d. *Behavioral and Sero Prevalence Survey Among IDUs in Western to Far Western Terai*. A Report submitted to Family Health International/Nepal. Kathmandu.
- New ERA/SACTS/FHI. 2000. STD and HIV Prevalence Survey Among Female Sex Workers and Truckers on Highway Routes in the Terai, Nepal; New ERA/SACTS, Kathmandu. A Report submitted to Family Health International/Nepal.
- New ERA. 2003c. *Behavioral Surveillance Survey in the Highway Route of Nepal: Round No. 5,* A Report submitted to Family Health International/Nepal. Kathmandu. New ERA.
- New ERA. 2003d. *Behavioral Surveillance Survey of Female Sex Workers and Clients in Kathmandu Valley: Round I*, A Report submitted to Family Health International/ Nepal. Kathmandu.
- New ERA/SACTS/FHI. 2002a. *Integrated Bio-Behavioral Survey Among IDUs in Kathmandu Valley*. A report submitted to Family Health International/Nepal. Kathmandu.
- New ERA/SACTS/FHI. 2004. STI/HIV Prevalence and Risk Behavioral Study Among Female Sex Workers and Truckers Along the Terai Highway Routes Covering 22 Districts of Nepal; New ERA/SACTS, Kathmandu. A Report submitted to Family Health International/Nepal.
- SACTS. 2001. *Kathmandu FSW Sero-Prevalence Study*. A Report submitted to Family Health International/Nepal. Kathmandu.

ANNEXES

ANNEX - 1

Distribution of Sample Size by Location in 22 Terai Highway Districts

| S.N. | Lab Set up Locations in Kathmandu Valley | No. of FSWs Recruited |
|------|--|-----------------------|
| 1 | Itahari | 105 |
| 2 | Lahan | 85 |
| 3 | Narayanghat | 75 |
| 4 | Butwal | 135 |
| 5 | Nepalgunj | 80 |
| 6 | Dhangadi | 60 |
| 7 | Mahendranagar | 60 |
| | Total | 600 |

ANNEX - 2

Basic equation used in sample design

$$n = D \left[\left(Z_{\alpha} + Z_{\beta} \right)^2 * \left(P_1 \left(1 - P_1 \right) + P_2 \left(1 - P_2 \right) \right) / \left(P_2 - P_1 \right)^2 \right]$$

- n= required minimum sample size per survey round or comparison groups
- D = design effect (assumed in the following equations to be the default value of 2
- P_1 = the estimated number of an indicator measured as a proportion at the time of the first survey or for the control area
- P_2 = the expected level of the indicator either at some future date or for the project area such that the quantity (P_2-P_1) is the size of the magnitude of change it is desired to be able to detect
- Z_{α} = the Z-score corresponding to the degree of confidence with which it is desired to be able to conclude that an observed change of size (P_2-P_1) would not have occurred by chance $(\alpha$ the level of statistical significance), and
- Z_{β} = the Z-score corresponding to the degree of confidence with which it is desired to be certain of detecting a change of size (P_1-P_2) if one actually occurred $(\beta$ statistical power).

ANNEX - 3

CONFIDENTIAL

INTEGRATED BIO- BEHAVIORAL SURVEY (IBSS) AMONG FEMALE SEX WORKERS IN 22 TERAI HIGHWAY DISTRICTS FHI/NEW ERA/SACTS – 2006

FSW Questionnaire

| this data collection I will ask you some personal promotion of condoms, STI/HIV/AIDS and drug | few ERA to collect data for a research study. During questions that will be about sexual behavior, use and gs. We will also take your blood and cervical swated that you have any STI symptoms, we will provide |
|---|---|
| about because your name will not be mentioned | as confidential. Nobody will know whatever we talk on this form and collected blood and cervical swat cogram designing, monitoring and evaluation. This |
| that you do not want to answer, and you may en | vey or not. You do not have to answer any questions d this interview at any time you want to. But I hope it success by providing correct answers of all the |
| Would you be willing to participate? | |
| 1. Yes 2. No | |
| Signature of Interviewer: | Date: 2062// |
| Name of interviewer: | _ Code No. of Interviewer: |
| Date of Interview: 2062// | |
| Checked by the supervisor: Signature: | Date: 2062// |
| Data Entry # 1: Clerk's name: | Date: 2062//_ |
| Data Entry # 2: Clerk's name: | Date: 2062// |
| Has someone interviewed you from New ERA with | h a questionnaire in last few weeks? |
| 1. Yes 2. No (Continue Interview When? | |
| Days ago (STOP INTERVIE | \mathbf{W}) |

1.0 GENERAL INFORMATION

| Q. N. | Questions and Filters | Coding Categories | Skip to |
|-------|--|--------------------------------------|---------|
| 101 | Respondent ID No. | | |
| 101.1 | Write down how you made contact? | | |
| 102 | Type of Sex Work Establishment SWs were based | Disco | |
| 103 | Interview Starting Time Interview Completion Time | | |
| 104 | Where were you born? | District VDC/Municipality Ward No | |
| 105 | Where do you live now? (Name of Current Place of Residence) | District: VDC/Municipality: Ward No | |
| 106 | How long have you been living continuously at this location? | Month | 201 |
| 107 | Before you moved here, where did you live? | Districts: VDC/Municipality: Ward No | |

2.0 PERSONAL INFORMATION

| Q. N. | Questions and Filters | Coding Categories | Skip to |
|-------|--|----------------------------------|---------|
| 201 | How old are you? | Age | |
| 202 | What is your caste? (Specify Ethnic Group/Caste) | Ethnicity/Caste(Specify) Code No | |

| Q. N. | Questions and Filters | Coding Categories | Skip to |
|-------|---|--------------------------------|-------------------|
| 203 | What is your educational status? | Illiterate0 | |
| | (Circle '0' if illiterate, '19' for the literate | Literate19 | |
| | without attending the school, and write exact number of the passed grade) | Grade | |
| | exact number of the passed grade) | (write the completed grade) | |
| 204 | What is your present marital status? | Married1 | 204.2 |
| | | Divorced/Permanently | |
| | | separated2 | |
| | | Widow3 | 204.3 |
| | | Never married4 | |
| 204.1 | How old were you when you got | Age | 204.3 |
| | divorced/separated/widowed? | (write the completed years) | |
| 204.2 | Are you presently living with your husband? | Yes1 | 205 |
| | | No2 | |
| 204.3 | Who are you living with now? | Male friend1 | |
| | | Relatives2 | |
| | (Multiple Responses) | Other females3 | |
| | | Children4 | |
| | | Alone5 | |
| | | Others (Specify)96 | - |
| | [Note: If answer in Q. 204 is 'never married' Go to Q. 207] | | |
| 205 | At what age were you married for the first time? | Years old | |
| | | (Write Complete Years) | |
| | [Note: If answer in Q. 204 is ' | | |
| | Divorced/Permanently Separated ' or ' | | |
| | Widow ' Go to Q. 207] | | |
| 206 | Does your husband have co-wife now? | Yes1 | |
| | | No2 | |
| 207 | Are there people who are dependent on your | Yes1 | 200 |
| | income? | No2 | 208 |
| 207.1 | How many are dependent on your income? | Adults | |
| | | Children | |
| 208 | How long have you been exchanging sexual | Months | |
| | intercourse for money or other things? | Don't know98 | |
| | (if answer is less than 6 months stop | | |
| 208.1 | interview) Did you have any sexual intercourse during past | Vac 1 | |
| | 12 months? | Yes | STOP INTERVIEW |
| 209 | How many months have you been working here as a sex worker at this place? | Months | |
| 210 | Where else have you worked as a sex worker? | Type of establishment Location | |
| | (For example: <i>Bhatti</i> shop, Cabin Restaurant, | | |
| | Discotheques etc.) | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| Q. N. | Questions and Filters | Coding Categories | Skip to |
|-------|--|---|---------|
| 211 | Have you ever been engaged in this profession in | Yes1 | |
| | other locations? | No2 | 213 |
| 211.1 | Where did you work? | District VDC/Municipalité Village/Tole | |
| | (List all the places mentioned by the respondent) | | |
| 212 | In the past one-year have you followed this profession in other locations also? | Yes | 213 |
| 212.1 | Where did you follow such profession? (List all the places) | District VDC/Municipality Village/Tole | |
| 213 | Have you ever worked in India in this profession? | Yes | 216 |
| 213.1 | Where did you work in India? | Name of Places Name of Nearby City | |
| | (List all the locations worked in India). | | |
| 214 | In total, for how many months did you work as a sex worker in India? | Months | |
| 215 | Were you coerced to go there or you went there on your free will? | Coerced | |
| 216 | What is your average weekly income from commercial sex? [Note: If there is '0' in both cash and gift equivalent, probe for the reasons] | Cash Rs. Gift equivalent to Rs. Total Rs. | |
| 217 | Do you have any other work besides sex work? | Others (Specify) 96 Yes 1 | |
| 21, | 20 you have any outer work occides sex work. | No | 218 |
| 217.1 | What do you do? | | |
| 217.2 | What is your average weekly income from the above-mentioned sources? | Rupees | |
| 218 | Have you ever encountered any client who refuses to give money after having sex? | Yes | 301 |
| 218.1 | How many such incidents have occurred in the past six months? | Times | |

3.0 INFORMATION ON SEXUAL INTERCOURSE

| Q. N. | Questions and Filters | Coding Categories | Skip to |
|-------|--|---------------------------------|---------|
| 301 | How old were you at your first sexual | | |
| | intercourse? | Year's old | |
| | | Don't know/Can't recall98 | |
| 302 | Among all of your partners, how many of them | | |
| | had sex with you in exchange for money in the | Number | |
| | past week? | Don't know98 | |
| 303 | Among all of your partners, how many of them | | |
| | had sex with you without paying any money in | | |
| | the past week? (Include sexual contacts with | Number | |
| | spouse and live-in sexual partners) | Don't know98 | |
| 304 | With how many different sexual partners in total | | |
| | have you had sex during the past week? (Note: | | |
| | Check total number of partners in Q. 302 + | Number | |
| | Q. 303 to match with Q 304). | Don't know98 | |
| 305 | Usually, how many clients visit you in a day? | | |
| | | Number | |
| 305.1 | With how many clients did you have sayyel | 1\u00e4dinoci | |
| 303.1 | With how many clients did you have sexual intercourse yesterday? | Number | |
| 205.2 | With how many clients did you have sexual | Number | |
| 305.2 | 1 | | |
| | intercourse in the past week? | Number | |
| 306 | In the past month, with which profession's | Bus, truck or tanker worker1 | |
| 300 | client did you mostly have sex? | | |
| | cheft did you mostly have sex: | Taxi, jeep, microbus or minibus | |
| | | worker | |
| | (Encircle three most reported types of client. | Industrial/wage worker | |
| | DO NOT READ the possible answers) | Police4 | |
| | bo 1101 READ the possible answers) | Soldier/Army5 | |
| | | Student6 | |
| | | Rickshawala7 | |
| | | Service holder8 | |
| | | Businessmen9 | |
| | | Mobile Businessmen10 | |
| | | Others (Specify)96 | |
| | | Don't know98 | |
| 306.1 | With which profession's client did you have your | Bus, truck or tanker worker1 | |
| | last sexual intercourse? | Taxi, jeep, microbus or minibus | |
| | | worker2 | |
| | | Industrial/wage worker3 | |
| | | Police4 | |
| | | Soldier/Army5 | |
| | | Student6 | |
| | | Rickshawala7 | |
| | | Service holder8 | |
| | | | |
| | | Businessmen 9 | |
| | | Mobile Businessmen10 | |
| | | Others (Specify)96 | |
| | | Don't know98 | |
| 307 | How many days in a week (on an average) do | | |
| | you work as a sex worker? | Days | |

| Q. N. | Questions and Filters | Coding Categories | Skip to |
|-------|---|-----------------------|---------|
| 308 | When did you have the last sexual intercourse | | |
| | with a client? | Dave hafana | |
| | (Write '00' if Today) | Days before | |
| 309 | How many people did you have sexual | | |
| | intercourse with on that day? | Number | |
| 310 | How much rupees or other items did the last | CashRs. | |
| | client pay you? | Gift equivalent toRs. | |
| | (Note: If there is "00" in both cash and gift | Total Rs. | |
| | equivalent, mention the reasons) | | |
| | | Reason | |

4.0 USE OF CONDOM AND INFORMATION ON SEX PARTNERS

Condom use with Clients

| Q. N. | Questions and Filters | Coding Categories | Skip to |
|-------|--|--------------------------------|---------|
| 401 | The last time you had sex with your client, did | Yes1 | |
| | he use a condom? | No2 | 401.2 |
| 401.1 | Who suggested condom use at that time? | Myself1 | 402 |
| | | My Partner2 | 402 |
| | | Don't know98 | 402 |
| 401.2 | Why didn't your client use a condom at that | Not available1 | |
| | time? | Too expensive2 | |
| | | Partner objected3 | |
| | | I didn't like to use it4 | |
| | (Multiple engrous DO NOT DE AD the | Used other contraceptive5 | |
| | (Multiple answers. DO NOT READ the possible answers) | Didn't think it was necessary6 | |
| | possible answers) | Didn't think of it7 | |
| | | Client offered more money8 | |
| | | Other (Specify)96 | |
| | | Don't know98 | |
| 402 | How often did your clients use condom over the past 12 months? | All of the time1 | 403 |
| | | Most of the time2 | |
| | | Some of the time3 | |
| | | Rarely4 | |
| | | Never5 | |
| 402.1 | Why didn't your client use condom always? | Not available1 | |
| | | Too expensive2 | |
| | | Partner objected3 | |
| | Multiple answers. DO NOT READ the possible answers) | I didn't like to use it4 | |
| | | Used other contraceptive5 | |
| | | Didn't think it was necessary6 | |
| | | Didn't think of it7 | |
| | | Client offered more money8 | |
| | | Other (Specify)96 | |
| | | Don't know98 | |

Condom use with Regular Client

| Q. N. | Questions and Filters | Coding Categories | Skip to |
|-------|---|--------------------------------|---------|
| 403 | Do you have any client who visits you on regular | Yes1 | |
| | basis? | No2 | 406 |
| 404 | Did your regular client use condom in the last | Yes1 | |
| | sexual contact with you? | No2 | 404.2 |
| 404.1 | Who suggested condom use at that time? | Myself1 | 405 |
| | | My Partner23 | 405 |
| | | Don't know98 | 405 |
| 404.2 | Why didn't your regular client use a condom at that time? | Not available1 | |
| | | Too expensive2 | |
| | | Partner objected3 | |
| | | I didn't like to use it4 | |
| | | Used other contraceptive5 | |
| | | Didn't think it was necessary6 | |
| | | Didn't think of it7 | |
| | | Client offered more money8 | |
| | | Other (Specify)96 | |
| | | Don't know98 | |
| 405 | How often did your regular clients use condom with you over the past 12 months? | All of the time1 | 406 |
| | F | Most of the time2 | |
| | | Some of the time3 | |
| | | Rarely4 | |
| | | Never5 | |
| 405.1 | Why didn't they use condom always? | Not available1 | |
| | (Multiple answers. DO NOT READ the | Too expensive2 | |
| | | Partner objected3 | |
| | possible answers) | I didn't like to use it4 | |
| | possible dilsweis) | Used other contraceptive5 | |
| | | Didn't think it was necessary6 | |
| | | Didn't think of it7 | |
| | | Client offered more money8 | |
| | | Other (Specify)96 | |
| | | Don't know98 | |

Condom use with Non-Paying Cohabiting Partner (Husband or Male Friend)

| Q. N. | Questions and Filters | Coding Categories | Skip to |
|-------|--|-------------------|---------|
| 406 | Did you have sexual intercourse with your husband or a male friend in past six months? | Yes1 No2 | 409 |
| 407 | Think about your most recent sexual intercourse with your husband or male partner. How many times did you have sexual intercourse with this person over the last 30 days? (Write "00" for none intercourse in past one month) | Number of times | |
| 408 | • The last time you had sex with your husband or male friend staying together, did your sex partner use a condom? | Yes | 408.2 |

| Q. N. | Questions and Filters | Coding Categories | Skip to |
|-------|--|------------------------------------|---------|
| 408.1 | Who suggested condom use that time? | Myself1 | 409 |
| | | My Partner2 | 409 |
| | | Don't know98 | 409 |
| 408.2 | Why didn't your partner use a condom that time? | Not available1 | |
| | | Too expensive2 | |
| | | Partner objected3 | |
| | | I didn't like to use it4 | |
| | | Used other contraceptive5 | |
| | | Didn't think it was necessary6 | |
| | | Didn't think of it7 | |
| | | Other (Specify)96 | |
| | | Don't know98 | |
| 409 | How often did all of your non-paying partners | All of the time1 | 410 |
| | use condoms over the last 12 months? | Most of the time2 | |
| | | Some of the time3 | |
| | | Rarely4 | |
| | | Never5 | 410 |
| | | Did not have sexual intercourse in | 410 |
| | | the last 12 months6 | |
| 409.1 | Why didn't they use condom always? | Not available1 | |
| | | Too expensive2 | |
| | (Maddinle on groups DO NOT DE AD 4h c | Partner objected3 | |
| | (Multiple answers. DO NOT READ the possible answers) | I didn't like to use it4 | |
| | possible answers) | Used other contraceptive5 | |
| | | Didn't think it was necessary6 | |
| | | Didn't think of it7 | |
| | | Other (Specify)96 | |
| | | Don't know98 | |

$\underline{\textbf{Condom use with sex partners other than clients, husbands and male friends living together}}$

| Q. N. | Questions and Filters | Coding Categories | Skip to |
|-------|---|-------------------|-------------------|
| 410 | During the past one year, did you have sexual intercourse with a person other than your client, husband/ male friend? | Yes1 No2 | 413 |
| 411 | Did he use condom when he had last sexual contact with you? | Yes1 No2 | 411.2 |
| 411.1 | Who suggested condom use at that time? | Myself | 412 412 412 |
| 411.2 | Why didn't he use condom at that time? | Not available | |

| Q. N. | Questions and Filters | Coding Categories | Skip to |
|-------|--|--------------------------------|---------|
| 412 | How often did your other partners use condom | All of the time1 | 413 |
| | with you over the past 12 months? | Most of the time2 | |
| | | Some of the time3 | |
| | | Rarely4 | |
| | | Never5 | |
| 412.1 | Why did you not use condom regularly with | Not available1 | |
| | them? | Too expensive2 | |
| | (Multiple answers. DO NOT READ the possible answers) | Partner objected3 | |
| | | I didn't like to use4 | |
| | | Used other contraceptive5 | |
| | | Didn't think it was necessary6 | |
| | | Didn't think of it7 | |
| | | Other (Specify)96 | |
| | | Don't know98 | |
| 413 | With whom did you have your last sexual | Client | |
| | intercourse? | Husband/male friend | |
| | | Other male3 | |
| | | Others (Specify)96 | |

Condom Accessibility

| Q. N. | Questions and Filters | Coding Categories | Skip to |
|-------|---|-----------------------------|----------|
| 414 | Do you usually carry condoms with you? | Yes1 | 44.5 |
| | | No2 | 415 |
| 414.1 | At this moment, how many condoms do you | | |
| | have at-hand with you? | Number | |
| | (Observe and write) | | |
| 415 | Which places or persons do you know from | Health Post/ Health Center1 | |
| | where/whom you can obtain condoms? | Pharmacy2 | |
| | | General retail store | |
| | | (Kirana Pasal)3 | |
| | (Markarla and DO NOT DE AD Ala | Private Clinic4 | |
| | (Multiple answers. DO NOT READ the | Paan shop5 | |
| | possible answers) | Hospital6 | |
| | | FPAN Clinic7 | |
| | | Peer/Friends8 | |
| | | NGO/Health Workers/ | |
| | | Volunteers9 | |
| | | Guest House/Hotel 10 | |
| | | Other (specify)96 | |
| | | Don't know98 | |
| 415.1 | How long does it take for you to obtain a | | |
| | condom from your house or from your working | l ve | |
| | place? | Minutes | <u> </u> |
| 416 | How do you usually obtain condoms? | Always free of cost1 | |
| | (Buy, obtain free of cost or both ways) | Purchase2 | 417 |
| | | Obtain both ways3 | |
| | | Condom never used4 | 418 |

| Q. N. | Questions and Filters | Coding Categories | Skip to |
|-------|--|------------------------------|---------|
| 416.1 | From where do you often obtain free condoms? | Health Post/Health Center1 | |
| | | Hospital2 | |
| | (Multiple answers. DO NOT READ the | FPAN clinics3 | |
| | possible answers) | Peers/friends4 | |
| | | Community events5 | |
| | | NGO/Health workers/ | |
| | | Volunteers6 | |
| | | Others (Specify)96 | |
| 416.2 | Which would be the most convenient place/s for | Health Post/Health Center1 | |
| | you to obtain free condoms? | Hospital2 | |
| | | FPAN clinics3 | |
| | (Multiple answers. DO NOT READ the possible answers) | Peers/friends4 | |
| | | Community events5 | |
| | | NGO/Health workers/ | |
| | | Volunteers6 | |
| | | Others (Specify)96 | |
| | [Note: If response is "1" in Q416 Go to Q418 | | |
| 417 | From where do you often purchase condoms? | Pharmacy1 | |
| | | General retail store | |
| | (Multiple answers. DO NOT READ the | (Kirana Pasal)2 | |
| | possible answers) | Private clinic3 | |
| | | Pan Shop4 | |
| | | Others (Specify)96 | |
| 417.1 | Which would be the most convenient place/s for | Pharmacy1 | |
| | you to purchase condoms? | General retail store (Kirana | |
| | | Pasal)2 | |
| | (Multiple answers. DO NOT READ the | Private clinic3 | |
| | possible answers) | Pan Shop4 | |
| | | Others (Specify)96 | |

Type of Sex Practices

| Q. N. | Questions and Filters | Coding Categories | Skip to |
|-------|---|-------------------|---------|
| 418 | During the past one-year, did any of your sexual partners force you to have sex with them against your wish? | Yes1 No2 | |
| 419 | Did any person physically assault you (for any reason) in the past year? | Yes1 No2 | |
| 420 | In the past year, did any of your clients perform such act/s that you did not like? | Yes1 No2 | 422 |
| 421 | If yes, what were they? | | |
| 422 | In the past year, did you have other type of sexual intercourse other than vaginal? (INSTRUCTION TO INTERVIEWER: Explain the other types of sexual intercourse besides vaginal (such as oral, anal) | Yes1 No2 | 501 |

| Q. N. | Questions and Filters | Coding Categories | Skip to |
|-------|---|-------------------|---------|
| 422.1 | If yes, what type of sexual act/s were they? | Oral1 | |
| | (Multiple answers. DO NOT READ the | Anal2 | |
| | possible answers) | Hand Sex3 | |
| | | Other (Specify)96 | |
| 422.2 | What type of sexual contact did you have with | Oral1 | |
| | your last client? | Anal2 | |
| | | Hand Sex3 | |
| | (Multiple answers. DO NOT READ the | Vaginal4 | |
| | possible answers) | Other (Specify)96 | |

5.0 AWARENESS OF HIV/AIDS

| Q. N. | Questions and Filters | Coding C | ategories | Skip to |
|-------|---|----------|-----------|---------|
| 501 | Have you ever heard of HIV/AIDS? | Yes | 1 | |
| | | No | 2 | 601 |
| 502 | Of the following sources of information, from | | | |
| | which sources have you collected information on | | | |
| | HIV/AIDS within the past one-year? | | | |
| | Source of Information | Yes | No | |
| | 1. Radio | 1 | 2 | |
| | 2. Television | 1 | 2 | |
| | 3. Newspapers/Magazines | 1 | 2 | |
| | 4. Pamphlets/Posters | 1 | 2 | |
| | 5. Health Workers | 1 | 2 | |
| | 6. School/Teachers | 1 | 2 | |
| | 7. Friends/Relatives | 1 | 2 | |
| | 8. Work Place | 1 | 2 | |
| | 9. People from NGO | 1 | 2 | |
| | 10. Video Van | 1 | 2 | |
| | 11. Street Drama | 1 | 2 | |
| | 12. Cinema Hall | 1 | 2 | |
| | 13. Community Event/Training | 1 | 2 | |
| | 14. Bill Board/Sign Board | 1 | 2 | |
| | 15. Comic Book | 1 | 2 | |
| | 16. Community Workers | 1 | 2 | |
| | 96. Others (Specify) | 1 | 2 | |

Knowledge, Opinion and Misconception about HIV/AIDS

| Q. N. | Questions and Filters | Coding Categories | Skip to |
|-------|---|---|---------|
| 503 | Do you know anyone who is infected with HIV or who has died of AIDS? | Yes1 No2 | 505 |
| 504 | Do you have a close relative or close friend who is infected with HIV or has died of AIDS? | Yes, a close relative 1 Yes, a close fried 2 No 3 | |
| 505 | Can people protect themselves from HIV by keeping sexual contact with only one uninfected faithful sex partner? | Yes 1 No 2 Don't know 98 | |

| Q. N. | Questions and Filters | Coding Categories | Skip to |
|-------|--|-----------------------------|---------|
| 506 | Can people protect themselves from HIV, | Yes1 | |
| | virus-causing AIDS, by using condom | No2 | |
| | correctly in each sexual contact? | Don't know98 | |
| 507 | Do you think a healthy-looking person can be | Yes1 | |
| | infected with HIV? | No2 | |
| | | Don't know98 | |
| 508 | Can a person get the HIV virus from mosquito | Yes1 | |
| | bite? | No2 | |
| | | Don't know98 | |
| 509 | Can a person get HIV by sharing a meal with | Yes1 | |
| | an HIV infected person? | No2 | |
| | _ | Don't know98 | |
| 510 | Can a pregnant woman infected with | Yes1 | |
| | HIV/AIDS transmit the virus to her unborn | No2 | 512 |
| | child? | Don't know98 | 512 |
| 511 | What can a pregnant woman do to reduce the risk of | Take Medication | |
| | transmission of HIV to her unborn child? | Other (Specify) | |
| | | Don't know98 | |
| 512 | Can a woman with HIV/AIDS transmit the | Yes1 | |
| 312 | virus to her new-born child through | No2 | |
| | breastfeeding? | Don't know98 | |
| 513 | Can people protect themselves from HIV virus | Yes 1 | |
| 313 | by abstaining from sexual intercourse? | No2 | |
| | by abstanting from sexual interesting. | Don't know98 | |
| 514 | Can a parson get HIV by holding an HIV | | |
| 314 | Can a person get HIV by holding an HIV infected person's hand? | Yes1 | |
| | infected person's hand: | No | |
| 515 | Con a newson set UNV by using anariavely | | |
| 313 | Can a person get HIV, by using previously used needle/syringe? | Yes1 | |
| | used needle/syringe? | No2 | |
| 716 | | Don't know98 | |
| 516 | Can blood transfusion from an infected person to the other transmit HIV? | Yes1 | |
| | to the other transmit HIV? | No2 | |
| C17 | 7.4. 11. | Don't know98 | |
| 517 | Is it possible in your community for someone | Yes1 | |
| | to have a confidential HIV test? | No2 | |
| 710 | | Don't know98 | |
| 518 | I don't want to know the result, but have you | Yes1 | CO1 |
| | ever had an HIV test? | No2 | 601 |
| 519 | Did you voluntarily undergo the HIV test or | Voluntarily1 | |
| | because it was required? | Required2 | |
| 520 | Please do not tell me the result, but did you | Yes1 | 522 |
| | find out the result of your test? | No2 | |
| 521 | Why did you not receive the test result? | Sure of not being infected1 | |
| | | Afraid of result2 | |
| | | Felt unnecessary3 | |
| | | Forgot it4 | |
| | | Other (Specify)96 | |

| Q. N. | Questions and Filters | Coding Categories | Skip to |
|-------|--|------------------------|---------|
| 522 | When did you have your most recent HIV test? | Within last 12 months1 | |
| | | Between 1-2 years2 | |
| | | Between 2-4 years3 | |
| | | More than 4 yeas ago4 | |

6.0 PROMOTION OF CONDOM

| Q. N. | Questions and Filters | Coding Ca | ategories | Skip to |
|-------|---|--|-----------|---------|
| 601 | In the past one-year have you seen, read or heard any advertisements about condoms from the following sources? (READ THE FOLLOWING LIST) | | | |
| | Sources of Information | Yes | No | |
| | 1. Radio | 1 | 2 | |
| | 2. TV | 1 | 2 | |
| | 3. Pharmacy | 1 | 2 | |
| | 4. Health Post/ Health Center | 1 | 2 | |
| | 5. Hospital | 1 | 2 | |
| | 6. Health Workers/Volunteers | 1 | 2 | |
| | 7. Friends/Neighbors | 1 | 2 | |
| | 8. NGOs | 1 | 2 | |
| | 9. Newspapers/Posters | 1 | 2 | |
| | 10. Video Van | 1 | 2 | |
| | 11. Street Drama | 1 | 2 | |
| | 12. Cinema Hall | 1 | 2 | |
| | 13. Community Event/Training | 1 | 2 | |
| | 14. Bill Board/Sign Board | 1 | 2 | |
| | 15. Comic Book | 1 | 2 | |
| | 16. Community Workers | 1 | 2 | |
| | 96. Others (Specify) | 1 | 2 | |
| 602 | What message did you get from the advertisement? | Condoms should be used to avoid HIV/AIDS | | |
| | (Multiple answers. DO NOT READ the | avoid STI2 | | |
| | possible answers) | Condoms should be used for fam planning, other family planning | | |
| | | | | |
| | | messages | | |
| | | Other (Specify) | 96 | |

| Q. N. | Questions and Filters | Coding Ca | ategories | Skip to |
|-------|--|-----------|-------------|---------|
| 603 | In the past one-year, have you ever seen, heard or read following messages? | | | |
| | Messages/Characters | Yes | No | |
| | Jhilke Dai Chha Chhaina Condom | 1 | 2 | |
| | 2. Condom Kina Ma Bhaya Hunna Ra | 1 | 2 | |
| | Youn Rog Ra AIDS Bata Bachnalai Rakhnu Parchha Sarbatra Paine Condom Lai | 1 | 2 | |
| | Ramro Sanga Prayog Gare Jokhim Huna Dinna Bharpardo Chhu Santosh Dinchhu Jhanjhat Manna Hunna | 1 | 2 | |
| | 5. Condom Bata Surakchhya, Youn Swasthya Ko Rakchhya AIDS Ra Younrog Bata Bachna Sadhai Condom Ko Prayog Garau | 1 | 2 | |
| | 6. HIV/AIDS Bare Aajai Dekhee Kura Garau | 1 | 2 | |
| | 7. Ek Apas Ka Kura | 1 | 2 | |
| | 8. Maya Garaun Sadbhav Badaun | 1 | 2 | |
| | 9. Des Pardes | 1 | 2 | |
| | 96. Others (Specify) | 1 | 2 | |
| 603.1 | Besides above messages have you seen, heard or read any other messages relating to STI/HIV/AIDS Prevention or Condom Uses? | Yes | | 604 |
| 603.2 | What are they? | | | |
| 604 | During the past one-year what brand of condoms did you use most of the time? (Record first three) | | 1 2 3 | |

Knowledge and Participation in STI and HIV/AIDS Programs

| Q. N. | Questions and Filters | Coding Categories | Skip to |
|-------|---|---|---------|
| 605 | Have you met or discussed or interacted with Peer Educators (PE) or Outreach Educators (OE) in the last 12 months? | Yes 1 No 2 No response 99 | 609 |
| 606 | When you met/discussed/interacted with PE or OE in what kind of activities were you involved? (Multiple answers. DO NOT READ the possible answers) | Discussion on how HIV/AIDS is/isn't transmitted | |

| Do you know from which organization were they? | Q. N. | Questions and Filters | Coding Categories | Skip to |
|--|-------|--|----------------------------------|---------|
| they? GWP | 607 | Do you know from which organization were | | |
| Multiple answers. DO NOT READ the possible answers NO NOT READ the possible answers | | they? | GWP2 | |
| Multiple answers DO NOT READ the possible answers Sidhartha Club 9 CAC 10 SACTS 11 NFCC 12 NAPN 13 SPARSHA 14 Others (Specify) 96 Don't know 98 One 1 23 times 2 4-6 times 3 7-12 times 4 More than 12 times 5 S More than 12 times 5 More than 12 times 4 More than 1 | | - | Trinetra 3 | |
| NSARC | | | WATCH4 | |
| NRCS | | (Multiple answers. DO NOT READ the | | |
| INF/Paluwa | | possible answers) | NSARC6 | |
| Siddhartha Club | | | | |
| CAC | | | INF/Paluwa8 | |
| SACTS | | | | |
| NFCC 12 NAPN 13 SPARSHA 14 Others (Specify) 96 Don't know 98 One 1 2-3 times 2 4-6 times 3 7-12 times 4 More than 12 times 5 More than 12 times 610 When you went to the DIC, in which activities did you take part? Went to collect condoms 1 Went to learn the correct way of using condom 2 Went to watch film on HIV/AIDS 3 Participated in discussion on STI transmission 5 Participated in discussion on STI transmission 5 Participated in training, interaction and discussion programs on HIV/AIDS and STI 6 Went to collect IEC materials 7 Went for STI treatment 8 Took friend with me 9 Other (Specify) 96 Other (Specify) 8 Siddhartha Club 9 CAC 10 SACTS 11 NFCC 12 NAPN 13 13 | | | | |
| NAPN 13 SPARSHA 14 Others (Specify) 96 Don't know 98 And/or OE in the last 12 months? Once 1 2-3 times 2 4-6 times 3 7-12 times 4 More than 12 times 5 Fes. 1 No. 2 613 When you went to the DIC, in which activities did you take part? | | | | |
| SPARSHA | | | | |
| Others (Specify) | | | | |
| Don't know 98 | | | | |
| How many times have you been visited by PE and/or OE in the last 12 months? | | | | |
| and/or OE in the last 12 months? 2 - 3 times | | | | |
| 4-6 times 3 7-12 times 4 4 More than 12 times 4 More than 12 times 5 5 | 608 | | | |
| T-12 times | | and/or OE in the last 12 months? | | |
| More than 12 times 5 | | | | |
| Have you visited or been to any drop in center (DIC) in the last 12 months? | | | | |
| (DIC) in the last 12 months? | | | More than 12 times 5 | |
| When you went to the DIC, in which activities did you take part? Went to collect condoms | 609 | Have you visited or been to any drop in center | Yes1 | |
| did you take part? | | (DIC) in the last 12 months? | No2 | 613 |
| Multiple answers. DO NOT READ the possible answers) | 610 | When you went to the DIC, in which activities | Went to collect condoms | |
| Condom. | | * | | |
| Multiple answers. DO NOT READ the possible answers Participated in discussion on HIV transmission | | 1 | | |
| transmission | | | Went to watch film on HIV/AIDS 3 | |
| transmission | | (Multiple answers. DO NOT READ the | | |
| Participated in discussion on STI transmission | | | transmission4 | |
| Participated in training, interaction and discussion programs on HIV/AIDS and STI | | , | | |
| and discussion programs on HIV/AIDS and STI | | | | |
| HIV/AIDS and STI | | | | |
| Went to collect IEC materials | | | | |
| Went for STI treatment | | | | |
| Took friend with me | | | | |
| Other (Specify) | | | | |
| Do you know which organizations run those DICs? | | | | |
| DICs? GWP | 611 | Do you know which organizations run those | | |
| Trinetra | 011 | | | |
| (Multiple answers. DO NOT READ the possible answers) WATCH | | D103: | | |
| Multiple answers. DO NOT READ the possible answers ICH | | | | |
| NSARC 6 NRCS 7 INF/Paluwa 8 Siddhartha Club 9 CAC 10 SACTS 11 NFCC 12 NAPN 13 | | (Multiple anguage DO NOT DE AD the | | |
| NRCS | | _ · | | |
| INF/Paluwa | | possible answers) | | |
| Siddhartha Club | | | | |
| CAC | | | | |
| SACTS | | | | |
| NFCC | | | | |
| NAPN | | | | |
| | | | | |
| SPARSHA 14 | | | SPARSHA 14 | |
| Others (Specify) 96 | | | Others (Specify) 96 | |
| Don't know98 | | | | |

| Q. N. | Questions and Filters | Coding Categories | Skip to |
|-------|---|------------------------------------|---------|
| 612 | How many times have you visited DICs in the | Once1 | |
| | last 12 months? | 2-3 times2 | |
| | | 4-6 times3 | |
| | | 7-12 times4 | |
| | | More than 12 times5 | |
| 613 | Have you visited any STI clinic in the last 12 | Yes1 | |
| | months? | No2 | 617 |
| 614 | When you visited such STI clinic in what | Blood tested for STI1 | |
| | activities were you involved? | Physical examination conducted for | |
| | | STI identification2 | |
| | (Multiple answers. DO NOT READ the | Was advised to use condom in each | |
| | possible answers) | sexual intercourse | |
| | | regular medicine | |
| | | Was suggested to reduce number of | |
| | | sexual partners5 | |
| | | Took friend with me 6 | |
| | | Other (Specify)96 | |
| 615 | Do you know which organizations run those STI | AMDA /STI1 | |
| | clinics? | NSARC2 | |
| | | NRCS3 | |
| | (Multiple engrous DO NOT DE AD the | INF/Paluwa4 | |
| | (Multiple answers. DO NOT READ the possible answers) | Siddhartha Club5 | |
| | possible answers) | SACTS6 | |
| | | NFCC7 | |
| | | WATCH8 | |
| | | Others (Specify)96 | |
| | | Don't know98 | |
| 616 | How many times have you visited STI clinic in the last 12 months? | Once1 | |
| | the last 12 months? | 2-3 times2 | |
| | | 4-6 times3 | |
| | | 7-12 times4 | |
| 617 | V | More than 12 times5 | |
| 617 | Have you visited any Voluntary Counseling and | Yes1 | (21 |
| | Testing (VCT) centers in the last 12 months? | No2 | 621 |
| 618 | When you visited such VCT center in what | Received pre-HIV/AIDS test | |
| | activity were you involved? | counseling1 | |
| | | Blood sample taken for HIV/AIDS | |
| | | test2 | |
| | (Multiple answers. DO NOT READ the | Received post HIV/AIDS test | |
| | possible answers) | counseling3 | |
| | | Got information on HIV/AIDS | |
| | | window period4 | |
| | | Received HIV/AIDS test result5 | |
| | | Received counseling on using | |
| | | condom correctly in each sexual | |
| | | intercourse6 | |
| | | Took a friend with me7 | |
| | | Other (Specify)96 | |

| Q. N. | Questions and Filters | Coding Categories | Skip to |
|-------|---|-----------------------------|---------|
| 619 | Do you know which organizations run those | AMDA1 | |
| | VCTI centers? | NSARC2 | |
| | | NRCS3 | |
| | | INF/Paluwa4 | |
| | (Multiple answers. DO NOT READ the | Siddhartha Club5 | |
| | possible answers) | SACTS6 | |
| | | NFCC7 | |
| | | WATCH8 | |
| | | Others (Specify)96 | |
| | | Don't know98 | |
| 620 | For how many times have you visited VCT | Once | |
| 020 | center in the last 12 months? | 2-3 times | |
| | | 4-6 times | |
| | | 7-12 times4 | |
| | | More than 12 times5 | |
| 621 | Have you ever participated in HIV/AIDS | | |
| 021 | awareness raising program or community events | Yes | 701 |
| | in the last 12 months? | No2 | /01 |
| 622 | When you participated in such events in what | Street drama1 | |
| | activities were you involved? | AIDS Day2 | |
| | | Condom Day3 | |
| | | Video Shows4 | |
| | (Multiple answers. DO NOT READ the | Group discussions5 | |
| | possible answers) | Talk programs6 | |
| | | HIV/AIDS related training7 | |
| | | HIV/AIDS related Workshops8 | |
| | | Condom use demonstrations9 | |
| | | Others (Specify)96 | |
| 623 | Do you know which organizations organized | AMDA1 | |
| | those activities? | GWP2 | |
| | | TRINETRA3 | |
| | | WATCH4 | |
| | (Multiple answers. DO NOT READ the | ICH5 | |
| | possible answers given below) | NSARC6 | |
| | | NRCS7 | |
| | | INF/Paluwa8 | |
| | | Siddhartha Club9 | |
| | | CAC10 | |
| | | SACTS11 | |
| | | NFCC12 | |
| | | NAPN13 | |
| | | Sparsa | |
| | | Others (Specify)96 | |
| | | Don't know98 | |
| 624 | How many times have you participated in such | Once1 | |
| | activities in the last 12 months? | 2-3 times2 | |
| | | 4-6 times3 | |
| | | 7-12 times4 | |
| | | More than 12 times5 | |
| | L | | 1 |

7.0 STI (SEXUALLY TRANSMITTED INFECTION)

| Q. N. | Questions and Filters | Coding Cat | egories | Skip to |
|-------|--|-----------------------|-------------|---------|
| 701 | Which diseases do you understand by STI? | White Discharge/Di | scharge of | |
| | | Pus/Dhatu flow | | |
| | | Itching around Vagi | na2 | |
| | (Multiple answers. DO NOT READ the | Lower Abdominal P | Pain3 | |
| | possible answers) | Syphilis (Bhiringi)/0 | Gonorrhea4 | |
| | | HIV/AIDS | | |
| | | Burning Sensation v | vhile | |
| | | Urinating | | |
| | | Swelling of Vagina. | 7 | |
| | | Pain in Vagina | | |
| | | Unusual Bleeding fr | om Vagina.9 | |
| | | Ulcer or sore around | - | |
| | | Don't know | | |
| | | Other (Specify) | 96 | |
| 702 | Do you currently have any of the following sympt | | | |
| | Symptoms | Yes | No | |
| | 1. Pain in the lower abdomen | 1 | 2 | |
| | 2. Pain during urination | 1 | 2 | |
| | 3. Frequent urination | 1 | 2 | |
| | 4. Pain during sex | 1 | 2 | |
| | 5. Ulcer or sore in the genital area | 1 | 2 | |
| | 6. Itching in or around the vagina | 1 | 2 | |
| | 7. Vaginal odor or smell | 1 | 2 | |
| | 8. Vaginal bleeding (unusual) | 1 | 2 | |
| | 9. Unusual heavy, foul smelling vaginal | 1 | 2 | |
| | discharge | 1 | | |
| | 10. Genital Warts | 1 | 2 | |
| | 96.Others (Specify) | 1 | 2 | |
| | (If answer is "No" to all in the Q. No. | | | |
| | 702 Go to Q. 710) | | | |
| 703 | Have you gone through medical treatment for | Yes | 1 | |
| | any of these symptoms? | No | 2 | 710 |
| 703.1 | If yes, for how long did you wait to go for the | | | |
| | treatment? | *** 1 | | |
| | (Write '00' if less than a week) | Week | | |
| 704 | Where did you go for the treatment? | Private Clinic | 1 | |
| | | AMDA Clinic | 2 | |
| | | NFCC | 3 | |
| | (Multiple answers. DO NOT READ the | SACTS | | |
| | possible answers) | FPAN Clinic | | |
| | | Health Post/ Health (| | |
| | | Hospital | | |
| | | Pharmacy | | |
| | | Self Treatment (Spec | | |
| | | | | |
| | | Others (specify) | | |

| Q. N. | Questions and Filters | Coding Co | ategories | Skip to |
|-------|--|--------------------|-----------|---------|
| 705 | For which symptoms did you get treatment? | | | |
| | Specify the treatment. | | | |
| | Symptoms | Treatment | | |
| | 1. Pain in the lower abdomen | | | |
| | 2. Pain during urination | | | |
| | 3. Frequent urination | | | |
| | 4. Pain during sex | | | |
| | 5. Ulcer or sore in the genital area | | | |
| | 6. Itching in or around the vagina | | | |
| | 7. Vaginal odor or smell | | | 1 |
| | 8. Vaginal bleeding (unusual) | | | 1 |
| | 9. Unusual heavy, foul smelling vaginal discharge | | | |
| | 10. Genital Warts | | | |
| | 96. Others (Specify) | | | 1 |
| 706 | Did you receive a prescription for medicine? | Yes | 1 | |
| | <u> </u> | No | | 709 |
| 707 | Did you obtain all the medicine prescribed? | Yes I obtained all | | |
| | | I obtained some by | | 709 |
| | | I obtained none | 3 | 709 |
| 708 | Did you take all of the medicine prescribed? | Yes | 1 | 709 |
| | | No | 2 | |
| 708.1 | If not, why did you not take all of the medicine | Forgot to take | | |
| | prescribed? | Felt cured | | |
| | | Medicine did not v | | |
| | | Others (Specify)_ | | |
| 709 | How much did you pay for the medicine that you | Rs | | |
| | took? [If not paid mention the reasons] | | | |
| 710 | | Reason | | |
| 710 | Did you have any of the following symptoms in the past year? | | | |
| | Symptoms | Yes | No | 4 |
| | 1. Pain in the lower abdomen | 1 | 2 | _ |
| | 2. Pain during urination | 1 | 2 | _ |
| | 3. Frequent urination | 1 | 2 | |
| | 4. Pain during sex | 1 | 2 | _ |
| | 5. Ulcer or sore in the genital area | 1 | 2 | |
| | 6. Itching in or around the vagina | 1 | 2 | |
| | 7. Vaginal odor or smell | 1 | 2 | |
| | 8. Vaginal bleeding (unusual) | 1 | 2 | |
| | 9. Unusual heavy, foul smelling vaginal | 1 | 2 | |
| | discharge | | _ | 4 |
| | 10. Genital Warts | 1 | 2 | _ |
| | 96. Others (Specify) | 1 | 2 | |
| | (If answer is "No" to all in Q. No. 710, Go to Q. No. 801) | | | |

| Q. N. | Questions and Filters | Coding Categories | | Skip to |
|-------|--|--------------------------------|-----------|---------|
| 711 | Have you gone through medical treatment for | | | |
| | any of these symptoms in the past year? | | | - |
| | Symptoms | Yes | No | - |
| | Pain in the lower abdomen | 1 | 2 | |
| | 2. Pain during urination | 1 | 2 | |
| | 3. Frequent urination | 1 | 2 | |
| | 4. Pain during sex | 1 | 2 | |
| | 5. Ulcer or sore in the genital area | 1 | 2 | |
| | 6. Itching in or around the vagina | 1 | 2 | |
| | 7. Vaginal odor or smell | 1 | 2 | |
| | 8. Vaginal bleeding (unusual) | 1 | 2 | |
| | Unusual heavy vaginal discharge and foul vaginal discharge | 1 | 2 | |
| | 10. Genital Warts | 1 | 2 | |
| | 96. Others (Specify) | 1 | 2 | |
| | (If answer is "No" to all in Q. No. 711, Go to Q. No. 801) | | | |
| 712 | Where did you go for the treatment? | Private Clinic | | |
| | (Multiple answers. Do not read the possible | AMDA Clinic | 3 | |
| | answers). | SACTS | | |
| | | FPAN Clinic | | |
| | | Health Post/ Healtl | | |
| | | Hospital | | |
| | | Pharmacy Self Treatment (Sp | | |
| | | Others (Specify) | - · | 001 |
| 713 | Did anyone from the place where you went for | | | 801 |
| /13 | treatment counsel you about how to avoid the problem? | Yes | | 801 |
| 713.1 | What did he/she tell you? | Told me to use cor | ndom1 | |
| | (Multiple answers, DONOT READ the | Told me to reduce | number of | |
| | possible answers) | sexual partners | | |
| | | Others (Specify) _ | 96 | |

8.0 USE OF DRUGS AND INJECTION

| Q. N. | Questions and Filters | Coding Categories | Skip to |
|-------|--|---------------------------|---------|
| 801 | During the last 30 days how often did you have | Everyday1 | |
| | drinks containing alcohol? | 2-3 times a week2 | |
| | | At least once a week3 | |
| | | Less than once in a week4 | |
| | | Never5 | |
| | | Don't know98 | |
| 802 | | | |
| | Have you also tried any of those drugs in the | No2 | |
| | past 30 days? | Don't know98 | |

| Q. N. | Questions and Filters | Coding Categories | Skip to |
|-------|--|-----------------------------------|---------|
| | (Ganja, Bhang, Nitroson, Nitrovet E.) | | |
| 803 | Some people inject drugs using a syringe. Have | Yes1 | |
| | you ever-injected drugs? | No2 | 809 |
| | (Do not count drugs injected for medical | Don't know98 | 809 |
| | purpose or treatment of an illness) | | |
| 804 | Have you injected drugs in last 12 months? | Yes1 | |
| | (Do not count drugs injected for medical | No2 | 809 |
| | purposes or treatment of an illness) | Don't know98 | 809 |
| 805 | Are you currently injecting drugs? | Yes1 | |
| | | No2 | 809 |
| 806 | Think about the last time you injected drugs. | Yes1 | |
| | Did you use a needle or syringe that had | No2 | |
| | previously been used by someone else? | Don't know98 | |
| 807 | Think about the time you injected drugs during | | |
| 807 | the past one month. How often was it with a | Every Time | |
| | needle or syringe that had previously been used | Almost Every Time2 | |
| | by someone else? | Sometimes3 | |
| | by someone eise: | Never4 | |
| | | Don't Know98 | |
| 808 | Usually how do you obtain a syringe/needle? | My friend/relative give it to me | |
| | | after use1 | |
| | | Unknown person give it to me2 | |
| | | I pick it up from a public place | |
| | | used and left by others3 | |
| | | I pick it up from a public place | |
| | | where I leave my syringes4 | |
| | | I use a new needle/syringe given | |
| | | by NGO/volunteer5 | |
| | | I purchase a new needle/syringe 6 | |
| | | Others (Specify)96 | |
| 809 | Have you ever exchanged sex for drugs? | Yes1 | |
| | | No2 | |
| 810 | Have you ever exchanged sex for money so | | |
| 010 | that you can buy drug? | Yes1 | |
| 011 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | No | |
| 811 | To your knowledge, have any of your sex | Yes1 | 010 |
| | partners injected drugs? | No2 | 812 |
| 811.1 | (For Married SW only) Does your husband inject drug? (Check with Q. 204) | Yes1 | |
| | (Check with Q. 204) | No2 | |
| | | Don't know98 | |
| 811.2 | (For female having regular client) Did your regular client | Yes1 | |
| | inject drug? (Check with Q. 403) | No2 | |
| | | Don't know98 | |
| 811.3 | (For all) Do you know any of your client ever | | |
| 011.3 | injecting drugs? | Yes1 | |
| | injecting drugs: | No2 | |
| 012 | | Don't know98 | |
| 812 | Do you know anyone who injects drugs? | Yes1 | 001 |
| | | No2 | 901 |

| Q. N. | Questions and Filters | Coding Categories | Skip to |
|-------|---|-------------------|---------|
| 812.1 | If yes, how are you related to her/him? | Client1 | |
| | | Friend2 | |
| | | Family3 | |
| | | Relative4 | |
| | | Other (Specify)96 | |

9.0 STIGMAS AND DISCRIMINATION

| Q. N. | Questions and Filters | Coding Categories | Skip to |
|-------|---|-------------------|---------|
| 901 | If a male relative of yours gets HIV, would you | Yes1 | |
| | be willing to take care of him in your | No2 | |
| | household? | Don't know98 | |
| 902 | If a female relative of yours gets HIV, would | Yes1 | |
| | you be willing to take care of her in your | No2 | |
| | household? | Don't know98 | |
| 903 | If a member of your family gets HIV, would | Yes1 | |
| | you want it to remain a secret? | No2 | |
| | | Don't know98 | |

R Thank You. 20

ANNEX - 4

CONFIDENTIAL

INTEGRATED BIO-BEHAVIORAL SURVEY (IBBS) AMONG FEMALE SEX WORKERS IN 22 TERAI HIGHWAY DISTRICTS FHI/NEW ERA/SACTS – 2006

Female Clinical/Lab Checklist

| Respondent ID Number: | | Date: 2062//_ | |
|---------------------------------------|--|---------------------|----|
| Name of Clinician: | | | |
| Name of Lab Technician: | | _ | |
| (A) Clinical Information | (B) | Specimen collection | |
| | | Yes | No |
| Weight:Kg | Pre test counseled | 1 | 2 |
| B.P. :mm of Hg | Blood Collected for HIV & Syphilis | 1 | 2 |
| Pulse :° F | Date & place for post-test results given | 1 | 2 |
| | Condom given | 1 | 2 |
| | Vitamins given | 1 | 2 |
| | Gift given | 1 | 2 |
| | IEC materials given | 1 | 2 |
| 1.0 Syndromic Treatment Inform | ation_ | | |
| 101. Has any of your sexual partner h | nad urethral discharge in t | he past 3 months? | |
| 1. Yes 2 No | | | |

98. Don't know

102. Do you now have or have you had in the past month any of the following symptoms?

| | | Now | | In the Pa | In the Past Month | |
|-----|-----------------------------------|-------|-------|-----------|-------------------|--|
| | | | | | | |
| 1. | Pain in the lower abdomen | 1.Yes | 2. No | 1.Yes | 2. No | |
| 2. | Pain during urination | 1.Yes | 2. No | 1.Yes | 2. No | |
| 3. | Frequent urination | 1.Yes | 2. No | 1.Yes | 2. No | |
| 4. | Pain during sex | 1.Yes | 2. No | 1.Yes | 2. No | |
| 5. | Ulcer or sore in the genital area | 1.Yes | 2. No | 1.Yes | 2. No | |
| 6. | Itching in or around the vagina | 1.Yes | 2. No | 1.Yes | 2. No | |
| 7. | Vaginal odor or smell | 1.Yes | 2. No | 1.Yes | 2. No | |
| 8. | Vaginal bleeding (unusual) | 1.Yes | 2. No | 1.Yes | 2. No | |
| 9. | Unusual heavy vaginal discharge | | | | | |
| | and foul vaginal discharge | 1.Yes | 2. No | 1.Yes | 2. No | |
| 10. | Genital Warts | 1.Yes | 2. No | 1.Yes | 2. No | |
| 11. | Others (Specify) | 1.Yes | 2. No | 1.Yes | 2. No | |

[If yes to any of above, give vaginal discharge syndrome treatment]

- 103. Do you now have or have you had in the past month any sores or ulcer on or near your genitals?
 - 1. Yes [If yes, Refer]
 - 2. No
- 104. Has any of your sexual partner had sore around genital areas in the past 3 months?
 - 1. Yes [If yes, Refer]
 - 2. No
 - 98. Don't know

ANNEX - 5

Family Health International (FHI), Nepal Consent Form for Female Sex Workers

Title: Integrated Bio-behavioral survey (IBBS) among female sex workers in 22 Terai Highway Districts

Sponsor: Family Health International, Nepal and USAID, Nepal

Principal Investigator : Asha Basnyat, Country Director

Address: Family Health International/Nepal, GPO BOX 8803,

Gairidhara

Kathmandu, Nepal, Email: asha@fhi.org.np

Introduction to Research

We are asking you to take part in research to collect information on knowledge of HIV/STIs, HIV/STI related risk behaviors, STI treatment practices and to measure the prevalence of HIV, syphilis and gonorrhea and chlamydia infections among the populations like you. We want to be sure you understand the purpose and your responsibilities in the research before you decide if you want to be in it. If you decide to be in this research, we will ask you to sign this paper (or make your mark in front of a witness). If you want to keep a copy of this paper, we will give it to you. Please ask us to explain any words or information that you may not understand.

General Information about the Research

Study participants will be selected randomly. In total 600 women like you are selected for interview. We will ask you some questions and then ask you to provide blood and cervical swab samples. This will require taking a swab sample from you placing a cotton swab in the vagina with the help of Dacron. We will draw 7-10 ml blood by 10 ml disposable syringe from your vein. If it is determined that you have any symptoms that are consistent with an STI, we will provide treatment free of charge. The diagnosis and treatment of this type of disease will be done on the basis of National STI Case Management Guidelines.

You are free to decide if you want to be in this research. If you decide not to participate, your decision will not affect the health care you would normally receive at this place.

Your Part in the Research

If you agree to be in the research, you will be asked some questions regarding your age and education if you agree to participate in the research. We will also ask you some questions about your travel, the history of your sexual behavior and symptoms of sexually transmitted diseases.

We will explain you what the laboratory test are performed and what treatment and care is available to you. Then we will collect your blood and cervical swab samples.

Your name will neither be recorded on blood and cervical swab samples nor in the questionnaire. All the questionnaire and samples will be labeled with a code number. Gonorrhea and chlamydia trachomatis test will be done from your cervical swab sample and syphilis and HIV will be examined from your blood sample. Syphilis and HIV test will be done in Kathmandu by SACTS but Gonorrhea and chalamydia test will be performed in National Reference Laboratory (NRL) in Kathmandu. If you wish we could provide you syphilis and HIV test results about a month after the completion of the fieldwork. Your part in the research will last approximately one hour.

Possible Risks

The risk of participating in this study is the minor discomfort due to bleeding bruising during blood drawing. Providing cervical swab sample do not put you at any risk. Since your name has not been recorded anywhere, no one will be able to know that this laboratory test report belongs to you. Some of the questions we ask might put you in trouble or make you feel uncomfortable to answer them. You are free not to answer such questions and also to withdraw yourself from participating the research process at any time you like to do so. You might feel some mental stress after getting your test results. But you will get proper pre and post test counseling on HIV and STI through a qualified counselor.

Possible Benefits

You will be provided with free treatment, if currently you have any STI symptoms. You will be given lab test results and made aware of how STI/HIV is transmitted and how it can be prevented and controlled. You will also be provided with information on safe sex. The information we obtain from this research will help us plan and formulate strategies to control and prevent further spread of AIDS and other sexually transmitted diseases.

If You Decide Not to Be in the Research

You are free to decide if you want to be in this research. Your decision will not affect in any way in the health services you have been seeking now and you would normally receive.

Confidentiality

We will protect information collected about you and you taking part in this research to the best of our ability. We will not use your name in any reports. Someone from FHI might want to ask you questions about being in the research, but you do not have to answer them. A court of law could order medical records shown to other people, but that is unlikely.

Payment

We will not pay you for your participation but you will be given vitamin for one month, small gift, condom and some reading materials about HIV/AIDS and STI as compensation for your participation in the research. Moreover, we will provide you local transportation or reimburse local transportation cost when you come to the study center for interview and for providing biological sample.

Leaving the Research

You may leave the research at any time. If you do, it will not change the healthcare you normally receive.

If You Have a Questions about the Study

If you have any questions about the research, call

Asha Basnyat, Family Health International (FHI), Gairidhara, Kathmandu, Phone Number: 01-4427540.

Siddhartha Man Tuladhar, New ERA, Kalopool, Kathmandu, Nepal, Phone: 01-4413603.

Laxmi Bilas Acharya, Family Health International (FHI), Gairidhara, Kathmandu, Phone: 01-4427540.

Research Related Injuries

If you are sick or have a health problem due to your participation in this research, you will not have to pay for visits to see the research clinic staff. If you need more help, we will refer you to other clinics, where you may have to pay.

Your rights as a Participant

This research has been reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board of Family Health International and Nepal Health Research Council (NHRC). If you have any questions about how you are being treated by the study or your rights as a participant you may contact

Asha Basnyat, Family Health International (FHI), Gairidhara, Kathmandu, Nepal, Phone Number: 01- 4427540 OR Mr. David Borasky, Protection of Human Subjects Committee, PO Box 13950, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709, USA, phone number: [International Access Code]-1-919-405-1445, e-mail: dborasky@fhi.org.

VOLUNTEER AGREEMENT

| Districts" has been read and explained to me. I have be questions about the research answered to my satisfaction | n. I agree to participate as a volunteer |
|---|--|
| Signature or mark of volunteer | Date |
| If volunteers cannot read the form themselves, a witness | s must sign here: |
| I was present while the benefits, risks and procedur questions were answered and the volunteer has agreed to | |
| | |
| Signature of witness | Date |
| Signature of witness I certify that the nature and purpose, the potential bene participating in this research have been explained to the | fits, and possible risks associated wit |

ANNEX - 6

Dates and Places of Counseling Performed to FSWs in 22 Terai Highway Districts

| | Field Work | | Post-test Counseling | | |
|---------------|------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|--------------------|------|
| Name of sites | | No of | Doto | No of Participants | |
| Name of sites | Date | Participants | Date | No. | % |
| Itahari | March 29, 2006 to May | 105 | June 03, 2006 to June | 31 | 29.5 |
| | 29, 2006 | | 17, 2006 | | |
| Lahan | March 29, 2006 to May | 85 | May 31, 2006 to June | 21 | 24.7 |
| | 29, 2006 | | 14, 2006 | | |
| Narayanghat | May 13, 2006 to June | 75 | June 17, 2006 to July | 13 | 17.3 |
| | 04, 2006 | | 19, 2006 | | |
| Butwal | March 29, 2006 to June | 135 | June 03, 2006 to June | 9 | 6.7 |
| | 04, 2006 | | 18, 2006 | | |
| Nepalgunj | March 29, 2006 to May | 80 | June 01, 2006 to June | 9 | 11.3 |
| | 12, 2006 | | 24, 2006 | | |
| Dhangadi | May 13, 2006 to June | 60 | June18, 2006 to July | 21 | 35.0 |
| | 04, 2006 | | 03, 2006 | | |
| Mahendranagar | March 29, 2006 to May | 60 | June 01, 2006 to June | 20 | 33.3 |
| | 12, 2006 | | 16, 2006 | | |
| | Total | 600 | | | |

